

The following is the conversation between me and Tom Cahill during December 2015 which can be found under his video on Chris Spivey's 2nd 'illegal' arrest <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9JRFhbbKawI>

Me: Tom, WTF? Have you sold out? Why are you not discussing this with me publically? Why are you using woolly language? You are coming over very suspect.

I copy/paste your emails to me below; and add my own comments:

"I don't know what the problem with Jimmy is from what I've seen." Really? Have you actually read my 'Digging into Jimmy's socks' pdf? He's an outright liar; he constantly contradicts himself and hides behind a variety of sock personas. Why don't you ask your friend to show the proof that 'Jane' is a real person. Just your defence of this super troll damages your credibility Tom.

"If you spend all of your time trying to find things wrong with people, you'll miss the big picture as sure as those who ignore this critical aspect." What critical aspect? You're not making much sense. It's only when you find things wrong about someone that you can figure out whether said person is genuine or not. There are numerous things wrong about Jimmy.

"My only problem with him is that he seems to refuse to say anything about a great deal of people as he says, they haven't done anything to him and he's already got too much trouble." He's a pretender. They're all his friends. It's all a charade. He is provably in league with Spivey, working to suppress the voices of genuine CSA victims and genuine opposition voices. [Read about their fake falling out in my 'digging into Jimmy's socks' pdf; see also http://sharonkilby.co.uk/site/media/UPDATE_ON_SPIVEYS_ILLEGAL_ARRESTS_JULY_2015_-_NOVEMBER_2015.pdf - scroll down to p 38.]

"And, further to this, he's agreed to work with Sonia Poulton as she's not attacked him, but she's clearly no good, and what's more, she's such an idiot, there's no way she'll get anything done, so there's no point in helping her, as it's a dead end to failure as she is a failure, totally unscrupulous and unsurprising." More pretence. He's working with all the Spiveyite super trolls. As for Sonia herself, she has long been exposed. Just the fact he works with her kills his credibility. Genuine people do not work with shills. She's not an idiot, she is an

intelligent professional liar - a NWO cointelpro agent i.e. a scumbag of the highest order.

“If it's true that he's made up all of this stuff about the harassment from Laverty---which isn't beyond belief---I'd have to ask where it's really got him. The answer for using someone like him would be that he then gets to be there to soak up reports of abuse and pass them on so the sources can be shut down. I of course have no evidence that this is what he's doing though.” Tom, you need to have a good read of my pdfs on Lavatory and Jimmy.

You skit at Sonia [and others who I'll come to], saying she and they will not get anything done; but YOU are NO LONGER GETTING anything done because you are protecting these agents. That's a real shame, because before Jimmy befriended you, you were a very effective opposition voice. Read my pdf on Tom Pride http://sharonkilby.co.uk/site/media/TOM_PRIDE.pdf to see how you have now been neutralised.

“On Nick. Nick is odd. You have to know this to understand him. He's also done a good book on 7/7 and that's not the only thing he's done over the years. This oddness could be being used for cover though and odd people can be agents and often are. It's just with him, he's like a physical researcher and publisher and his book is good, so where's the sense in them allowing that all out?

I have no more emotion than anyone when it comes to working out who's misleading me, in fact a lot less as I'm a realist. I do think that you have good cause to be concerned about him.” As said, just his reaction to my mail out re HG told me all I need to know about him. The fact he is a fervent supporter of Spivey is a huge nail in the credibility coffin; not to mention his other dodgy associates. Thus it matters not a jot how good his research skills are - he can't be trusted. His book is not worth reading. You've got to ask yourself why he was allowed such massive publicity on Richard D Hall's TV show Richplanet. That alone is a massive red flag. He's dis-info. End of. You've said it yourself.

“On the KFM. I know they ought not put a donate button, but they say that it's to help with things for their site and film stuff, etc.. Again, I've told them that it's incredibly poor showing, but they are poor and they think that even though they don't get much money, that it's always useful.” I'm not buying it. Truth should be free.

