

Is Anna Raccoon just another professional Trojan Horse?

Before reading on, please read my PDFs 'Digging into Jimmy's Socks' [http://sharonkilby.co.uk/site/DIGGING INTO JIMMYS SOCKS.php](http://sharonkilby.co.uk/site/DIGGING_INTO_JIMMYS SOCKS.php) and 'An elite Paedo Ring and the abuse of children in the North Wales 'care' system'

http://sharonkilby.co.uk/site/AN_ELITE_PAEDO_RING_AND_THE_ABUSE_OF_CHILDREN_IN_THE_NORTH_WALES_CARE_SYSTEM.php first.

Lawyer and Investigative journalist **Anna Raccoon** [Susanne Nundy or Susanne Cameron-Blackie] is the seemingly sensible 'voice of reason' in the 'alternative media', who writes some well thought out and thought provoking articles, and who keeps to her own territory. Unlike the other 'core group' trolls who engage in regular banter on each other's blogs, she is not your typical game player and so doesn't present as an obvious shill. She did a good write up exposing the Hollie Hoax and received a lot of criticism for it from the HGJ gang and by other shills. All's good so far. She seems sincere; *on the surface*. Let's go digging.

This comment "Well, Anna Raccoon did it again, with not one, not two, not even three, not even four, but *five outstanding* posts culminating in this revelation" is taken from <http://thylacosmilus.blogspot.co.uk/2012/10/post-of-month.html> One of the comments following is:

"I would call this a set-up simply because Susanne Nundy has a history. Wherever there is a story of abuse by officials, **especially when it relates to children or paedophilia**, up pops a swathe of names including Anna Raccoon, Susanne Nundy or under her maiden name Susanne Cameron-Blackie, all ready to 'assist', yet strangely the outcome is never the one that those originally abused, or their campaigners envisaged. **More often than not they are ripped apart, labelled as nutters and thrown to the pack in the manner we saw with her post about Andrew Withers yesterday. Its almost as if she is a professional Trojan Horse.**"

It would appear that we could quite possibly add these posts, in which she's conveniently also apparently a Duncroft girl, just like some of the women now coming out with accusations against Savile (who'd have thunk it!), to those stories.

I hesitate to speculate as to why a blogger who seems quite appreciated but who seems to **have a deeply unsavoury side, if these stories are anything to go by**, feels compelled to weigh in on this previously much-lauded public figure, now having become notorious with these allegations against him, to the effect that 'ah, um, maybe we'd better not put so much stock in what his accusers are saying about him'...

PS funnily enough, given that this comment didn't pass moderation on Nundy's own site, it seems she's fine with calling other people's credibility into question but **not so OK with her own credibility being questioned.**"

In the deep pool of the blogosphere are many comments from the general public along the lines of: "There has been a great deal of muddying of the water by some over the Duncroft/Savile allegations, most notably by 'Anna Raccoon' who marches at the head of the Savile revisionists."

Anna Raccoon is indeed Savile's great defender. I'll show that she is a most despicable creature – I'll prove that she is a liar and is a vehement supporter of paedophilia and a protector of paedophiles. I'll be covering her position on Savile, Duncroft and Elm Guest House later in this PDF. But first I'm going to examine her angle on Messham and the North Wales 'care' 'homes'.

Anna Raccoon endorses the following article and publishes it on her blog. I have copy/pasted some of it and highlighted/commented in red: <http://annaraccoon.com/2014/06/08/a-matter-of-f-a-c-t-part-3/>

"A Matter of **F.A.C.T** Part 3
by **GILDAS THE MONK** on *JUNE 8, 2014*

Setting the scene – **Bryn Estyn and North Wales care home allegations; anatomy of a **Witch Hunt?****

I'm sorry if this is a bit long and dry, but I wanted to **put some later comments in a proper context.** Here, in outline is the continuing story of

North Wales Care Home Abuse scandal.

This from Wikipedia:

“In the mid-1980s Alison Taylor, a residential care worker and then manager of a children’s home in Gwynedd, began hearing stories from children coming to her home from across Clwyd and Gwynedd about a series of child sexual and abuse incidents in various care homes. On investigation, she found that several reports of these incidents had been made by both care and social workers, but that no procedural or disciplinary action had so far been taken as a result.

Creating a file around cases involving six children, Taylor made a series of allegations against senior social care professionals working for the authority which she raised with her superiors at the council, but again no action was taken. Taylor then reported her allegations to North Wales Police in 1986. The council suspended Taylor in January 1987, alleging that there had been a “breakdown in communications” between Taylor and her colleagues.

On two subsequent occasions, the council offered Taylor a financial termination agreement, subject to her signing a confidentiality agreement. After refusing to sign the confidentiality agreement, Taylor was dismissed. With the help of her trade union, Taylor took the council to an industrial tribunal, which was quickly closed after the parties came to an out of court financial settlement. In September 1989, Taylor accepted the agreement, which did not include an associated confidentiality agreement. In a later Inquiry, Sir Ronald Waterhouse publicly vindicated Taylor. He stated that without Taylor’s campaigning, there would have been no inquiry. Taylor was awarded a Pride of Britain award in 2000, and since 1996 has worked as a novelist.”

In 1991 stories began to be published in the press that Bryn Estyn lay at the hub of a network of a paedophile ring which had worked its way into the care homes of North Wales. Many allegations were levelled, including that senior members of the Police were involved and covering up the abuse, and that shadowy powerful “establishment figures” were involved.

Ultimately, the allegations of child sexual abuse were referred to North Wales Police who undertook an inquiry in 1993, taking some 2,600 witness statements and 300 cases were subsequently sent to the Crown Prosecution Service. As a result, seven people including six residential social workers were prosecuted for abuse, three of whom had worked at Bryn Estyn. One of these was Bryn Estyn’s Deputy Principal, Peter Howarth.

The libel action

Whilst this was going on, in 1993 serious allegations were made against a senior Police Officer, Superintendent Gordon Anglesea in the press, by amongst others The Observer, The Independent and Private Eye.

At the heart of many of these allegations was the testimony of one Steven Messham, a former resident of the Bryn Estyn home. Anglesea felt he had no choice and risked all by suing for libel, with a million pounds in costs on the line. The libel trial was heard at the end of 1994, and in the trial Messham's evidence was taken apart. See Scallywag

<http://pebpr.blogspot.co.uk/search?updated-min=1997-01-01T00:00:00%2B06:00&updated-max=1998-01-01T00:00:00%2B06:00&max-results=3>

Here is a quote from one of the author's of The Observer's article, journalist David Rose, given in a speech to a FACT conference in 2013. Rose has undertaken much lauded work in respect of miscarriages of justice!!! as a crime correspondent, including into the Guildford Four and the Birmingham Six. He was initially convinced there were real problems at Bryn Estyn. In hindsight, he regrets this. This is what he had to say:

"It became quite apparent when the case went to trial...that Messham was a fantasist; and not only a fantasist but an extremely aggressive and dangerous fantasist who, when challenged would do almost anything rather than confront the reality of his lies...."

In fact, what happened during the libel case was that while giving evidence he took an overdose of tranquillisers, collapsed in the witness-box saying it was all too much for him...but to his great credit the Judge insisted that he come back to court the following day when his story further collapsed" [My emphasis]. Anna wrote 'My Emphasis'.

Mainstream 'investigative journalist' David Rose is a widely discredited Establishment propaganda tool. [See my PDF 'AN ELITE PAEDO RING ...' for more on him.] Anna works closely with Rose and re-blogs his articles on her blog <http://annaraccoon.com/2014/10/19/savile-the-mail-on-sunday-investigation/>

Fellow disinfo agent John Ward of The Slog regards Anna as a close friend and he thinks highly of David Rose: "In today's Mail on Sunday, an exposé by the respected journalist David Rose (working closely with controversial blogger Anna Raccoon) offers a rather different viewpoint on the extent and nature of the late DJ Jimmy Savile's sexual criminality. The Slog offers a perspective on how little we really know about the motives behind the BBC accusations." Ward says of the Raccoon: "In fact, she is a meticulous legal expert and contrarian blogger

with an unequalled record of pointing out when shit is being sold as putty.”

<https://hat4uk.wordpress.com/2014/10/19/the-paedophile-mail-on-sunday-splashes-with-vindication-of-raccoon-doubts-about-breadth-of-jimmy-saviles-crimes/> And:

“I have a close friend who, until recently, was one of the top UK bloggers. Her blogging name is Anna Raccoon, and she isn't the easiest person to get on with: indeed, we have crossed swords in the past. But what sets Raccoon apart from the mass of blogosmear loons is her unique capacity for forensic examination of every cause and scandal – without owing the slightest loyalty to either side, but – as her blog always maintained – with a jaundiced eye on the media.

Anna has taken the contrarian view on everything from Hillsborough to Savile to Miliband to Jonathan King. But unlike those who yell and swear, she deals only in evidence...and her dealings are driven solely by a fear I share of the ill-informed, media-driven Mob. I do not always agree with her, but what she is going through at the moment is totally unwarranted. She is a fearless seeker after truth who now finds herself the subject of vile telephone and email abuse because she values facts more highly than tribal factions. People are quick to judge, but too lazy to read the Court records and study the data. Anna Raccoon is a hounded victim of that hypocrisy at a time in her life when she is in the grip of a life-threatening illness.”

<https://hat4uk.wordpress.com/2013/11/25/analysis-mob-manipulation-and-dictatorship-by-stealth-snares-one-of-the-best-bloggers-we-have/>

Anna Raccoon is not an independent thinker, is not a voice for freedom, does not value facts/deal in evidence, and is absolutely not a truth seeker. Nor is she a victim. She is a firm defender of paedophilia, her loyalty is to prominent powerful paedophiles and she is a resolute supporter of the NWO slavery agenda. In short she is an arrogant, deceitful, spineless, self-righteous scum subversive.

The Raccoon's credibility is shot just by her close ties to the deception, distraction, distortion supremos Rose and Ward. Read on.

Messham was later to distinguish himself by physically attacking a QC in the Waterhouse Inquiry referred to below, and more. I would like someone to show me the evidence of this. I have not seen it reported in the Waterhouse report and have only seen this allegation reported by the likes of Rose and the skill repeaters. Anglesea won £350,000 in damages plus costs, and we will return to Steven Messham later on.

The convictions

Here is a list of the convictions which resulted from the police inquiry. I have taken it **more or less** verbatim from the so-called “Waterhouse Report” entitled “Lost in Care”, ultimately published in 2000 at a cost of £13 million: **Gildas goes on to list them. There is no need for me to do so.**

It can be seen then that there was one person (Norris) who pleaded guilty to indecency charges, although he maintained a stout defence to some others – that is the reason they were left “on the file”; it was not considered worth while continuing. (Wilson appears to have been overly physical and prone to bullying or thumping the kids). **But it does not seem to add up to a paedophile ring on a grand scale** – consider the number of staff who must have been employed in these institutions (in the scores if not hundreds) and the number of children (in the hundreds if not more).

However this was not the end of the matter. The problem was that the **swirl of rumour** that the extent and nature of abuse went beyond these convictions and still hung over North Wales care homes. **The Waterhouse and Jillings redacted reports paint a more accurate picture; however the full truth has yet to emerge.** In particular the rumour that there was an organised paedophile ring operating and abuse by the “rich and powerful.” **Waterhouse was restricted in this regard by his terms of reference.**

In March 1994 Clwyd County Council commissioned a further inquiry, the Jillings Report, undertaken by a panel headed by John Jillings, a former director of social services with Derbyshire County Council.

The Panel were required to “*inquire into, consider and report to the County Council upon (1) what went wrong and (2) why did this happen and how this position could have continued undetected for so long*” and their attention was specifically directed to such matters as recruitment and selection of staff, management and training, suspension, complaints procedures etc [My emphasis].

However, the remit or style of inquiry appears to have varied. Originally a private internal report, then changed, and then expanded.

