

EXHIBIT CHART – my observations.

Take a look at this 51 page pdf, which contains seven FBI exhibits <https://cbsboston.files.wordpress.com/2016/02/document44.pdf> It is not my intention here to comment on the *content* contained within them, but rather their construction; and to question their authenticity. I will be doing a separate pdf on the unjust treatment of Jahar Tsarnaev's friends in due course. In the meantime you might like to take a look at my pdf on 'The JANSPORT backpack' for a taster of that http://sharonkilby.co.uk/site/THE_JANSPORT_BACKPACK.php

The exhibits are a compilation of statements allegedly made to the FBI by Jahar and his friend Robel Phillipos, and some associated documentation. Now I say *alleged* because, apart from one short statement [which is just over a page long] signed by Robel, these statements are actually written summaries of what was *allegedly* said by Jahar and Robel during their interviews by FBI agents. Apart from the one statement from Robel, **all the rest have been written by the agents, and NONE have been signed by Jahar or Robel.** Incidentally that signed statement was rejected in court by the jury <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SelzGtv3ktI> [4:50 mins.]

Aside from the fact that, in the case of Jahar, whose statements are so **heavily redacted** [which raises the obvious question, why? What are the government hiding?] that there is a risk that anything stated can be **taken out of context and thus misconstrued, unsigned statements written by government agents cannot give an accurate representation of what was actually stated – by the interrogators or victims.**

That being the case it is incumbent upon the government to publish all the taped recordings and the transcripts, **in full and uncensored in any way**, of all the interviews that the FBI did with Jahar and Robel [and Jahar's other friends.] I should just add though that, as far as the friends are concerned, from my research thus far, there *doesn't seem to be any recordings.* Wonder why!

According to the court papers Jahar “[readily admitted his own involvement.](#)” See ‘**OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT’S MOTION TO SUPPRESS STATEMENTS**’ Document 319 <https://www.scribd.com/doc/296900185/Doc-319-5-21-2014-Govt-s-Opp-to-Tsarnaev-Mtn-to-Supp>. **Until we know for sure what Jahar actually said we don't know if that is true.** If he did, it

would have been done under duress. Jahar was in about as hopeless a position as any human being could be in. He was **alone** and **shackled** to his hospital bed, **gravely injured, two days after being 'captured'**. The government point out, as part of their argument that he was treated, not just lawfully, but *fairly and sympathetically* too, that their agents **"unhandcuffed him every time they were present."** What on earth was he doing in handcuffs in the first place? He was hardly in any fit state to jump out of bed and make a run for it. Jahar would have known his interrogators [men who work for the very people who had framed him and then caused him serious bodily harm] had the power to make his life even more miserable if he didn't 'co-operate'. I comment further on that Doc 319 further down this page.

Now, leaving aside the points already made, I'm *not even convinced that all of the documentation that is published in these 7 exhibits is authentic.*

Here are my observations:-

You'll notice 'Exhibit 1' consists of pages 1 to 6. At the end of page 2 of 6 you'll notice it is signed [by TFO **David Earle** and SA **Dwight Schwader**]; you'll also notice there is nothing underneath those signatures. Now notice page 3 of 6 has "USA-KTP000012" typed in the bottom right hand corner. Page 4 of 6 has the same [except the last digit is a 3], as does page 5 of 6 [last digit 4] and page 6 of 6 has last digit 5. **So why does page 2 of 6 not have "KTP000011" typed on?** And what happened to KTP000001 – KTP000010? And **why would there be a signature part way through a document; surely a signature would either be on every page of a document, or just at the end?** Also notice the signatures on p 6 of 6, although signed by the same interviewers, are in a different order than the signatures on page 2 of 6 [on p 2 Earle's signature is on top of Schwader's, on p 6 his signature is underneath.] **Surely the layout of signatures on official documents should be consistent?** And notice Robel's personal identifiers – his D.O.B, address and Social Security number have been redacted on page 3 of 6, but **not on page 2 of 6. Clearly 'Page 2 of 6' is not part of the pages in exhibit 1, so why is it presented as such?** Surely it should have 'Page 1 of 1' at the top or bottom of the page? **Most importantly, notice that none of those interviews of Robel Phillipos, conducted by FBI agents, are signed by him.**

'Exhibit 2' is another interview of Robel Phillipos, by agents Earle and Schwader; **notice it too is not signed by Robel.**