“It's a shame that they can't see that this marks them. It's not my belief that they're bad, having said that. They're not stupid, but they did let a lot of people on who have turned out to be no good.” I don't buy that either. I cover that point in depth in my 'digging into Jimmy's socks' pdf. See p 37 onwards where I discuss Jimmy's 'Bats out of Hell' article.

“However, they have then gone on to be very critical of them and spend a lot of time on them. Deborah did lose her job over Ian Puddick, or that I'm fairly convinced.” I'd like to see the *evidence* that there was some sort of underhandedness in connection. There might be legitimate reason why she got sacked; if she was indeed sacked.

As for 'going on to be very critical' of them [the HGJ gang] I cover such 'in the box' shill speak in my 'Hampstead Hoax Psy-op' pdf.

As said, just their support of traitor Jimmy Jones, hangs them in the credibility stakes ... as it does you, if you don't expose him. In fact you should be spitting feathers at the fact he led you a merry dance. Do you seriously believe Jane Russell is his friend? What about Jennie Jen Jen? Tom, they're not real people. They are sock puppets. Jimmy's making a mug out of you. Read my Tom Pride pdf.

“Mark, who I know better than all of these is another one who's got too much explaining to do if he wants to be trusted.” That is enough to tell you whose side he's really on.

“I still speak to him but I hold a lot back as I am sure he's getting paid by someone, but again, he's not getting anywhere, so I hope he's enjoying the money and that they keep paying him.” Neither are you getting anywhere by letting Mark Windows get away with his pretence. Why waste your time speaking to anyone you know isn't to be trusted?

But since you do, and since you know him pretty well, why don't you ask him to ask his mate Spivey to publish the documentation in relation to his so called illegal arrests ... the full and unedited transcripts of both police interviews [and the tape recordings], the full and uncensored police statements of all 4 police officers who visited him on 30/7/14, the full and unedited transcript of his harassment trial [and the tape recording], the full and uncensored indictment of charges re the indecent images of children, all full and unredacted transcripts of his court hearings, all full and unedited social services reports, the full recording of the police break in ...

You say you're sure he's getting paid by someone; you should be exposing him in no uncertain terms. He's a traitor.

"He's undermined himself with that crank Annette and he's not getting anyone else who's any good on." So that's alright then, we just leave him be? As you well know he's not engaging in a harmless charade; he's playing a very dirty game. He and his ilk are sinister NWO subversives, leading us all to the NWO hell.

As for people who are any good, who are they Tom? I thought I'd found a rare one in you!

"Mark doesn't like to admit he's been fooled and he doesn't like to talk openly about people who're no good. This is obviously one of the things that makes me not only not really trust him, it makes me see that even if I'm wrong---as I'm by no means certain." How can you *not* be certain? His support of Spiv is enough to hang the rat. That he also gives Kevin Annett the time of day further hammers home the nail in his credibility coffin. You've said yourself that it used to bother you that these cointelpros would never talk openly about those who are no good; hence all your previous excellent hard hitting videos.

Who has tamed you Tom?

"I'd not feel bad speaking like this, as he's bought it upon himself, and it's he's really scared to talk about people, he's going to be pretty useless to ever get anything done. It's a big shame, being paid or not, as he's got a lot of talent and he does know a lot of stuff." It looks like YOU are getting scared to talk about people. You're being useless making excuses for him. You've got talent too; and you also know a lot. He's a scumbag. You know it. Expose him.

"I used to be sure Rich Hall was no good, but like with some others, I feel that he's still good for some things, so don't like to give him a hard time." You'd be right; he is no good. He too is an utter sell out. Jeesh Tom, you sound more and more like a shill yourself. All shills are *good for some things*. That's how the con works. I can't believe I have to spell this out to you – it only takes 5% dis-info or lies by omission that keeps people travelling down never ending rabbit holes i.e. asleep. Read the email exchange I had with him re the HG farce [on my W-O-S].

You know that Hall - and all the others mentioned here - are enemy agents. You know you should be giving them ALL a hard time. Anyone

who doesn't speak 100% truth is serving in Satan's army i.e is aiding and abetting paedophiles in high places i.e. is furthering the NWO slavery agenda.