Soon after their appointment the panel decided they would advertise for former residents in Clwyd Care Homes to come forward to them.

The panel took some two years to prepare their report. They concluded that abuse had been widespread and they either endorsed, or noted without comment, a number of the more sensational claims. The Jillings Report stated that allegations involving famous names and paedophile rings were beyond its remit no surprises there; any excuse will do to prevent the full truth emerging, and something best addressed at a potential later public inquiry. It found a child care system in which physical and sexual violence were common, from beatings and bullying, to indecent assault and rape. Children who complained of abuse were not believed, or were punished for making false allegations. The report stated that the number of children who were abused is not clear, but estimates range up to 200; in the early 1990s, around 150 had sought compensation. At least 12 former residents were found to have died from unnatural causes. The report states that some staff linked to abuse may have been allowed to resign or retire early. The report concludes that its panel members had considered quitting before publication, due to: "...the considerable constraints placed upon us." The final report's appendices included limited copies of the key witness statements taken by North Wales Police during their earlier investigation.

When their report was completed, however, Clwyd County Council was advised by a leading barrister that its contents were potentially libellous, and might also jeopardise the council's insurance cover. Any excuse to prevent the full truth emerging. On 26 March 1996 a collective masonic decision was taken not to publish the report, in spite of the fact that a number of councillors had urged that its publication should go ahead. It is also possible that the members of the panel – or the Council – felt that the report had never been intended or was suitable for public view in any event. The fact that there is an obvious cover-up shows that there is no other form of redress but publication!

However, the "suppression" or failure to publish the Jillings' Report fuelled the rumour mill still further – giving rise to the suspicion that there was a cover up of powerful interests, sinister establishment figures and so on.

It was assumed until November 2012 that all publicly held copies of the Jillings Report had been destroyed, and hence it could not be published. In light of the re-emergence of the scandal that month, one of the few legally held remaining copies was sent to the Children's Commissioner for Wales, Keith Towler.

In November 2012, Anne Clwyd MP called for the legal archive copy of the report to be published, claiming that she was shown a copy in 1994: *"I would say please get the Jillings report published because it shows... rape, bestiality, violent assaults and torture, and the effects on those young boys at that time cannot be under-estimated."* BBC Wales subsequently spoke to Jillings about Ms Clwyd's claim of bestiality, but Jillings said his report did not unearth any such claims. *If we saw the full report and not the redacted version, we might see what Anne Clwyd saw.* Jillings also commented that public figures were not among names given by victims, and that: *"The people the investigation focused on, because these were the people who the children spoke to us about, were staff members."*

However, Jillings commented to other media:

"What we found was horrific and on a significant scale. If the events in children's homes in North Wales were to be translated into a film, Oliver Twist would seem relatively benign. The scale of what happened, and how it was allowed, are a disgrace, and stain on the history of child care in this country."

When the Jillings report was eventually published it had been so heavily redacted that it contains little that wasn't already known. Take a look at the following Guardian article:

"A report into physical and sexual abuse at children's homes that was suppressed for almost 20 years concluded there was "extensive" mistreatment of young people over a "substantial" number of years.

The [Jillings report](#) into residential care units in north Wales, which has finally been released, said the lives of many children had been blighted by the abuse they suffered while living at the homes.

It said the panel that investigated the abuse had considered abandoning its inquiry as it was not sure it had all the material from agencies including police and social services that it needed to see the whole picture.

The report also expressed concern that there was no independent mechanism to investigate serving or former police officers implicated in abuse. And it said it had simply not been able to address some areas including the suggestion that public figures had been involved in abuse.

Following freedom of information requests by the Guardian and others, the successor councils to Clwyd agreed to publish a **heavily redacted** version of the 300-page Jillings report.

It made clear that it was limited by a number of "**constraining factors**", including that it was **unclear to what extent material held by North Wales police was available**. It also said there was a **lack of clarity about the accessibility of some social services files**.

The report said the role of other agencies including North Wales police and the Welsh Office needed "**careful and detailed scrutiny**". It expressed concern there was "**no mechanism to ensure that independent investigations are conducted of allegations against former and serving police officers**".

There was also criticism that **many passages in the published report had been redacted**.

A legal expert in abuse in residential care, Alison Millar, from the law firm Leigh Day, said: "The **refusal to publish this report in full is tragically short-sighted**. We are in an Alice in Wonderland situation whereby an independent panel is commissioned to investigate what went wrong and why. **The report remains unpublished for over 15 years and then, when it is finally published, the report is effectively gutted so that important sections dealing with the very issue of what the panel found did go wrong are specifically excluded.**"

But the report said: "**Of the many statements taken, it is unclear how many were forwarded to the Crown Prosecution Service for consideration**. It is also **unclear how many other professionals, including police officers, were named in these statements as perpetrators of assaults.**"

Though the report said the panel **could not investigate suspicions that paedophile rings or famous people were involved in abuse of children**, it did conclude: "**It is clear that sex offenders can and do network.**"

It also **carried a letter from a council officer to North Wales police noting concerns that a paedophile ring was operating in north Wales and pointing out that many names of possible suspects given to police had links to Bryn Estyn.**

On the panel's struggle to get information from the police, the report said **130 boxes of files were passed on to detectives investigating child abuse from social services. The panel was not allowed to see these files as they were considered *Sub judice* (matter under consideration by a judge or court)**"

<http://www.theguardian.com/uk-news/2013/jul/08/jillings-report-north-wales-child-abuse>

Back to 'Gildas the Monk':

The Waterhouse Inquiry –“Lost in Care”

Not least because of the non publications of the Jillings Report, in 1996, the then Secretary of State for Wales, William Hague, ordered a Tribunal of Inquiry into what were now allegations of hundreds of cases of child abuse in care homes in former county council areas of Clwyd and Gwynedd between 1974 and 1990. Sir Ronald Waterhouse, a retired High Court judge, was appointed to head the inquiry. It may have been that the rumours linking the allegations of organised sexual abuse with repeated allegations of organised abuse by “senior conservative figures” had something to do with this.

The Waterhouse Inquiry was vast. I understand it was originally scheduled to last one year with some months for drafting, but ran for three years from 1997 – 2000.

The Inquiry received evidence of 259 complainants, of whom 129 gave oral testimony in public. The findings of the Waterhouse Inquiry were published in February 2000, as “*Lost in Care – Report of the Tribunal of Inquiry into the Abuse of Children in Care in the Former County Council Areas of Gwynedd and Clwyd since 1974*”

The conclusions are largely set out paragraph 55.10 and following at pages 606 – 608 insofar as most material this piece. The report concluded that: **Gildas goes on to list them. They are worth a read, but there is no need for me to list or comment on them.**

The report found **no evidence “to establish that there was a wide-ranging conspiracy involving prominent persons and others with the objective of sexual activity with children in care”**, because of the terms of reference, and because Waterhouse was under Masonic orders not to find any

evidence but did recognise the existence of a paedophile ring in the Wrexham and Chester area.

The public version of the report named and criticised almost 200 people, for either abusing children or failing to offer them sufficient protection. Although it identified 28 alleged perpetrators, many names were redacted due to either pending prosecutions or lack of evidence. That translates to 'protect the abusers' and prevent the full truth emerging. By the way, it was before the Waterhouse Inquiry that Steven Messham gave evidence which involved attacking a QC when confronted about his evidence. Yeah let's make sure this is repeated! Has Gildas the Monk seen the evidence for this? If he is going to spread this slur on Messham's character he should provide the source of his information. Documents proved some of Messham's evidence to the inquiry to be false. What documents? Gildas, Rose, the Raccoon or anyone else cannot just make/spread these allegations without showing us the evidence. Although Sir Ronald Waterhouse concluded that Messham had experienced abuse, he described him as 'an unreliable witness' who was unlikely to be trusted by any jury – a conclusion also reached by the Crown Prosecution Service. Gildas doesn't mention that Waterhouse also said: "It would be inappropriate to prolong this report by a detailed analysis of the credibility of each of these witnesses. We are satisfied that B has suffered a long history of sexual abuse before, during and after his period in care and, to a significant extent until he left care, of physical abuse. As a result he has been and remains severely damaged psychologically; he has been greatly affected also by the sudden death of his young wife in very sad circumstances, leaving B with a very young child to bring up. A major problem is that the damage is reflected in B's personality in such a way as he presents himself as an unreliable witness by the standards that an ordinary member of a jury is likely to apply." Scallywag describes it more accurately: "It was all very well for us to take statements from former victims in the cosy atmosphere of a pub lunch, but put them up against an agile and eminent QC whose sole task is to discredit them, and they quickly crumble, even break down in tears. Many former victims now have criminal records of some kind, owing almost exclusively to the abuse itself, and the barrister will brutally exploit this as evidence that the witness is unreliable and tainted. Faced with the choice of a clearly neurotic young man who quickly falls down in the witness box, and a smooth, experienced, erudite and often highly respected culprit, juries tend to give the accused the benefit of the doubt.

I watched it in the now famous Court 13 at the High Court during the

libel action between former Supt. Gordon Anglesey and Private Eye (and others) when, despite the fact that under cross examination, Anglesey had to admit that his evidence did not correspond with his own notebooks, the 'other side' subsequently tore the five main prosecution witnesses to pieces in a monumental act of judicial harassment. Like the whole story of child abuse in North Wales and elsewhere, it broke my heart.”

Simon Regan (deceased) was editor of Scallywag Magazine

I wonder how The Monk or the Raccoon or any of us would hold up in the witness box giving evidence about our abuse if we'd had to endure what Steve Messham and all the other victims of child sexual and physical abuse have.

According to my Wikipedia source, the Report led to settlement of 140 claims for compensation based on child abuse. But matters did not end there.

Operation Pallial

On 2 November 2012, following the revelations in the Jimmy Savile sexual abuse scandal, the BBC current affairs programme *Newsnight* aired an item about the “scandal” in which one of those who had suffered abuse in care homes in North Wales in the 1980s made further allegations that there had been a much wider circle of abusers, including businessmen, members of the police and senior politicians, extending beyond the immediate area to London and beyond. The victim said he had been taken in a car to the Crest hotel in Wrexham and abused more than a dozen times by what *Newsnight* termed “a prominent Thatcher-era Tory figure“. He called for a further investigation to be carried out.

This resulted in the allegations which swirled around the internet about Lord McAlpine. These were proved to be **absolutely untrue**, and the whole matter **proved shattering** for *Newsnight*, the BBC and the hapless Director General of the BBC George Entwistle was duly forced to fall on his sword in an (albeit lucrative) **act of Hari-Kiri**. The “victim” himself was forced to offer an unreserved apology to Lord McAlpine. **This was an extremely sophisticated and cleverly executed psy-op by the suave shadowy Masonic suits. See my 'AN ELITE PAEDO RING ...' PDF and http://sharonkilby.co.uk/site/McAlpine_sues_-_but_not_any_shills.php** Nevertheless, such was the panic before all this became clear, by

6th November what has become known as Operation Pallial had been initiated, as well as a judicial investigation into the original Waterhouse Report and whether it had been too narrow in its terms, the Macur Review.

I take the gist of the following from Wikipedia:

The report of Phase One of Operation Pallial was published on 29 April 2013. It set out a total of 140 allegations of abuse, involving girls and boys between the ages of 7 and 19, at 18 children's homes in north Wales between 1963 and 1992. During the inquiry, 76 new complainants came forward, and the police reported allegations against 84 individuals, of whom 16 had been named by more than one complainant. Some of those named were deceased. The Chief Constable of North Wales, Mark Polin, said: "Offenders quite rightly should have to look over their shoulders for the rest of their lives."

In November 2013, the police stated that, since November 2012, 235 people had contacted them with information about alleged abuse in care homes in north Wales. Detective Superintendent Mulcahey said that over 100 names of alleged offenders had been put forward to Operation Pallial, and said that the police were "currently pursuing a large number of active lines of enquiry". A fifteenth arrest, of a 62-year-old man from Mold, was reported on 20 November. Further arrests, bringing the total to 18, were reported on 12 December. Indeed, one of the persons who has since been arrested is none other than former Superintendent Anglesea.