"I do suspect that his work on Madeline McC is to help put attention onto it as opposed to important things, which one set of people maybe killing a baby or losing or kidnaping one, just isn't even important. It's a micro issue which has no bearing on the rest of us.

I'd be interested in what you have to say about Guy Taylor. It's been hard for me to consider him a disinfo as he's been up to all sorts, but he's also quite clever, so I have no idea what other explanation there is for all of his **stupid statements and associations.**" Isn't that enough? Genuine people don't have anything to do with the 'freeman on the land' 'Strawman' 'Legal Fiction' 'Get out of Debt free' 'Lawful Rebellion' nonsense, neither do they associate on any level with the likes of BCG, KFM ... Just the fact he gets publicity on Edge Media idiot TV is a major red flag <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=edtRIUrPIUo>

Tom, you are either incredibly naive or you've turned coat. Either way you are serving the enemy. For what it's worth I don't believe you are naive.

Honest mistakes are one thing; constant contradictions/half-heartedness is another – it is pretence; it is LIES. As said you only need 5% dis-info/omission of truth to keep people comatose i.e. to be serving the enemy. It cannot be tolerated. Neither can useful idiots. Are you one of those?

Carry on letting these snakes feed your ego Tom. You're gonna regret it. You need to jump ship; and quick.

I think you know what you have to do if you want to convince me you haven't turned traitor. Until you do, I can't take you seriously anymore. You've shown your hand.

Tom: nce to look at them yet. I will do. Thank you for reminding me. Funnily enough I looked at Spivey's site yesterday and he's got a story which has what looks like messages from Jimmy telling lies, if they're real. It's related to him knowing or not knowing Jimmy Savile. Allegedly he's said both that he did and that he didn't meet him.

And a few hours later Tom said: Thank you for all that. I haven't kept anything back. I just haven't spent time fixating about every person I've ever spoken to. Even if all this lot are low level, paid agents, it still doesn't make them a priority. The stuff going on in Australia's much more important, and it's linked to here. I didn't really like spending so much time on the bottom feeders in the UK. I always said that they'll just replace them. I have something for you anyway. Download this on Firefox and you can save webpages as they appear in full working order for almost any page (not Twitter or FB). This will help you save things. You will find it incredibly useful. "Mozilla Archive Format, with MHT and Faithful Save 3.1.0.1-signed by Christopher Ottley, Paolo Amadini".

Me: What Spivey story are you talking about Tom? 'Yesterday' would be 11th December. Spiv last posted an article on Dec 9th. And that was a 'John Hamer' article. There is nothing mentioned there on Jimmy Jones or Jimmy Savile.

As for 'fixating' on these people, what're you on about? I've done all the 'fixating'; I've spent well over a year [since you narrated my Spivey arrest debunkathon] researching this lot. I've done all the leg work for you, and spelt it out clear as a bell.

You say you don't like "spending so much time on the bottom feeders" yet you happily spend your time feeding your ego talking to sock puppets and trolls! You're a joke Tom.

And how do you know they are 'low' level? They're not. I have shown clearly how they are all working together to suppress the voices of genuine CSA victims, genuine voices of dissent, and to keep us all in the Masonic matrix. They are all very dangerous dirty subversives; as you well know. And even if they were 'little fish', when it is evident someone is not on the level it is our duty as *genuine* freedom fighters to expose and eliminate them. It doesn't matter how 'big' they are – they are all contributing to the NWO slavery hell.

We have to expose all the cointelpros in order to piece the big jigsaw together. You know this. You say so yourself. You stated in a comment to the Lavatory a year or so ago: "Thank you for your input. My interest is with the *visible* people, who I've noticed_ who're totally diverting people's attention. Spivey is a bad man. Spivey is attacking Jimmy now, and JR. I don't know about them, really, and *hadn't* heard of them until I started to catch on to Spivey, and then when he all of a sudden started to go all out and instead of being polite, stated to lie about me,

which concerned me. That's when they became 'known' to me---not before that ... Whatever happens, if I can see Bill Baloney off the scene and all of those who're definitely cointelpros, then I'll be happy. I have to take it one step at a time. I could be ignorant to a more important picture, but the expanding picture, wherever it takes us, really mustn't get in the way of my present task."