And the trigger for Operation Pallial, the complainant to Newsnight, was none other than...Steven Messham, who's reliability has already been discarded by the Waterhouse Inquiry. Yeah Gildas, keep reminding us he was a lousy witness; you Masonic controlled monkey. Messham was set up for that Masonic controlled Newsnight red herring farce. In fact, this is what the Waterhouse Inquiry actually had to say about Steven Messham who was referred to in the report as witness "B":

"9.33 One of these matters, which inevitably leads to prolonged cross-examination, is the sequence in which his complaints of abuse have emerged. It is not unusual for a complainant of sexual abuse or a child complainant generally to deny at first that any abuse has occurred but in B's case we have had before us a plethora of statements. These included eight main statements made to the police between 30 March 1992 and 8 February 1993 but B alleges that the police have failed to

produce six other statements that he made to them. Rightly or wrongly, he complains also of insensitive behaviour, and in some cases, downright misconduct on the part of a small number of officers involved in interviewing him. In view of the potential difficulties, B was permitted exceptionally to draft his own statement to the Tribunal rather than be interviewed by a member of the Tribunal's team. The statement runs to 48 pages, in the course of which B alleges that he has been sexually abused by 32 persons (eight of whom are not named) and otherwise physically abused by 22. It is not surprising in the circumstances that B's recollection, in a limited number of instances, was shown by contemporary documents to be incorrect. Earlier on Gildas says that this proved some of his evidence was **false**. Notice the clever word play by Gildas. Who TF is he anyway? He could be 'Gojam' or another of Jimmy's socks or any one of the other 'core group' rats for all we know. He could even be David Rose. It would not surprise me if he is a lawyer. He could even have been involved directly with legal proceedings re Messham. Why doesn't he reveal his own identity??? Why should we give any credence to a coward who hides behind such a silly internet persona as Gildas the Monk? Steve Messham maintains that his police statements are crystal clear. Until we actually see the relevant documentation in full for ourselves we remain in the dark.

9.34 In the light of these and similar difficulties it was decided in March 1993 by the Crown Prosecution Service, in consultation with counsel, that **reliance ought not to be placed on the evidence of witness B**". How many times do you need to stress that point Monkey man???

And [here is a rather interesting article on Mr. Messham published by the Daily Mail](#). Yeah, Gildas, keep on pretending that you are reporting independently. You and David Rose are almost certainly both high level masons; you are certainly both in league and loyal to your Masonic puppeteer paymaster. It is evident that some of the stuff in Gildas' article was plucked from the Daily Mail article. See my 'AN ELITE PAEDO RING ...' PDF for my comments on Rose's propagandizing.

Now it is true that recent investigations have resulted in convictions which seem to me to be sound and just, and I suspect they will do so again in the future. However, the clear concern is that they have moved into a realm in which the culture of investigation has become one in which there is a **real risk of fostering unjustified allegations and resulting in unsafe convictions** of care workers, teachers and the like, arising particularly in terms of a **culture which trawls for accusers**, and in which

the truth of the accusations and the guilt of the accused is being consciously or subconsciously assumed.

The practice of “trawling” for evidence – inviting complainants to come forward – and the cross-fertilization of accusations by clumsy and credulous investigation techniques are also to the fore. There is also the driving force of claims for compensation. I will analyse these in another piece dealing with the North Wales sage. I think the prick means saga. He’s so cocky that he clumsily makes such mistakes. He wouldn’t be so bold if he wasn’t working in cahoots with other Masonic controlled puppets and being protected by the Masonic power structure. In any case it wouldn’t be a saga if there was no such thing as an illegal shadow Masonic authority running through North Wales and the whole of the UK and every other country worldwide. See my analysis in my ‘AN ELITE PAEDO RING ...’ PDF.

To be continued.

©Gildas the Monk”

Fellow dishonest disinformation peddler Anna Raccoon puts the boot further into Messham with her own write up <http://annaraccoon.com/2013/04/30/pallial-palliations/> I’ll just highlight, with the odd comment thrown in here and there, as I do enough commenting on the Daily Mail scum gutter journalist David Rose in my ‘Elite Paedo’ PDF. The Raccoon stoops to new lows with this; she repeats and embellishes Rose’s slurs and massively trumps his ‘article’ [incredible as that may seem], saying:

“When *mollusca* trawl their glutinous way across our landscape, they have the evolutionary advantage of a third eye to spot potential predators. It’s called the *pallial* eye.

I thought I’d tell you something interesting pertaining to ‘Pallial’ before you all dropped dead from the excitement. The North Wales Police ‘Operation Pallial’ has been trawling our mediascape since last November and has finally come to an expensive decision. Even with added garlic it’s scarcely palatable. Chug it down folks, this *escargot* has already cost you £573,058.

Last November, a man, Steven Messham, founding member of the *victimae*, that strange sub-species of the human race that roams this once proud land endlessly looking for a compensation payout, found himself left out of the latest sprint to the compo cheque. He had already helped generate an inquiry, The

Waterhouse Inquiry, which had sat for 203 **expensively lawyer fukkin hypocrite** filled days listening to 650 witnesses who had felt unable to call in to their local police station to report their allegations that they had been abused in peace and quiet, but felt reassured by the safety of numbers and the full glare of the media light and the world's listening ear and were thus able to unburden themselves of their hitherto concealed tale of woe. **The Raccoon would not be taking such a mocking tone if she had been one of those child victims; traumatised by unimaginable horror; called a liar and forced to suffer some more if she dared complain.**

During the course of that inquiry, **Messham** leapt out of the witness box and threw punches at a barrister, and was memorably described as *'demonstrably untrue [...] some of his allegations are wholly inconsistent with earlier statements made by him to the police. In these circumstances we submit it is plain that his evidence must be approached with care'*. He claimed he had been sexually abused by 49 men and women, and physically abused by a further 26.

He has already cost one newspaper £1,375,000 in libel damages after they took his word and published a story that he had been abused by a senior police officer; a story that became considerably embellished during the course of the trial – when this was demonstrated in court, he promptly swallowed a handful of tranquilisers in the witness box and had to be removed to hospital.

Subsequently he was found to have omitted the small detail of £40,000 in his bank account when claiming various benefits, was accused of having misappropriated some £65,000 from the *victimae* charity that he set up, although this was never proved; and even his own solicitor admitted he 'may have fabricated some of his allegations of abuse over the years'.

I don't think there is a final figure yet for the series of events surrounding 'did he, didn't he' name Lord macAlpine as one of his abusers during the Newsnight debacle.

None of this matters, for what is undoubtedly true, or at least **charitably believed by the authorities, nauseating** is that at some point, less than **5,840 days** after his birth, **someone inserted a penis into his anus. 5,840 days is an important date. A penis in the anus 5,841 days after your birth can bring you a fulfilled life as a liberated homosexual, a life of elegant soirees, exciting 'gay' cruises'**;

an entire industry dedicated to helping you enjoy this experience. Beware! The same action a mere 5,839 after your birth will traumatise you for ever, turning you into an inveterate liar, trouble maker, thief, drug addict, drunk – and none of it is ever your fault. Not in your entire lifetime is it your fault. You have become a lifetime member of the *victimae*. Oooh my blood is boiling at this. Anna Raccoon is the ugly LIAR and protector of paedophiles. I'd like to know how she'd feel if a bloke rammed his penis up her arse against her will! How dare she make light of a genuine victim's suffering. Filthy vulgar witch.

To be instantly believed, whatever you say; to have the nation's police force jump to attention!!! at the very promise of another whisper from your *victimae* lips. Thus it was that when Messham sniffed the air and announced that the Waterhouse inquiry hadn't gone anywhere near far enough, there were loads more victims of abuse out there, it was all a cover-up; the conspiracy **theory** forums nearly wet themselves with excitement, and the North Wales Police jumped to attention. 'Operation Pallial' was born. They can't afford to have anyone thinking they don't take child protection seriously in these days. According to Detective Superintendent Ian Mulcahey, they must 'promote the *perception*' that offences against children are taken seriously and investigated properly. At the same time, the 'Macur Review' was launched to investigate whether the remit of the Waterhouse Inquiry was too narrow. That review hasn't reported yet.

The remit of *Pallial* is so wide that it includes anyone living in Wales at the time, in a children's home, who believes that they have ever been abused, by anyone, male or female. Not just Bryn Estyn. The definition of abuse stretches from *verbal* abuse through to *sexual* abuse. Blimey.

There have been *umpteen* child abuse 'investigations'. However since they are all under the control of the all powerful high level freemasons, all we get are whitewash reports. You only have to ask why there is the need for so many investigations and why there is still a call for more.

Within the first two weeks of the operation being announced, the team had received 70 reports, this was to rise to 140 'complainants' eventually. 'Complainants' to the police, for not all claimed to have been abused, some were offering information concerning others – but nonetheless, these have turned into the '140 fresh victims of child abuse' being trumpeted in the media today.

We are not told how many of the 140 claim to have been 'verbally abused' – 'Come here you little Git!'; how many 'physically abused' – 'kick me in the shins one more time and I'll clip you round the ear!' – or what proportion of claimants were relating allegations of matters unconnected with Welsh care homes. Yeah, carry on making light of child abuse victims, you disgusting bitch. How would you like it if you were one of those victims and a nasty well paid lawyer/blogger/paedophile-excusing shill came along and plunged the knife in even further? which according to the report will have been handed to the Chief Constable of North Wales and not be dealt with under Operation Pallial.

Nor can we ascertain how many of the 140 complainants relate to the 'systemic and serious sexual and physical abuse of children whilst in care at 18 North Wales care homes between 1963 and 1992' aged between 7 and 19. Not that this will stop the media portraying the report as evidence of rafts of 7 year olds held down and forcibly raped is there such a thing as a volitional rape? by roaming gangs of politicians....

What we do know is that many of the complainants no longer live in North Wales, in fact could be anywhere in the world. They have been divided into:

New complainants who have not made any previous allegations to the police.

Complainants who have previously made disclosures – and now have more to tell.

Complainants who have previously been 'spoken to' by the police, and did not make any allegations at that time, but now wish to make disclosures.

Wherever they are in the world, a team of trained officers will be sent to meet them, a video taped interview will be conducted with them; a social worker will be sent to see them to provide specialist counseling and 'on-going support' and then, and only then, will a 'trained analyst' investigate the interview to see whether there is a 'realistic prospect of conviction' and whether it is 'in the public interest' to proceed with such a prosecution. Since at least 10 of the individuals named are believed to be dead, there will be no prosecution regardless of how much specialist counseling has been involved in getting little Johnny to disclose that the nasty man shouted at him 40 years ago.

Notice the evil witch doesn't mention the underlying corrupting force – freemasonry. Course not. She benefits from freemasonry and is

protected by it. She's a lawyer for Christ's sake! Notice she doesn't expose the cointelpros either [well, apart from the gang surrounding HG.]

The last child abuse victim, and she was a true victim, that I visited in Wales, is still as fresh in my mind as though it was yesterday. She was a beautiful girl, but sadly with an only partly formed mind. Her Father had been paralysed and was bed ridden. Her Mother walked into her house one day to find her brother-in-law laughing hysterically as he fornicated with the girl on the floor of the Father's bedroom. He thought it was hilarious that his brother could do nothing to protect his vulnerable daughter. When the Mother reported this to the police, her house was mysteriously burnt down. Fortuitously whilst they were all on a hospital visit. I will leave it to your imagination as to whom she blamed.

There was no prosecution, the Mother deciding that protecting her daughter by moving away from that village was more important. When I met them at their secret address, they were living in a poky, moldy, miserable flat on an estate that had once been a mining village, now filled with drug addicts. They had had no counseling, no advice, no support. The girl had received £13,000 from the criminal injuries board – and No! There wasn't *anything* that the mother could think of to buy that would improve matters for them. Nothing at all. **Who is she talking about? There's nothing to substantiate that story. It could all be a complete fabrication for all we know.**

If you want to tell me that the taxpayers are spending £573,058 helping families like that – then I'm your girl, right behind you! But they are not. **They are spending it reinvestigating the lies and kerfuffle caused by the likes of Steven Messham and his followers.** Politically motivated, providing fodder for the media, **an almighty pantomime.** It will get a lot more expensive yet. **I sincerely hope I see the day the likes of Anna Raccoon get exposed for the thoroughly foul fake disgusting paedo-protecting LIARS that they are. And with that will come real justice for Steve Messham and all the other genuine CSA victims. Hang in there Steve and everyone else who is a victim in this sick society [not just CSA victims].**

'How could we let this happen' tweeted Tom Watson MP yesterday! This is the MP who, just like ALL the MPs, refuses to expose the **Hollie Greig Hoax**. So much for his sincerity in tackling prominent paedophiles and the protection of children! For once I agree with him, though I'm not convinced we would be agreeing on the same thing...

Here's another definition for you: Palliation. *n. easing the severity of a pain or a disease without removing the cause.*"

Shame on you Anna Raccoon. May you soon reap your just deserts for your part in protecting paedophiles and for prolonging the suffering of their victims.

Now, plastered all over the internet – by shills and anonymous persons - is the claim that Andrea Davison [see my PDF on her http://sharonkilby.co.uk/site/ANDREA_DAVISON.php] and Pete Sawyer wrote articles for the Scallywag mag. The Raccoon does nothing to dispel that falsehood. Take a look at this Raccoon article <http://annaraccoon.com/2014/01/31/what-happens-if-three-butterflies-flap-their-wings-simultaneously/> I quote:

"I fell down a rabbit hole, and have only just climbed out. For the sake of brevity, I shall refer to 'North Wales', 'Elm House' and 'Duncroft'.

Now, long before 'North Wales' became an investigation into abuse at a children's home, it was an investigation into a local politician alleged to be involved in pornography and child abuse whose case had been 'mysteriously dropped' when the police 'lost evidence'. **This is all a bit mysterious. Why the vagueness?** The informant was not a 'victim' but Dennis Parry, Clywd Council leader. Dennis said that his informant was a 'Tara Davidson', (Richard Webster's spelling page 189) also not a victim, but a former MI5 agent (allegedly!) who told him a long tale involving freemasons, and organisations of the Catholic Church, da dum, da dum, and the all essential *politicians*.....this informant, who also **claims to be behind subsequent stories published in the now defunct Scallywag magazine – was none other than Andrea Davison, who prefers to be known as 'Tara'.**

Dennis Parry is the former leader of Clwyd County Council. He has been a strong voice for the CSA victims and has criticised the Waterhouse Inquiry, saying that it failed to uncover the full horror of abuse at almost 40 homes across North Wales, including Bryn Estyn. He calls the Waterhouse inquiry "weak" and says it has "certainly got a lot to answer for" <http://www.leaderlive.co.uk/news/117674/ex-council-chief-pledges-support-for-abuse-victims.aspx> Listen to what he has to say on the video published here <http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-wales-23223309>

What is Anna Raccoon implying with her talk of an investigation into an unnamed politician who is *alleged* to be involved in porn and child abuse, but who wasn't investigated due to *police losing the evidence*???

Have a look at the Raccoon's article here

<http://annaraccoon.com/2012/11/20/past-lives-and-present-misgivings-part-eight/>

Following on is a comment from the Raccoon's mate and fellow filthy paedo protector and contributor to the Anna Raccoon site, **Margaret Jervis**. [Take a look at my 'ELITE PAEDO RING' PDF for more on this abominable creature]. It appears this is where Anna got her 'info' from. I quote:

"Anyway here's a relevant extract

from the Secret of Bryn Estyn re Davison (**Denis Parry was the Clywd council Leader**) I'd like Margaret Jervis to point out exactly where she's quoting from as I've searched all over and especially the 'Secret of Bryn Estyn' and I'm blown if I can find where it has been taken from.

'...Parry, however, was **not content to link Anglesea to the senior police officer wrongly alleged to be sexually involved with a child in care**. He went on to cite a completely different case involving a **local politician who had supposedly been facing charges involving pornography and child abuse when those charges were suddenly dropped – allegedly because the North Wales Police had deliberately lost an incriminating pornographic video**. This politician was in turn supposed to be linked to Anglesea's senior colleague through a circle of friends, some of whom were related to the police officer and were involved in a 'pornographic video network' which was in turn linked to the sexual abuse of children in care.

Behind what Parry described to Nelson **newspaper reporter** as this 'huge mass of connections' there loomed an even more **mysterious international conspiracy**. For one of Parry's informants, who **professed to be an MI5 agent**, had **apparently imparted to him her own understanding of 'Operation Gladio'**. This was the name given to the Italian branch of a CIA-funded intelligence service reportedly set up in Europe after the Second World War to organise resistance against communism. In the latter part of 1990 Gladio had been the subject of much comment in newspapers such as the Guardian and the Independent.¹⁸⁸

However, **according to Parry's 'MI5' informant – Tara Davidson.**"

What a stinking pile of disinfo shill shit. These two 'ladies' Raccoon and Jervis are working in cahoots to tar a good man's name [Dennis Parry] by smearing him with spin and falsehoods in connection with Supt Anglesea and the paedo ring he protected and also with the subversive Andrea Davison [who the Raccoon rightly slates – see her 'Past Lives and Present Misgivings' article]. Dennis Parry and former senior social worker Alison Taylor were two of the bravest, most determined and most vociferous whistleblowers of the North Wales 'care' system child abuse scandal. It is not surprising they were targeted by some of the cleverest and most ruthless operatives of the 'alternative media' with their nullification efforts.

Here's more from the same quote:

“Confronted at the North Wales Tribunal by photocopies of Nelson's notes, Parry was defensive. He said he had related the story only as an example of an **'incredible tale' somebody once told him**. BOLLOX. Even though Webster was full of bullshit, this piece does not appear to have come from any of his writing. It seems to have been completely fabricated. If that's the case I hope Dennis Parry sues the lying Jervis for libel. At this point Andrew Moran QC, counsel to the North Wales Police, pointed out that Parry had treated Tara Davidson as a reliable witness in at least one respect. . BS. Moran did no such thing. I bet he's never had anything to do with her. Prove me wrong, Jervis; show the evidence. She had been the source of a serious allegation made by Parry against the North Wales Police, that a detective inspector based in Gwynedd had halted an investigation into child sexual abuse because of pressure by freemasons. Tara/Andrea was not the source. Parry didn't need one. He was right to blame freemasonry for the cover up. Even though the filthy propagandists try to kid you otherwise. **Although this claim was unfounded**, Parry had apparently relayed it to colleagues, including the county solicitor Andrew Loveridge. This had, almost inevitably, undermined confidence in the North Wales Police and called into question their suitability to investigate the allegations brought forward by Alison Taylor.¹⁹⁰” Dennis Parry wasn't responsible for undermining confidence in the police. There was never any confidence in them to be undermined. They're not suitable to investigate anything; let alone paedophile rings.

As for Andrea Davison, I would like to see the evidence that she was even at the Waterhouse Inquiry. I have had a good look at the Waterhouse report and have not come across any reference to her whatsoever. As for her being an informant ... more baloney. There's not

a scrap of evidence of that or that she informed Dennis Parry of anything.

As for her being an MI5 agent, she's living in fantasyland. She does have her strings pulled by the Masonic master though; all gatekeepers do. In any case MI5 are also controlled by high level freemasonry [but you'll never get that info from the likes of the Raccoon or her partner in crime Margaret Jervis.]

Take a look at this, from the 'Justice Denied' shill site.<http://google-law.blogspot.co.uk/2012/12/what-links-judge-niclas-parry-andrea.html> I quote:

"He then spent the next three years defending some of the evil paedophiles below. At the Waterhouse Inquiry he met *Andrea Davison*, then a mental health advocate **Evidence? and child abuse whistleblower** L.O.L who was on the opposite side representing the victims. Where's the evidence? Herself the survivor of child abuse at the notorious Duncroft Approved School Is there any evidence she was even at that school??? she deeply empathised with the abused children. She also exposed Judge Parry's clients in the Magazine *Sallywag*, there's no evidence that she had anything to do with the *Sallywag* mag perhaps he could not forgive her for this. L.O.L

In 2012 Judge Niclas Parry met Andrea Davison again when as a Crown Court Judge he jailed her for two and half years after saying although no-one had lost any money they could have done. He didn't say that. He said he "was satisfied that she was a highly intelligent woman who used information she fraudulently obtained to repeatedly create false identification documents for the purpose of obtaining, potentially, many thousands of pounds worth of credit. It had left people devastated." See my **ANDREA DAVISON PDF**. He did not declare that he knew Andrea Davison and had opposed her years ago when he represented the paedophiles she exposed." Probably because he had never met her before jailing her.

I have cast a beady eye over *Sallywag* Mag and have looked high and low on the internet, including here <http://web.archive.org/web/20100725064520/http://www.scandals.org/articles/srindex.html> and here <http://sallywagarchive.info/> for a connection, let alone a contribution to any articles, between Andrea Davison [Tara] and *Sallywag*. I've yet to find any.

The only people making such connections are shills, who state that “Andrea and journalist Pete Sawyer continued the exposure through Scallywag magazine.” But no link to any article is provided. See <http://aangirfan.blogspot.co.uk/2012/10/savile-davison-clarke-scalliwag.html>

<http://google-law.blogspot.co.uk/2012/10/andrea-davison-jimmy-savile-serco-and.html>

On the following shill sites, Davison, together with Icke and Baloney are referred to as “Scallywag heroes”. I should imagine that Simon Regan and Angus James are turning over in their graves at this grotesque corruption of the Scallywag name.

<http://mindcontrolvictim.blogspot.co.uk/2013/01/the-scalliwag-heroes-andrea-davison.html?m=1>

<http://beforeitsnews.com/eu/2013/01/the-scalliwag-heroes-andrea-davison-fights-on-2495102.html>

See this ‘twit’ piece below: “Andrea was on Scallywag magazine which was investigating paedos.

REMEMBER : it was Andrea Davison of Scallywag who reported to Tony Blair.”

http://www.twitlonger.com/show/n_1sif06h

Look at the anonymous commenters here making references to Andrea Davison. Julie Lowe asks ‘akrotiri’ a valid question ... and is ignored.

<http://scallywagmagazine.blogspot.co.uk/2012/11/scallywag-magazine-article-on-lord.html>

Anna Raccoon writes that Davison *claims* to be behind some Scally stories. Andrea Davison has been thoroughly discredited and is a convicted fraudster. See my PDF on her. Why doesn’t Anna do some research, and categorically state that there is no evidence that Davison or Pete Sawyer wrote for Scally? Anna is fogging the issue by not making this clear, such that her readers believe it to be true. By connecting the easily discredited and obvious shill Andrea Davison with Scallywag, doubt is then cast over the credibility of Scally. Job’s a good un.

Oh, and what a surprise. Here

<http://chrisspivey.org/scallywag-magazine-how-the-torys-covered-up-the-paedophile-ring-jimmy-savile-procured-for-andrea-davison-also-with-julian-assange-in-ecuador-embassy-london/> we see super shill Spivey

endorsing the Tara/Davison lying fraud ... whilst promoting his shilly pal, the Middle East 'consultant' Peter Eyre who publishes his own steaming shill shit about Andrea.