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=f2QcKA7MaOY>

So you state there that your present task is seeing Baloney and ALL those who're definitely cointelpros off, yet somehow that doesn't include those named here???

And are you going to tell me that the likes of Mel Ve and Anna Raccoon are low priority too? I guess you've already answered that since you didn't see fit to even mention them.

I've noticed that you are now very 'in' with Jimmy and his gang. As a result you now – obviously – sound like a shill. One example of that is in your comment in response to his article here [2/8/15]

<http://outlawjimmy.com/charlatans/here-there-be-monsters/> I quote: "For a short article, that was very good. It's very good that you managed to keep it short and say so much. Remember, it was the benefit fraud videos about the two of them which went down without YT even pretending to follow their own protocols, which is what I have always suspected as being the hold which whoever's controlling both of them was using against them. These two are small fry. I'm learning that those who control them also are, but they're linked to altogether more serious people, but the idiots who're discussed here won't know who they are. Both of them have been analyzed for their low morals, shady past, greed, and need to become famous. If we look at it objectively, telling people that they want to destroy people, when they can't explain why or for what, is going to be a red flag and a half to anyone with any brains, let alone morals. This is the lack of self-awareness that they were picked out for. Their behavior is neither stable or cohesive so they can be manipulated to do anything." Why don't you name the people who control them? How do you know those people are also 'small' fry? How the fuck can they be? Who are these 'more serious' people?

WHY THE OBFUSCATION TOM? WHY DO YOU PROTECT THEM BY PLAYING DOWN THEIR ROLES, TOM?

As shown, Spivey himself is most certainly NOT small fry.

And I've proven Jimmy is his right hand man. Remember immediately after you had narrated my Spiv arrest debunkathon, Jimmy was there in

his Jane Russell persona defending Spiv and attacking and threatening me.

And what of the JennieJenJen persona? As I point out in my Tom Pride pdf, on 18/1/13 'Jen' is Jimmy's troll, on 7/8/15, all of a sudden, she's a friend of his and also of his other sock 'Jane'. You have been talking to both these socks. You've probably been talking to more sock puppets. You have certainly been talking to lots of trolls. In actual fact it doesn't matter whether these 'people' are socks or trolls, they're both the same deadly creatures. As shown Jimmy is a shill and a troll, and he operates a number of sock puppets. He's also a fake 'victim'. How can you be friends with someone like him? Incidentally I notice the masons are out in force covering their tracks again - they've now deleted 'Jennie's' blog 'in a box to the left'.

And what of the latest nonsense that Sonia Poulton is 'Jane'?

Why doesn't all this bother you Tom?

You talk about red flags and morals. Tom you're a bloody hypocrite.

There is ample proof that these people are enemy agents; you refuse to expose them; that means you are with them.

Tom, you're just another pretender. Whether you always were, or whether you turned traitor when you got involved with Jimmy Jones and the KFM doesn't really matter. The public will now be aware that you too are a protector of 'V.I.P' paedophiles; and with that a proponent of the NWO. I suspect you didn't realise what you'd started when you bravely challenged Spivey. You were a very big threat to the PTB [Masonic hierarchy] then. Sadly you got in with the wrong crowd and lost your way - you buckled and have been cowed.

Please Tom, truly find Jesus and ask for the strength to meaningfully expose these bastards. And you know very well how to flush them.

What's the other option? To carry on pretending; to carry on playing sick games with all the other shills, trolls, socks and fake 'victims'; to carry on letting the masons make an idiot out of you. You have sold out and are serving them well right now. As such you're not worth the time of day. But you can change that. The day of reckoning is coming Tom. You must believe it. You know what you have to do if you want to save yourself. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FumOCST6v98>

Timothy 4:2 – Those who depart from the truth speak lies in hypocrisy.