Back to the 'What happens if three butterflies ...' article

<http://annaraccoon.com/2014/01/31/what-happens-if-three-butterflies-flap-their-wings-simultaneously/>

Fiona who, you say? Fiona of [the forged letter](#), Fiona of the Levitt report Notice the Raccoon doesn't provide a link to the Levitt report. That's because it reveals a bit of truth. [Fiona who kicked off the rehashed Duncroft story by going to the police claiming to be a witness to abuse 'which had never been investigated'](#). Anna Raccoon is wrong on two counts here, firstly Fiona claimed to be a *victim* of abuse, and secondly she was not the one who kicked it all off. See my PDF 'FIONA OF THE FORGED LETTER'

http://sharonkilby.co.uk/site/FIONA_OF_THE_FORGED_LETTER.php for more. That Fiona. It was the forged letter that got to me – for Andrea Davison [had just been sentenced](#) to jail – for forgery. That's when things got really spooky. For it seems that Andrea is not the only Davison to have been convicted of '[Boiler Room Scams](#)'. [There was an Adrian Davison](#) too

But hold up! Now that is a weird coincidence! There was a Christopher Fay sentenced at the same time as Adrian Davison for 'ancillary offences'. Except that it wasn't a 'co-incidence' – it was [one and the same Chris Fay](#) who kicked off the investigation into the 'Elm House' child abuse [saga](#)....

Chris Fay is just another scum of the earth shill. The fact that he is in cahoots with Bill Baloney tells you all you need to know. See the link below whereby the pair of them get publicity with the Butlincat bullshitter <https://butlincat.wordpress.com/2013/10/14/nightmares-at-elm-guest-house-bill-maloney-interviews-chris-fay/> <http://google-law.blogspot.co.uk/2014/05/bill-maloney-and-chris-fay-interview.html> Fay gets publicity with Baloney on the shill site Justice Denied <http://google-law.blogspot.co.uk/2014/05/bill-maloney-and-chris-fay-interview.html> Fay is interviewed by Lou Collins with Baloney and Gerrish <http://www.mixcloud.com/shylou73/bill-maloney-chris-fay-brian-gerrish-westminster-special-on-peoples-internet-radio-15714/> Fay gets publicity from Dave Knight <http://www.theduckshoot.com/tag/chris-fay/> See also my pdf on GOJAM <http://sharonkilby.co.uk/site/GOJAM.php>

What is it with these 'child protection activists' – it's never a victim directly – who claim to be aware of abuse in children's homes **good question; always a good sign that said person is a shill** and their predilection for forged documents and boiler room scams?

Why do I feel uncomfortable that certainly two, if not three, of these people show indications of having common interests outside of their interest in reporting child abuse?

Because we currently have three (more actually) major police investigations and Inquiries running, **costing millions of pounds, and creating disruption and misery in hundreds of lives**, that possibly have more in common than merely a story line including 'abuse by 'top Tories'. **Why doesn't Anna Cointelpraccoon tell her readers that we will keep on having these endless sham inquiries, costing us multi millions, whilst we have freemasonry and other secret societies in power and in control of all so-called investigations.**

All the talk of 'cells' and 'rings', 'disinformation agents' and 'political activists' exerting their power to hide child abuse has had us all fully occupied looking outwards to see if there is any truth in the stories – **perhaps we should be looking inwards at where these stories emanated from.** Perhaps we should be looking at where the **disinformation** is emanating. Well look no further than the complete charlatan and disinfo agent extraordinaire Anna Raccoon. It seems a long time ago now that I wrote these words:

[There have been many people working in the background of this story.](#)

I quote from the above link: "It makes me wonder whether I could have a chat with you about the possibility of you writing of your Duncroft experience for the opinion pages of The Times. What do you think? Mr G is framing that one for me as we speak...needless to say, **when they saw from the next chapter that I wasn't abused by Jimmy Savile – they lost interest. Ditto, Radio 4 who wanted to fly a journalist over to France hot foot before I revealed what happened when Jimmy Savile visited the school, a scoop for them, er, lost interest when they discovered I was not claiming to have been abused!** Well there is no point in her just *telling us* this. Where is her evidence? Why didn't she record her phone conversations with said **unnamed** journalists, or publish her emails? You know, to **prove** her assertions! I am not alone getting in this reaction, far from it, for I have finally this morning tracked down, shall we say, an elderly member of staff from those Duncroft

years. We chatted for hours on the phone. I am not going to reveal who she is, for very good reason. She is waiting patiently to make a statement to the Police. And that is going to put the cat firmly amongst the pigeons. What utter tommy rot. Why would this woman be waiting to make a statement to police? And what good would it do? A woman of the Raccoon's intelligence and life experiences would know that the police are a big part of the problem i.e the reason Savile got away with his heinous crimes.

She has no interest in speaking to the media, not because she is old, or infirm, or uncooperative – but because the media have already had ample opportunity to speak to her. The Daily Mail, the Sunday Telegraph, the Independent were among those whose names she remembered from the days when, after some 'helpful person' had fed her address to the press, she found herself besieged by so many journalists and camera crews that she was unable to leave her home, nor were her neighbours; finally this elderly woman was forced to vacate her home and stay with friends. Yeah ok! Nothing wrong with that you say, it is right that those in a position to throw light on this matter should be rigorously questioned by the media. I would agree – had any of the media been interested in what she had to say. Had even one of them quoted her. But you see, she didn't make the girl's story stand up either, in fact what she had to say was in direct – and provable – opposition to some of the claims – and they didn't want to know! There was only one story in town. Well then prove it!!! Yes, the Raccoon's readers will be well aware that the mainstream media always put their slant on stories; so why doesn't Anna Raccoon write an article on behalf of this elderly staff member of years gone by? Surely this woman would be happy to reveal her identity and set the record straight with all the evidence she has to dispel these awful rumours.

I had some evidence of this before I started, I detailed my initial attempts to talk to some in the media. 'Commercial suicide' and 'Career suicide' were phrases said to me by two top flight investigative journalists when I attempted to point out where the evidence could be found that would disprove some of what was being put around. They didn't care to open that box..." Notice she doesn't name these two top flight investigative journalists. See my 'FIONA' PDF for more on this 'commercial/career suicide' garbage.

She also says: "The media have a lot to answer for when it comes to helping genuine victims." Well that's the idea; duh. That's why we have an 'alternative media'! [Well, what we actually have is the pretence of

one. The Raccoon btw has quite a big gob on her in this A/M genre.] What the sly old witch doesn't want you to know is that she and all the other rat shills are working hand in glove with the MSM.

And "Damaging because more people will be proven to be liars. Just because they were blinded by the lure of five minutes of fame. They will have to live with that, and it saddens me; already damaged lives will be further damaged. Some will say – 'serves them right' – but I don't hold with that view. I would rather say, it serves *all* of us right. We have the media we pay for every time we buy a newspaper or pay our television licence. We encouraged them to make a living out of feeding us pap – and they obliged. The breathtaking hypocrisy of this woman knows no bounds. Bloody loathsome fake bitch.

I have now amassed a body of documentary evidence. Show us then, you lying cow. I am not handing it to the media either. It is going straight to the Police, and I remain ready to make a statement as do several other people." Remain ready??? Who are these 'others'??? What are the police going to do???

In the comments section of her 'Past Lives and Present Misgivings Part 6' she says: "They are influenced by looking at a journalist who doesn't even bother to contact his aunt to tell her that he is about to 'position' her centre stage in an attempt to prove institutional child abuse – merely sends an assistant to doorstep her the night before transmission, who is this assistant and where is the evidence? and who doesn't reveal to the world at large, merely one editor on a rival programme, that it is indeed his aunt that he is exposing in this manner. Meirion has never made any secret of his family connection with Duncroft school. I find that despicable and will keep saying so loud and clear." She goes on to describe him as "totally, utterly, and irredeemably despicable." Well actually, she's describing herself there; not Meirion.

Somebody forged the letter that Fiona produced saying the investigation into Savile was being dropped due to his age. Well since she's a 'cough' 'investigative' journalist, why doesn't she make some attempt to find out what's *really* going on? Why doesn't she ask the questions/raise the points that I do on my 'FIONA' PDF re Fiona and the other Duncroftonians?

Somebody, I am alarmed to tell you, set up a Facebook entity in the name of a former member of staff encouraging former residents to tell them their story. How many children – now adult – felt

safe communicating with what they thought was a trusted member of staff? It took a high level call to a Director of Facebook before that entity was taken down – it has never been established who set it up. Certainly not that member of staff. The entire Facebook group which had been urging girls to come forward with tales of abuse was taken down just before the broadcast of the story. So how does she know all this? This sounds like more of the same completely made up rubbish a la Raccoon. Who is the member of staff? It doesn't sound to me like the girls trusted any member of staff whilst they were at school. Why would they trust any of them 30 odd years later? Anyone who wanted to speak out about their CSA was already doing so on sites such as 'friends re-united'. Why would anyone need to do so via a 'trusted' ex staff member?

Why were the Home Office records of girls who had been sent there by the justice system, handed to Barnardos, a private charity? Why were later records, of girls who were sent there under the auspices of the mental health regime, handed to Barnardos, a private charity? Why are they still listed as safely in the possession of the National Archive? Who has seen them? Well, probably because the school was run by Barnardos! Not that it makes any difference, as they too are Masonic controlled; having close links with senior Royals and politicians. The Queen herself is patron and the Duchess of Cornwall is president. What more needs to be said?

<http://www.purleyfreemasons.org.uk/freemasonry.html>

[https://www.grandcharity.org/news.php/89/over 1 million for 25 national charities](https://www.grandcharity.org/news.php/89/over_1_million_for_25_national_charities)

[http://www.barnardos.org.uk/what we do/our history/barnardos and royalty.htm](http://www.barnardos.org.uk/what_we_do/our_history/barnardos_and_royalty.htm)

<http://www.cherieblair.org/highlights/>

Someone in the background has had a keen and determined interest in building this story. What a sinister and subversive piece of shit this Anna Fake is.

What happens if three butterflies flap their wings simultaneously – or even three moth-eaten political activists reading from the same crib sheet? Do we end up with a Tsunami of child abuse allegations, compounded by a line of 'me-too' claimants?

As far as Elm House is concerned, I have enough trouble keeping up with the Duncroft saga – but it seems to me that if you run a hotel in an era when homosexuality is illegal and direct your advertising towards the

'gay market' then you are not going to attract so much the established and stable male couples (who have a perfectly good home) as those in search of an occasional venue – and inevitably some of those will be accompanied by young and vulnerable 'rent boys'. That behaviour is still illegal and deserves to be prosecuted – but it is a long way from the lurid tales, employing 'spook language' of 'trafficking', 'cells', and organised 'rings'. **It is plain and simple individualised child abuse, without the celebrity 'keywords'.** The mere fact that spooky Anna Shill is trying to convince you that it is ludicrous to suggest any connection between Elm Guest House, child trafficking and organised paedophilia; that it was a just a place for gays whereby young boys would *occasionally* be taken and abused; tells you otherwise. The documentation seized by police from NAYPIC premises in 2012 clearly reveal the Elm guest list and evidence of a V.I.P paedophile network and Establishment cover up. See my pdf on GOJAM for more on Elm.

<http://www.theguardian.com/politics/2014/jul/05/elm-guest-house-paedophile-network-allegations>

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Elm_Guest_House_child_abuse_scandal

What I am particularly talking about here is how, and from where, this particular 'script' of Tory Party involvement in 'rings' of paedophiles in disparate venues across the country, that dates right back to the beginnings of the **Satanic Ritual Abuse fable**, has emerged – and why it has emerged from the Blogosphere depths to take centre stage in the media. And whether we might usefully spend as much time looking at the propagators as we are spending hunting **shadowy perpetrators.**" As for SRA, see my 'MEL VE' http://sharonkilby.co.uk/site/MEL_VE_FREEDOM_CENTRAL.php and 'BEN EMLYN-JONES' http://sharonkilby.co.uk/site/BEN_EMLYN-JONES.php PDFs. Look how La Raccoon puts elite paedo rings in the realm of fiction.

Some truth is seeping out now into the MSN of a powerful paedophile network linked to parliament and No 10. Back in 1983 Tory MP Geoffrey Dickens compiled a dossier which was 'explosive' and would 'blow the lid' on powerful and famous child abusers. The dossier was handed over to the then Home Secretary Leon Brittan. **Nothing was heard of the Dickens dossier again. It was just one of 114 potentially relevant files which was acknowledged by the Home Office to have been either destroyed or lost.** Have a look at the links below and some excerpts.

<http://www.newsnow.co.uk/h/Hot+Topics/Westminster+Child+Abuse+Ring>

In January 2015, an academic researcher found in The National Archives a reference to a file regarding allegations of "unnatural" sexual behaviour taking place at Westminster that probably went to the Prime Minister in the early 1980s. The file remains classified as it contained information from the security services and Law Officers. The Cabinet Office stated that any pertinent files would be made available to the forthcoming **Independent Panel Inquiry into Child Sexual Abuse**. **It remains to be seen just how independent Justice Goddard turns out to be. So far the various child abuse 'investigations' have amounted to nothing more than a cover up, to cover up the cover up.**

After the former Home Secretary Leon Brittan died in January 2015, he was accused of "multiple child rape". Labour MP Tom Watson said he had spoken to two people who claimed they were abused by Brittan, including a man who alleged he had been attacked more than a dozen times as a boy. The alleged victim also said he had seen Brittan assault others. The Independent on Sunday reported allegations that Leon Brittan had abused a pre-pubescent boy at Elm Guest House in 1982. Allegations were reported that Brittan had been photographed attending a rent boy orgy in 1986. It was alleged that young boys were picked up at Kings Cross, London and dropped off at a north London building to be repeatedly raped, but the day before the planned arrests of Brittan and other high-profile figures including the Liberal MP Cyril Smith, the 1986 investigation was disbanded without explanation.

In March 2015, BBC's Newsnight reported that a 1981 undercover police operation which gathered evidence of child abuse by Cyril Smith and other public figures was scrapped shortly after Smith was arrested. During the three-month inquiry in 1981, officers reportedly gathered a substantial amount of evidence of men abusing boys. **The order to scrap the inquiry came from a senior officer who??? Name the bastard** after Smith and others had been arrested. Police officers were then **ordered to hand over all their evidence - including notebooks and video footage - and were warned to keep quiet about the investigation or face prosecution under the Official Secrets Act.** Labour MP Simon Danczuk said: "It is my view that Smith was being protected by some fairly powerful people. They ensured that he was never put before the courts."

Piece by piece, the **evidence mounts of a high-level paedophile ring in the 1970s and 80s involving figures from what used to be called the establishment: MPs, diplomats, officials and senior police officers.** The Independent Police Complaints Commission announced that it was to

supervise an inquiry into the Metropolitan police's failure to continue inquiries into child abuse because they involved public figures.

The persistence of the rumours and the steadily growing number of sources of allegations has shifted the claims from the realms of conspiracy theory into a much more substantial case.

MPs and victims of child sex abuse have called for the Met Police to hand over a paedophile ring probe after the force's chief became embroiled in the scandal.

The allegations centering on Dolphin Square, a 7.5-acre, 1,250-flat complex by the Thames, include claims that boys in nearby Lambeth care homes were recruited as rent boys and ferried to the apartments for violent orgies where VIPs, defence and Whitehall officials, establishment types, as well as Tory MPs (one "cabinet minister"), were said to be participants. The Yard has spoken of "possible homicide" being committed. Historical and more recent allegations have been backed by Labour MP John Mann, who first encountered them as a Lambeth councillor in the 80s, but was told by police contacts that their inquiries had been stopped on orders from superiors.

The IPCC has acquired a significant role now that it is supervising the Met investigation into 14 allegations that its officers suppressed or hindered inquiries and covered up offences involving MPs or their own officers. But the IPCC is tainted by old failures.

Independent Police Complaints Commission deputy chairman Sarah Green said: "These allegations are of historic, high-level corruption of the most serious nature.

"Allegations of this nature are of grave concern and I would like to reassure people of our absolute commitment to ensuring the investigations are thorough and robust."

There are members of the establishment that are on the edge of being brought to justice, but it seems the government is waiting for them to die. If you look at Cyril Smith, Jimmy Savile, Leon Brittan; there is a mortuary for child abusers that the government is hoping to fill up. Dead men can't speak.

John Mann who is the MP for Bassetlaw, has spent three months refining the list of names from hundreds of pieces of information given to him by members of the public. Mann says he believes that "at least" five paedophile rings were operating at the heart of Westminster in the 1970s and 1980s.

John Mann fears the deaths of the two council workers could be linked to a Westminster-based paedophile gang involving highly-influential politicians.

One of the men, social services manager Bulic Forsythe, was killed three days after telling a colleague he planned to "spill the beans" about the abuse scandal. (correct)

Mr Mann did not name the second whistleblower but he is former caretaker who claimed he had taped evidence of depraved parties.

Last week, Scotland Yard confirmed officers are investigating allegations that three young boys were murdered by a VIP child sex gang.

"Bulic Forsythe had significant information in relation to child abuse," Mr Mann said.

"He went to the police at the time and got nowhere. What I want to see is both those suspicious deaths reinvestigated."

He believed he had evidence properties were being used to make pornographic films. An internal council report later detailed allegations of rape and sexual assault. It implicated senior Lambeth officers as well as politicians and police.

The father of a murdered child said in November 2014 that he believed the child may have died at the hands of a paedophile ring involving high-profile individuals. Eight-year-old Vishal Mehrotra went missing in July 1981 in Putney, less than a mile from the Elm Guest House in Rocks Lane, Barnes. Seven months later the upper half of his torso was found buried in woodland in West Sussex. Vishambar Mehrotra, a retired magistrate, said that he fears the Metropolitan police covered up links between his son's killing and activities at Elm Guest House. In May 1983 the inquiry into Vishal Mehrotra's death was wound up by the police. Vishal Mehrotra's murder was linked at the time by detectives to the activities of Sidney Cooke. Police investigated the paedophile ring involving Cooke in a major inquiry known as Operation Orchid, after they received intelligence that the ring could have abducted and killed up

to 20 children. Vishambar Mehrotra said he was contacted by a man a few months after his son's disappearance who suggested the boy's abduction might be connected to the activities of a group of "powerful, high-profile" paedophiles who frequented Elm Guest House. He said that he taped the phone call and passed it onto detectives investigating his son's abduction, but the information was never followed up. Mehrotra said: "It is clear to me that there has been a huge cover up. There is no doubt in my mind."

Nick Clegg said: "We are in the early stages of a reckoning with our past of things happening on a scale and of a gravity which just a few months ago would have seemed unimaginable and almost too horrific to contemplate. The task is to peel back the layers of deception that appear to have happened in the past."

The *Daily Telegraph* reported in January 2015 that police were investigating a possible link between former Conservative Party deputy chairman Peter Morrison and the unsolved murder of eight-year-old Vishal Mehrotra in 1981. A 46-year-old man alleged that **Morrison raped him in Elm Guest House when he was aged 14, and claimed Scotland Yard covered it up.**

And what of the Rotherham child sex abuse scandal?

In November 2013 Rotherham Council commissioned Professor Alexis Jay, a former chief social work adviser to the Scottish government, to lead an independent inquiry into the its handling of cases involving child exploitation since 1997. Jay's initial report published on 26 August 2014 revealed that the **number of children sexually exploited in Rotherham between 1997 and 2013 was, by "conservative estimate", at least 1,400.** According to the report, children **as young as eleven were "raped by multiple perpetrators, abducted, trafficked to other cities in England, beaten and intimidated."** Three previous inquiries - in 2002, 2003 and 2006 - had presented similar findings but, according to the report, had been **"effectively suppressed"** because officials "did not believe the data"

Abuses described by the report included abduction, rape and sex trafficking of children. The inquiry team found examples of **"children who had been doused in petrol and threatened with being set alight, threatened with guns, made to witness brutally violent rapes and threatened they would be next if they told anyone"**. The report revealed that "one child who was being prepared to give evidence received a text saying the perpetrator **had her younger sister and the**

choice of what happened next was up to her. She withdrew her statements. At least two other families were terrorised by groups of perpetrators, sitting in cars outside the family home, smashing windows, making abusive and threatening phone calls. On some occasions child victims went back to perpetrators in the belief that this was the only way their parents and other children in the family would be safe. In the most extreme cases, no one in the family believed that the authorities could protect them." The report highlighted the role of taxi drivers in the town in facilitating the abuse.

Theresa May described the failures of police and council agencies to deal with child sex abuse as a complete dereliction of duty. Chief Executive, Martin Kimber, said no council officers would face disciplinary action.

One Home Office researcher, attempting to raise concerns with senior police officers in 2002 about the level of abuse, was told not to do so again, and was subsequently suspended and sidelined.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Rotherham_child_sexual_exploitation_scandal#Alexis_Jay_inquiry

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Rotherham_child_sexual_exploitation_scandal

And what of child sexual abuse in Oxfordshire?

Child sexual abuse carried on unabated in Oxfordshire for so long because of catastrophic organisational failure. The highest levels of council management weren't even briefed until 2011, the report admits, including the Directors of Children's Services. And yet there have been no resignations so far. The Oxfordshire Safeguarding Children Board (OSCB), astonishingly, ruled out any disciplinary action.

The heinous men behind these crimes were, like in other cases, part of gangs. The police officer who led Operation Bullfinch characterised the crimes as "organised" in the report. One of the victims said: "People were afraid of them. I felt protected. People respected them." Another said: "It wasn't until the trial that I realised the organised nature of the abuse." Men travelled from cities such as Bradford, Leeds, London and Slough to sexually abuse these girls. It became a prostitution and trafficking racket. This was organised crime.

<http://labourlist.org/2015/03/the-harsh-uncomfortable-truths-about-child-abuse-in-oxfordshire-and-rotherham/>

On and on it goes. Some of the comments under the above linked article are:

The report is a bloody whitewash - No wilful neglect? - mon derrière, "professional tolerance" if not an oxymoron is virtually meaningless. Why did staff not take action - lethargy? forgetfulness? How convenient that senior management (and members) knew nothing.

It is chock full of very serious examples of local authority cowardice, ineptitude and corruption. And yet somehow the author summarises:

"no evidence of wilful professional neglect or misconduct by organisations"

And, amazingly:

"On the surface, many of the illustrations described in the report can seem like professional ineptitude, unconcern, or inaction." - going onto then claim that this is all perfectly understandable "in context".

It's clearly an attempted whitewash, but a very bad one. Moreover, it is nothing like a full report - it relies almost exclusively on all of the concerned authorities bodies management reports and also only concerns 6 of the estimated 373 victims. **There's a lot more yet to be uncovered.**

We have so many educated people who are simply disabled they are all blind and deaf, hear no evil see no evil you cannot then blame us.

Yet another report and what happens to those in charge when the abuse occurred and were responsible for the system that allowed it? **Why they get promoted.**

In the comments section of 'What happens if three butterflies flap their wings ...' is a comment from a Savile supporting shill who calls himself johnS. He answers the question "Why oh why are none of the MSM investigating this?" with: "For the same reason that several other stories **without merit run and run**: Firstly most of the mainstream media now consists largely of **regurgitated press releases – often provided by pressure groups** (ie "news") or **fact-free ranting**-with **prejudice** (i.e "comment") **Exactly what we get in the 'A/M' from shills like johnS.** When was the last time you saw a story which looked like it had been

been investigated and developed over a number of months by a team of journalists when all they had at the beginning was a grain of evidence? "All The President's Men" now looks more like fantasy fiction. Ha ha, knowing that it was. There's more to Watergate than the official story.

Secondly, there are enough different angles in the successful false story for one of them to appeal to the prejudices of almost anyone, however different starting positions appear.

In the case of Savile the attacking-the-BBC angle appeals to the right-wing media so they were primed to believe in the first instance. In the case of the The Guardian and most of the left (even within the BBC), they always hated "friend of Thatcher" Savile anyway plus it chimes with the "all men are evil" faction and the "accusation of assault equals guilty of assault" movement. Any genuine commentator on a Raccoon blog would know that all MSM is completely biased towards protecting powerful paedo rings and would not make such comments.