All liars—All who speak falsely in any way—who practice dishonesty—who care not for truth will perish in the lake of fire. Not Cretans only, who were pre-eminently liars, but every false tongue, every dishonest lip—hypocrites, pretenders, formalists; all the untrue and unreal; who vow to serve Christ at His table—but give the lie to their vow every day of their life; who vow at baptism to teach and pray for their children—yet never do; who come to the sanctuary—yet go away and serve the world; who are at the prayer meeting one day—and at the ball the next. These are the liars! How much of lying is there in the life of every man! How little of the real, the open, the sincere, the true!

The doom of all these is sure! They cannot deceive God! He will not be mocked. He will bear long—but not always. Hell is waiting. Its gates are open. Its fires are kindled. Its tormentors are ready. The sentence is coming—'Depart, you cursed ones,' for their judgment lingers not, and their damnation slumbers not.

Yet remember the apostle's words to Corinthian sinners, 'And such **were** some of you—but you are washed!' O man of earth—come and be washed! Fearful, and unbelieving, and abominable—come! Murderers, immoral, sorcerers, idolaters, liars—come! Come, let us reason together, says the Lord—your scarlet sins shall be as white as snow—your crimson sins as white as wool!

Most Will Not Inherit The Kingdom of God

In summary, **don't let anyone deceive you**. MOST people will not inherit the kingdom of God (Mt. 7:13,14). Never forget the seriousness of sins, as cited in the initial three passages. Such sins can drag you to hell, even if you were previously *saved*:

If a righteous man turns from his righteousness and does evil, he will **die** for it. (Ezek 33:18)

Spiritual death can occur to the righteous! Do not be deceived. You must get the victory over them to be an overcomer and to inherit God's paradise kingdom. You can do this with the help of God. See Rom. 8:13. If you are in such sins now, **repent** and come back to God. (**Backslider, God wants you back!**)

For of this you can be sure: **No** immoral, impure or greedy person—such a man is an idolater—has any **inheritance in the kingdom of Christ and of God. Let no one deceive you with empty words, for**

because of such things **God's wrath comes on those who are disobedient. Therefore do not be partners with them** (Eph. 5:5-7).

GOD BLESS YOU.

If one doesn't inherit the kingdom of God he will be thrown into the lake of fire. This powerfully refutes the fallacious idea that we can *enter* God's kingdom but not *inherit* it. Jesus once answered a question about being *saved* by equating it to **entering through the narrow door:**

Someone asked him, “Lord, are only a few people going to be **saved**?” He said to them, “Make every effort to **enter through the narrow door**, because many, I tell you, will try to enter and will not be able to” (Luke 13:23, 24).

The ones who don't *enter*, as referred to in verse 24, didn't put forth enough *effort* to get through the narrow doors into the kingdom. In our dark day, putting forth such an *effort* to refrain from **sin** is denounced as a **works salvation**, and those wisely obeying Jesus are often falsely accused of *trying to save themselves*. **Such religious leaders and false accusers are savage wolves in sheep's clothing and must be exposed and refuted for the sake of souls.** To do so is acting in **Christian love**, not hate or intolerance. (See 1 Cor. 13:6.)

God has always taken His children through the storms, the trials!

Belief in the secret rapture conveniently takes away the cross-- the trials--of those who live just before Christ comes again. The devil wants people to sleep on unprepared for the "*time of distress such as has not happened from the beginning of nations until then.*" "But at that time your people--everyone whose name is found written in the book--will be delivered. Multitudes who sleep in the dust of the earth will awake: some to everlasting life, others to shame and everlasting contempt." Daniel 12:1-2.

Me: Tom, please stop emailing me; let's keep this public. You now want to speak to me. I have no desire to speak with you. Anything that needs to be said can be said here. Even though enough has been said already I will comment on the email you sent yesterday and your recent post. You really are taking the piss with this latest email though aren't you. Everything you ask in it I have already answered – in detail. Just your comment "**Was Jimmy sent on me to tell me that he was a maniacal liar? Could that be it?**" says it all. You've shown whose side you're on. And yes you are his friend and you are the friend of his gang. That's

obvious. You've sold out Tom. That's obvious too. Carry on taking the piss if you like, but I'll remind you, God is not mocked. I wouldn't want to be in your shoes on the day of judgement.