Another aspect is the almost religious clustering round a consensus (evident here and in other stories I won't mention because it might derail the thread what a load of twaddle). No journalist now wants to stick their head above the parapet because the viciousness displayed towards the lone "blasphemer" is horrific and potentially career-ending. Once a story has reached that level of gospel then it is almost impossible for a mainstream journalist to even hint that it might be wrong." No, it's because there is overwhelming evidence of Savile's guilt. Some journalists do stick their heads above the parapet. A case in point is the stalwartness shown by Meirion Jones and Liz MacKean.

Another shill hiding behind the silly pseudonym IlovetheBBC comments: "I just KNEW Fay was a fraud. The moment I read the Elm House 'guest list' I knew it was bollocks. It had obviously been dreamed up to retrofit people and scandals hardly heard of when the parties were supposed to have taken place. The bloggers who jumped on it were warned, by me at least, that it was a crock but they wanted to believe it. The only reason that we have some inkling now of these scandals is because of the free flow of information via the internet. As such the Authorities have to engage in damage limitation with their various whitewash investigations; the MSM have to produce limited and skewed reporting; and the cointelpro agents have to play their part by muddying the cyberwaters in so-called 'Alternative Media' land. TPTB are portraying all of us cyber-warriors as conspiracy

theorists/anarchists/thrill-seekers [the right cheeky Raccoon calls us the 'tin foil hat conspiracy theorists!'] who just want an excuse to rebel and commit mayhem. Now I find that the would-be MI5 agent, so clearly a fake that a child could have seen it, was an old friend of Fay's.

The whole thing has been an exercise in human gullibility." Chris Fay and Andrea Davison are both exposed in the MSN as convicted and jailed fraudsters. This 'BBC' shill is making the point that we can take what they say with a pinch of salt – and that includes the Elm guest list. Anyone who believes that the likes of Sir Cliff could have called himself Kitty whilst he satisfied his lust for little boys at the Elm guest house is dismissed as a gullible fool.

Another paedo protecting troll who is a regular commentator is Sam Best. That is almost certainly not his real name. He says: "I think every now and then the media does do an 'expose' as it has on Measham and that nutty woman that's it, portray a genuine victim – Steve Messham – as a fruit loop, by lumping him in with a fictional 'nutcase' who hung at the Traveller's camps where we conspiraloon gypsy types/LSD/cannabis addicts [losers] hang out but their naive capacity or willingness to go along with new fraudulent claims is odd. All CSA compensation claimants are portrayed as frauds.

For those like Icke and Spivey and so on to just accept these wild accusations and falsified credentials is weirder I think. This is the very effective double bluff. A lot of what these super shills report is actually true, but because they also spread a load of provable lies, you cannot be sure what is truth and what is poppycock.

Before the internet these characters- and Davison and Fay were around the scene for ages, has been a boom time. Before that they both were full of tales of conspiracies and so on but it was usually in the hope of cadging another drink from a new listener. Yeah ok. These two were too busy engaging in their criminal activities. Fay is a pretty sad creature and was disliked as he seems to have had a charisma by-pass

After having some success as a council member and working in homes you can understand why the judge in the Olympic fraud case didn't accept his idiotic claim that he didn't know what he was doing when channeling funds through half a dozen bank accounts (for a small percentage).

But Davison is just a congenital liar. Her often convincing stories when told to a newly recruited but naive listener can be damaging." The

mainstream media want to dismiss us all as Ickeists/Spiveyists. There is so much disinformation put out, for umpteen useful idiots [Icke/Spiv fans] to spread; the result of which is that all the important truth, such as the toff nonce paedo ring scandals gets muddled. Thus business can continue as usual, and the NWO trundles on.

Take, for example the claim from 'Sam Best' below:

“Child protection worker Chris Fay, who says he was shown photos of children dressed up at ‘Kings and Queens parties’ at the guest house. One photograph is said to show a former Tory Cabinet minister in a sauna with a naked 14-year-old boy.” There almost certainly were such ‘parties’ going on. It is also very likely that a minister was in a sauna naked with a lad; after all, the Elm guest house did proudly advertise its facilities, which included a sauna and solarium. Wiki http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Elm_Guest_House_child_abuse_scandal says: “Photographs were allegedly taken of Fairbairn – as well as Cyril Smith – at the guest house. Fairbairn was linked to alleged abuse of boys in a sauna at Elm Guest House.” But because so many people in conspiraland are proven frauds [shills], these sorts of allegations can be dismissed as fanciful claptrap too. Job jobbed.

Fay was a friend of the owners and called in there for drinks and parties – what a prat he is. The only reason someone hasn’t made a false allegation about him is because he is potless. Sam Stooze would have us believe that there is no truth to any of these allegations and that the only reason victims are making them are in the hope of claiming some easy compo money, as these alleged perps are very wealthy upper society types. Elm House may have had a guest call in with an underage rent boy/girl but to claim it was organised is a fantasy. This is the point all shills are spreading – men might have indulged occasionally with a young ‘rent boy’; but to suggest organised paedophilia is sheer ludicrousness. Also Fay knows he used to proposition rent boys at West end bars albeit of legal age. (he’s not a paedophile) State Agent Sam wants to convince you that Chris Fay is gay, but not a paedophile [I don’t know whether or not Fay is gay. I suspect that is just more disinfo/muddying of waters]. Likewise he and his ilk want us to believe that Savile liked em young, but not too young i.e. his sex buddies were of consensual age and his encounters consensual. Anything else is pure malicious rumour. We’re told that if Savile or any of his fellow paedo pals did fuck a child it would not have been intentional; it would have been because they had no idea said youngster was underage as s/he looked a lot older ... and they make the point that it just isn’t the

done thing to ask for some proof of age. I recall one fairly tough number who was about 30 but looked around 22 rejecting his advance and when Fay left saying “that’s the ugliest cunt I’ve seen and I wouldn’t go with him for a fortune”

Taking pictures in a sauna ?. what sauna?. what camera did they use because I don’t recall cameras in the 70s/80s capable of taking photos in a steam room unless it was by a specialist photographer with the right equipment and that’s really credible isn’t it the photos need not have been taken in the sauna itself; they might have been taken in the changing rooms a Tory politician is going to calmly sit in a sauna with a naked under aged boy while a cameraman sets up his equipment with the special lens and filters you need to shoot through steam or smoke. Honestly it’s embarrassing these plonkers like Maloney believe this bullshit without asking a few probing questions : they get so excited about yet another pedo scandal (and that’s a worry in itself- their obsession) they abandon all critical thought. Spooky Sam, the Maloney mole and all the other dumb-ass shills and troll types have abandoned all thought [never mind *critical* thought] to their satanic freemasonic rulers.

I hope the law catches up with this small time nuisance - he has plenty of other matters the cops may like to look at.” The ‘law’ i.e. Masonic police/Masonic CPS/Masonic courts do not punish their agents. Some small fry/has-beens may be sacrificed from time to time – for appearances. The mega-guilty always walk free.

State agent Sam yet again:

“There has always been a great ambivalence about prostitution in the UK, both male and female. I met many rent boys (but I’m not gay) just as a matter of course and possible some were far too young but I do not recall any being younger than maybe 17 or 18. Perhaps there were innocent young lads from the country caught up this stuff but most were pretty hard bitten tough nuts who had learned from an early age that older gentleman were ripe for pickings. Doesn’t make it right or good but that’s the way it was.” That’s ok then; it was the boys who were exploiting the older men; no need to concern ourselves with the possibility of there being powerful and untouchable upper society paedophile rings. What a silly thing to think. No need to think any deeper about this. What’s on telly tonight?

Another Savile troll speaks:

A Subject [March 16, 2014 at 4:06 pm](#) **Look at the fucking names these wankers dream up!**

“The **problem for the Police** is one that runs across more than this issue. For decades now they have been under assault by certain groups who, for whatever reason you want to pick, have **successfully destroyed their reputation with the public**. Every case where there’s a point or percentage to be made is picked over and dissected by friends in the press. So what do the police do? They close ranks and start making sure their stories are all the same so that when the inevitable inquiry starts they can all sing the same song. Of course not being too bright **your average copper is going to bungle this** so you get accusations of cover ups and corruption. Add in real corruption by a **few bent cops** and bingo **instant story for the press and political capital for their critics.**” **So that explains it all then. We don’t have Masonic controlled, deeply corrupt police forces.**

Back to the BBC arsewipe:

IlovetheBBC [February 1, 2014 at 6:52 pm](#)

“Not only would you need a special camera for a sauna but who really had video equipment in the 80s? Few people. It was cumbersome and expensive before the digital revolution. **Lots of people had camcorders during the 1980s. Our family had one.** ditto claims plod filmed people arriving – they had no such equipment **if your average Joe could video record people in the 80s, I’m damned sure the police could manage to do so too**, stills would have been the height of their capability.

The story has been so embellished it simply amazes me so many swallowed it whole.”

And another fecker known as Ecker, shortened to Ecks says:

Mr Ecks [February 1, 2014 at 3:12 pm](#)

“All these names and dates etc have got my head spinning. Someone out there needs to write a book that details the whole affair—everything –Yewtree/Saville/Hall/DLT -the lot—all laid out so that the **whole tangled mess— feminists/crooks/nutters/bandwagon jumpers/ useless coppers/3rd sector liars—everything—** can be followed step by step. The definitive account of a slice of **sordid and deceitful history**. A true account of a **(wo)man-made bogus panic that infested the minds of the British people** (far too many of them at any rate) like fleas on a mangy dog.”

Along the same lines the *Eyes Wide Shut* shill shit says on [February 2, 2014 at 3:54 pm](#):

“Dear lord, what a crew! This is what we’ve been reduced to: scamsters, grifters, lob-lollymen (and women), low-level police informants, chancers, opportunists, con-artists, palmists, three-card montey practitioners, carney-barkers, roustabouts, scene-riggers, fly-by-nights, rent-dodgers, fishers, duckers and divers, women on the badger-game and all that Dickensian mob, together with our post-modernist narcissists, borderline personality disorders, fantasists, “recovered-memory” cases, ambulance-chasing lawyers, political policemen, third-sector czars, a demoralised and corrupt Parliament (one or’t other if not both), a media which has whored itself out of any recognition, and a public willing to believe anything or nothing and both at the same time. And forget it all five minutes later. **Job Jobbed.**”

Jesus. If it wasn’t for you guys, I’d just be left with my own wild surmise about all of this. Thanks. **Much good may it do any of us – but thanks.**”

And the final word from the cointel commentators on this article comes from some clown called Keith M [which may or may not be his real name. Who cares]:

“There seems to be better informed and sensible talking people here than almost any other website that I have seen. Keith is telling us that we should put our confidence in Anna and her online activists, as these people are not your regular Ickie tin hats; they’re worth listening to. The EGH list always was a fantasy and/or an earner for Chris Fay, he of the turtle-like expression. How Bill Maloney has fallen for yet another scam artist after his embarrassing promotion of Ben Fellowes will always make him suspect in my opinion. One of the most persistent players in this charade appears to be John Sawyer AKA Gojam of the Needle Blog and patron of the Icke Forums. **Gojam comments here** <http://theneedleblog.wordpress.com/2013/11/20/freddie-starr-to-sue-alleged-victim/>

“Anna Raccoon’, herself a former Duncroft resident during the late 1960s, likes to suggest that because some former Duncroft girls from the 1960s have claimed that they were sexually abused by Jimmy Savile and that this cannot be true, that former Duncroft girls from the 1970s must also be lying. As you can see this is just doesn’t stand up and Operation Outreach (Surrey Police) understand the difference even if ‘Anna’ doesn’t.” **Here we have the pretend merchants sewing confusion by dissing each other. This tactic serves to alienate the real truth**

activists. The spooks have hijacked the WWW to such an extent that free speech is effectively censored everywhere. It is very difficult now to tell who the real freedom fighters are as we are all under suspicion of working for the dark side. He has **championed every chancer** that has come out of the woodwork, Fay, Kashir, Messham Messham is lobbed into the 'chancer' category, along with all the others, to confuse the public into thinking he is a bullshitter too, Teresa Cooper and he is now as **thick as thieves with Darren Laverty, who's own history as a 'Bully Boy' abuser and procurer at Bryn Estyn** See my pdf on Lavatory http://sharonkilby.co.uk/site/DARREN_LAVERTY.php **Who are the truth-tellers? I am struggling to see anybody who does not have an angle of some kind.**" Well I'd like to know where all the upright investigative journalists are. Far too many have sold out to the Masonic heavyweights. Is there such a thing as 'independent' journalism these days?

As for the lot commenting here and on all the Raccoon's articles, I'm struggling to find anyone who *isn't* working for the PTB [Masonic hierarchy.] I would be most surprised if Anna Raccoon herself *isn't* the voice behind some of these sock puppets.

The irony is, we can learn a lot about what's really happening from our enemies – the highly educated psy-op specialists who write these articles and troll these boards.

In this article <http://annaraccoon.com/2012/11/20/past-lives-and-present-misgivings-part-eight/> Anna La Mole says: "Ms Raccoon is now going to climb out of this rabbit hole and go out for lunch with her reassuringly sane husband – back later." Someone should push her back into that rabbit hole where she belongs, and jam pack it with soil [complete with befitting company - earthworms and slugs], so she has no chance of getting out.

In the comments section is loyal fellow grub Margaret Jervis. I can't actually decide which one of these two is the nastiest and most venomous. Anyway Jervis tries to taint Alison Taylor's good name by suggesting that it may be that the despicable Davison "was acquainted with the **like-minded Alison Taylor**". Not surprisingly the consummate liar Margaret Jervis sings the praises of the utterly loathsome Richard Webster, for his "indefatigable research". Truly vomit inducing. I comment more on her comment during my comments further up this page!

These cointelpros are doing such a good job of muddying the waters, that anyone attempting to get to the truth about the extent of 'V.I.P' paedophilia soon gets lost in a sea of fog ... and gives up. They're also successfully drowning out the voices of anyone who is genuinely trying to get truth out with evidence. **Notice that these agents blog exactly the same stuff.** They're all classed as 'conspiraloons', thus the stuff they broadcast can be ridiculed and dismissed. The ruling elite can point out that the well-known figure of ridicule Ickie started all this nonsense – and made a mint out of millions of gullible followers. Then along comes the easily debunked spooky Spartacus Spivey spouting his own nonsense. The mainstream viewing public would just laugh at the things these Tin Hats come out with, such as the idea that Jimmy Savile was procuring vulnerable children to the Royals and that the government were/are up to their necks in degenerate filth. This, despite the fact Savile was close enough to senior Royals, such that he was deemed a suitable counsellor for Charles and Di, and was photographed with Maggie Thatcher and her inner circle. The public would laugh at the idea of a connection between Sir Cliff, 'Kitty' and the Elm Guest house ... They would also scoff at the suggestion that Ted Heath enjoyed buggering and murdering young boys. Read on.

Take a look at this post <http://annaraccoon.com/2014/11/30/duncroft-the-finale-part-one/>

“Through all the wild claims of murdered children being hurled from the back of Ted Heath’s yacht, and the political chicanery which has threaded its way through the ‘Battle of the Narcissists’ as the question of historic sex abuse has become, I have never lost sight of the fact that it was the ‘Duncroft claims’ which formed the shaky bedrock on which the entire shebang rested. If they weren’t solid fact then what price the houses of ‘Exposure’ or ‘Yewtree’ which rested on them?

This blog has thousands of readers every day – I have no idea who most of you are. You log on in **Australia and Thailand, Angola and Ethiopia,** yeah ok; **no proof of this tho** in the early hours of the morning – and I marvel at how you ever came to hear of Anna Raccoon.

“Having ‘taken all my clothes off’ in public, I was quickly rewarded with, as I had suspected, a raft of supportive ‘ex-Duncroft girls’ who had been googling these mysterious stories, and who had landed on my site. I shall always be grateful to them – they have kept my spirits high as the story grew legs by the day, and I was in danger of disappearing under a **deserved tsunami of vitriol and recrimination** - for being a disgusting sell-

out shill – how could I, how dare I, why would I, throw a spanner in the wheels of this story careering through Fleet Street? Jimmy Savile was the greatest sexual predator mankind had ever known, every one of these totally honest and ‘brave women’, and boys, and **cadavers, and probably goats** wretched little woman, making light of victims’ suffering as well, was telling the absolute **opposite of truth**, and anyone who said otherwise was an MI5 agent, a **paedophile supporter, a disinformation agent**, a government plant – Good Grief, you should have seen my inbox at times!

Gosh is it really three years now since Savile died? – the appropriately named Detective Superintendent Jon Savell has been carrying out an inquiry into an inquiry into the original inquiry of the ‘Duncroft allegations’ – dutifully minutely inspecting the foundation stones of the entire ‘Savile saga’. He has interviewed over 100 old Duncroft girls. He has taken a three day video statement of ‘Susan’s’ evidence. He has interviewed all those Duncroft staff still alive. He has cross-checked every scrap of information with the Barnardo’s records, social workers records, court records, hospital records, death records, birth records – you name it, he’s checked it, to see who is telling the truth and who is patently lying. **FFS!**

Now had ‘Susan’ – whose honesty has been tested time and again, and never found wanting, *not* e-mailed me yesterday to say she was overjoyed and relieved to have received a version of the letter which is circulating on the Internet this morning from DS Jon Savell, individually addressed to each of the girls that he had interviewed, painstakingly explaining the steps he had taken to attempt – and fail – to verify the allegations **laugh out fukkin loud. Have a look at my PDF ‘FIONA OF THE FORGED LETTER’ for Jon Savell’s avin a laf ‘report’** originally made by a girl who claimed to have ‘witnessed’ abuse by Savile taking place at Duncroft, then I wouldn’t have been running this story.

For, you see, there is one very interesting fact buried in the individually addressed three pages of prose from DS Jon Savell. It says quite clearly that the CPS have not ‘decided that you have given a false account of what you SAW happening at Duncroft’.

There is only one person who claimed to have ‘seen’ rather than ‘been the subject of’ abuse by Savile at Duncroft.

That is the same person who was last seen holding aloft a *forged letter* on Surrey Police headed paper falsely explaining that Savile was not being prosecuted because he was ‘too old and infirm’.... **Why does**

the silly cow keep making the mistake of saying that Fiona of the forged letter was the one who claims to be a *witness* of Savile's abuse? The owner of that title is Ms B [Rochelle?] the Beef Biryani witness.

There *may even have been a grain of truth in the original Duncroft allegations*; especially the 'sister' who was kissed at Luton, are you getting the message – Savile wasn't an abuser, he was just a cheeky chappie; that's all but sadly the mendacity, deviousness, to say nothing of the duplicate identities, attempts to impersonate staff, evidence? bullying of any who have attempted to speak the truth as they know it she's never been bullied for speaking truth cos she's never spoken any!; myriad abusive blog sites and Twitter identifies set up by filthy lying skills like la Raccoon; forged letters, there is only one *alleged* forged letter untrue claims to have witnessed other celebrities abusing that's another lie, as Glitter is a proven paedo, untrue claims to have informed staff or witnessed staff 'pimping girls out' why doesn't she *prove* such claims are not true. And by that I mean something other than the letter dated 27/11/14 re 'Operation Outreach' which was signed by the thoroughly corrupt Detective Supt Jon Savell who heads a cesspool of criminal paedophile sewage. Are there any signed statements/recorded interviews from former members of staff confirming this? If so, why doesn't she publicise them? – all have long since discredited the value anyone can put on *anything* they have to say. Job's a good un. Anna Raccoon, your Masonic puppeteer must be very happy with you. Hope you realise you are now shackled to Satan forever. So, for me, this is the end of keeping the Duncroft plate spinning in the air – it is up to others now to figure out whether the tower block of **claims and allegations** that have been constructed on the Duncroft foundations have *any truth in them* – some of them may, many will not." Everything will be crystal clear in the not too distant future when the public realise what the despicable Anna Raccoon and her fellow evil shill friends have done in their efforts to fog the issue.

Edited to add by Anna: "Oh dear, oh dear – Just for good measure – the man who was actually *at* and owned Elm Guest House all those years ago, Haroon Kasir, has just broken cover to pour cold water over the entire Elm Guest House conspiracy theory – never happened, no politicians, no nothing;

"There is **no truth in these allegations whatsoever**. It's complete nonsense.

“Elm House was just a guest house. There were never any politicians or any parties. That is why there have never been any convictions on anything like that. There is nothing else to add.” *The evil witch would promote that line wouldn't she. See my pdf on Gojam for more on Haroon Kasir.*

Moor Larkin December 1, 2014 at 4:01 pm

“Somebody remarked on my blog a while back to the effect that there must be something wrong with my life for me to keep on like this. *This 'somebody' is almost certainly another figment of avin a larff Larkin's imagination. Why would 'somebody' say that? That's like someone asking me why I bother writing my website. Isn't it obvious? Isn't our fight for freedom the *only* thing that really matters? I can and could see their point of view. The *problem here is that the media are in love with twitter and the internet and seem to believe all that they come across. They never fact-check anything and just rely on a "source" to save them from "the blame".** Then plead “journalistic privilege” or something when somebody does challenge them, or collapse in a heap a la McAlpine.” *Moor Larkin, you cowardly sack of shit, why don't you grow some balls and blog behind your real name? When Joe Public gets wind of your vile 'game', you'll be *collapsing under a heap of fellow paedo corpses.**

Finally, why didn't Private Eye expose Savile? In the following post, the Raccoon states: “Post-Leveson, the Dead Tree Press has been keen to show that, unregulated, they can expose much wrong doing in our world that could protect us from evil. The departed Jimmy Savile has been the poster child for this movement. His name attached to unsubstantiated facts used to drive business to the failing web sites of the previously all powerful news conglomerates. The airwaves are full of elderly journalists telling us that they ‘knew all along’ but were too frightened of his ‘power’ to say anything. So many fearless journalists, so much fear!

What they mean, of course, is that their editors wouldn't publish unsubstantiated gossip, for fear of getting sued by the irate subject.

There was a newspaper, or rather magazine, that was famous for being fearless. It was Private Eye magazine, presided over by Richard Ingrams. They took on the mighty and powerful, and were frequently sued – Jimmy Goldsmith comes to mind. Journalists would give them tit bits of stories that their papers wouldn't run, and Private Eye thrived on publishing them. I am forced to believe that not one journalist ever took his concern regarding Jimmy Savile to Private Eye – for they never so

much as hinted of concern regarding him until he was dead, in fact he featured on their front cover. Either that, or they were more frightened of Jimmy Savile than they were of Jimmy Goldsmith, which I do find hard to believe.”

<http://annaraccoon.com/2014/06/04/not-even-whispered-it-softly/> Private Eye are also controlled opposition and were not allowed to expose Savile. The fact that they don't ask the questions/raise the points that I do re 'forged letter Fiona' etc tells me all I need to know about whose side they're really on. No need to go digging or mention the fact that real warriors against elite paedos expose the illegal Masonic shadow authority that runs through the Establishment or the fact that real freedom fighters do not get TV airtime. Private Eye might have been free from Masonic control at one time; but not anymore.

Richard Ingrams talks a load of old bollox when he says he never suppressed any Savile stories at Private Eye and believes the story may never have been told before because victims were too “scared” to expose him <http://www.pressgazette.co.uk/why-oldie-exposed-savile-child-abuse-i-just-thought-it-was-good-story> Karin Ward for one wasn't too scared. She was blogging about JS whilst he was alive. Why didn't Ingrams tell her story at least? He could have protected her identity; just as the identities of some of the others on 'Exposure - The Other Side of Jimmy Savile' were protected.