I now respond to the rest of your email, and to your recent comment:

You say "So, on Messam. Do you think that---as I initially thought, as did everyone else---that he's been threatened and therefore didn't go on the TV?" He did go on TV. I've covered Messham in detail in my 'An Elite Paedophile Ring' pdf.

You ask: "What's your problem with Charlie Foulkes? Please explain in a couple of lines." I've already covered her – in detail.

You also say "Did you know that Mark Windows is now on Sheppy and she's in Sheppy? You know Sheppy's a hole of depravity, paedophilia and incest don't you? I'm just saying. I'm just wondering."

You continue: "I have been very interested that Jimmy never actually says anything of specific interest in his poorly grammatically constructed blog that me and yourselves have been reading!" His grammar doesn't bother me. What he's doing and who he works for does bother me; as it should you.

You add: "For the record, his constant not saying anything has been concerning to me." His constant contradictions, provable outright lies and use of various sock puppet identities should be concerning you.

You try to convince me that your "initial thoughts were he's just under stress and acting without his own best interests at heart, but he doesn't really use his blog as a weapon to fight those he says are after him, and without any more info that has always seemed incredibly odd." Err maybe that's cos he's NOT GENUINE; cos he's ACTING his part like a good little shill in a CHARADE. And you Tom are obviously playing the same SORDID GAMES.

You say you don't know who Tom Pride is. Try reading my pdf on him. You also say that they all buy views. Yes I know. I've covered that too. You say Jimmy often speaks about it. Jimmy is not worth listening to. The opinion of a proven shill counts for nowt.

You want me to stop saying that you are friends with people who you occasionally speak to. It is very evident that you are a friend of these

people. If you weren't you'd be exposing them – in no uncertain terms. You wouldn't need me to keep telling you to stop messing about and get on with what you know you should be doing.

You then say: "I spoke to this man who'd chopped someone's head off with a samurai sword whilst overhearing that there was a paedophile in the other interview room once, but he was OK, so why not be friendly. He was definitely a nutter, but I'd been warned before hand. I just didn't take him up on his incredibly crazy offer, and we had a few beers and chilled out for a while in the stifling heat. Where would I have been if I'd not met him? It could have been quite boring." Carry on taking the Mickey, Tom. You really are showing your true colours. The freemasons must be pissing their pants at this. Did they help you write it?

You tell me you're taking me seriously. I can no longer take you seriously.

You add: "I never took my eye off the ball. I just haven't been worrying too much about some of this lot in the UK, as I know how many of them are all commenting to themselves and other agents, and it's not what I'm going to spend my life doing." Yeah Tom, they're FAKES and they're playing INSIDIOUS GAMES and there are LOTS of them, in all their guises. You said yourself they are agents. Their job is to protect powerful paedophiles and further the NWO slavery hell. YOU Tom are on their side. That remains the case until you decide to expose them – meaningfully. But you're not allowed to do that; are you Tom? You're too scared to challenge the Masonic puppeteer that controls them. You're too cowardly to even mention Mel Ve or Anna Raccoon. And as for the 'out of the box' thinking that I explain fully in my pdf on the Hammy Hoax psy-op, well let's not go there eh.

Instead let's carry on the safe skill obfuscatory tactics: "One shot. One kill's the aim of the game. No prolonged messing about, keeping them on the retainer. You know they're paid so long as they're creating some kind of turmoil as well as if they're successful in more tangible things I'm sure."

I was so sure you were the real deal Tom. How wrong I was. You're no friend of mine and you're certainly no friend of freedom; you too are slyly and stealthily assisting the NWO slavery agenda.

I don't know how you sleep at night.

I now comment on your recent comment: You say, "I appreciate that you know a lot of stuff and are very good at spotting lies--a.k.a. white noise. My issue is that some of the white noise isn't really worth chasing down. It is in one way, but nailing all of the skills isn't really my aim." Nailing them all is necessary if we are to have any hope of freedom. You know it, I know it and so do they. It *used* to be your aim. You said so yourself. I'll remind you again what you said about a year ago: "My interest is with the *visible* people, who I've noticed ... if I can see Bill Baloney off the scene and all of those who're definitely cointelpros, then I'll be happy." Unfortunately your wings have been clipped; you're now only allowed to go so far.

You tell me you'll "do it as an aside, no problem, but there's really bigger fish to fry." That's another skill tactic – it's always 'in the future' i.e. it'll be a half-hearted unconvincing show or it won't happen at all.

All skills claim to be exposing the big fish whilst refusing to expose the 'small' fry i.e. the people who protect those big fish.

You say: "I'll give you an example. Jimmy Jones and I have spoken a few times. You say he is one." It is obvious he is. "However---and I've told him this---his website isn't worth all the alleged fuss." Yeah right; as if you told your mate Jimmy that! You're making yourself look a right tit, Tom. "So this leaves only one option, or so I thought. That would be that he's got some good info.. Now, let's say he's made it all up. OK, so that would be a lie and it would make him suspect (i.e. guilty beyond balance of probability), however where's it had any effect on me?" I don't believe I'm hearing this. So you're ok with the fact Jones is working with others to get the likes of Steve Messham to top himself??? And you don't care that Jones spends his time trolling and threatening genuine whistleblowers? "I know, maybe it's had effects on others, but where are they? Do you follow me? If I get into looking into him---I will now you've gone to the effort (I'll do a video of me reading it as well), then I'm getting off my other things." So you're only doing it because I want you to! You should be hopping mad at the stuff I've uncovered. "Or, should I say, I would have done, but I will now, as I do have a lot of respect for your past work, but it had slipped my mind over the course of time." So you'll only grudgingly and half heartedly do it; and only after a lot of pushing from me. That just confirms that you Tom are protecting them. And you're only talking about Jimmy. What about all the others I've

convincingly unmasked??? Darren Lavatory, Sheva Burton, Zoompad, Gojam, Ben Emlyn-Jones ...

You continue: "OK, I'll read your Jimmy article. The more important people are actively involved in child trafficking. They're outright paedophile rings." The people I've just exposed are protecting paedophile rings. "They're judges and politicians in Oz. They're very obviously involved in terrorism in Australia, the banning of religion and they're linked to the O.T.O.. They're linked to the Truong Newton case and the homosexual (in this case actually paedophile) acquisition of children for abuse. The DIAC are involved as well as their number one child abuse charity." And how do we get to those people? By bringing down those who protect them – the cointelpro agents I've just exposed.

And: "Be careful to presume who my friends are." There is no presumption; you have let me know loud and clear who your friends are [perhaps it would be more accurate to say who your *controllers* are]. "I even talked to that Welsh singer's? girlfriend, when she contacted me. I'm well aware that you think to call people out right away, but letting the whole world know every single thing that you know is not the best tactic." Yes it is. We have to convincingly expose these evil people. "I've had them trying to trick me non stop via all sorts of private means for two years' now. I don't let on as it's not the best way of finding out what's going on." Bullshit. If that was true you'd be exposing it. If you're genuine, you're not going to know what's going on. That only becomes clear when you chip away at the cointelpros. You've joined the pretend brigade Tom. "If I see a real and present danger, then provided I have something that people will be able to understand, I will make it public." So all the cointelpros I've just exposed are *not* a real and present danger??? And how patronising you are to presume that people do not understand. Your ego is getting the better of you Tom. "For instance, people claiming to work for Max Clifford have been onto me. I think and have always thought that him and Rolf are in prison as part of a scam, and as I've always suspected they're in one it, but I'm not so sure of the second part, and whether they've been forced into complicity." Stop strolling off the subject of skills. I have given concrete proof of people being skills. It isn't *suspicion*.

Carry on deceiving yourself Tom. Satan loves you.