Now take a look at 'Exhibit 3'. You'll notice that it has 'Official Record' stamped in the top right hand corner of page 2 of 11. Underneath that is the "Date of entry 4/29/2013." In the middle of the page at the top is typed "1 of 10". At the bottom of the page we see that the investigation is by **Timothy J Quinn** and **Michael H Sieland**. **But that page is not signed. Nor is there a signature from these interviewers [or even their names] on the last page of 'Exhibit 3'**. Now wouldn't you think that the follow on pages would have "2 of 10", "3 of 10" etc typed in the middle of the page at the top, in line with the page stating "1 of 10"? NOPE. Instead we have [minus any 'Official Record' stamp] "Continuation of PD-302 of Interview of ROBEL PHILLIPOS on 4/25/2013, On 04/25/2013, Page 2 of 10" etc etc. **Surely the layout of pages should be consistent? Surely the last page should contain the names and signatures of the interviewing officers? And most importantly, surely there should be a signature from Robel?**

Now have a look at 'Exhibit 4'. You'll notice on 'Page 2 of 8' and 'Page 3 of 8' there are legitimate documents [both have 'Page 1 of 1' at the bottom.] Now look at the bottom of 'Page 5 of 8', you'll notice it states 'Page 2 of 3' [bottom right]. At the bottom of 'Page 6 of 8' is typed 'Page 3 of 3' as you'd expect. **So where is page 1 of 3???** Shouldn't it be 'Page 4 of 8'? As for the last two pages of Exhibit 4, since they are not part of the preceding page [but are the pages of Robel's *actual* statement], shouldn't they be numbered 'Page 1 of 2' and 'Page 2 of 2'?

As for 'Exhibit 5', well was there any point publishing that considering the fact it is **ridiculously redacted** [with the last four pages being **completely redacted**, with one of the pages containing just three and a half typed lines, and another page having just three paragraphs ...]? Maybe that's because these pages concern Jahar's FBI interviews! In similar fashion as 'Exh 3' it starts off with '1 of 7' in the middle of the page at the top, with an 'Official Record' stamp in the top right hand corner; also the names of interviewers are at the bottom of the page [**Gregory T Hughes** and **Matthew T Dowd**.] The follow on pages are of similar style to 'Exh 3' - instead of there being '2 of 7' etc written at the top of the next page, in the middle, as you'd expect, we find the following typed: "Continuation of FD-302 of 04/21/2013 Interview of DZHOKHAR TSARNAEV , On 04/21/2013, Page 2 of 7" etc etc. And all those pages are **minus any 'Official Record' stamp**. And, just as in 'Exh 3', there are **no signatures** of the interviewers **on any of the pages**. **Neither is Jahar's signature present on any of the pages.**

'Exhibit 6' is similar to 'Exh 5'. Once again **this document is unsigned – by interviewers [Hughes and Dowd] or interviewee [Jahar].**

As for the last exhibit – number 7, that consists of three pages [Page 1 of 3, Page 2 of 3 and Page 3 of 3] which are, what I call, 'safe' pages i.e. there are no problematic statements from Jahar or his friend[s] which need to be censored, there is just a photo of a Jansport backpack and a 'Crapo Hill Landfill' document in connection.

And right at the end of all these exhibits is one lone page [page 1 of 1]! On that page we see that the person signing his name to this pdf is Derege Demissie [Robel's lawyer].

Back to the government's 'OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S **MOTION TO SUPPRESS STATEMENTS**'. My comments, as always, are in red. I quote parts of it:

"The United States of America, by and through its **undersigned counsel**, **[extremely well paid and totally immoral Masonic puppets]** respectfully **opposes the motion** of defendant, Dzhokhar Tsarnaev ("Tsarnaev"), to **suppress statements he made to FBI agents at Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center ("Beth Israel")**. Course the 'defence' are only *pretending* to represent Jahar with their various motions. They only do what they are allowed to do. They know the end result is that, **with their assistance**, Jahar gets thrown to the gallows. This is all a charade, and all the lawyers [there are no sides; they are all one and the same] are **paid handsomely by the American tax payers to put on a show of a fair trial**. The same can be said of all the so-called 'defence' lawyers involved in the framing of Jahar's friends.

Take a look at this court document [464]

<http://www.scribd.com/doc/296913021/Doc-464-8-8-2014Govt-s-Opp-to-Defendant-s-Motion-to-Compel-Compliance-and-Suspend-Defendant-s-Disclosure-Deadline> for another example of the **numerous** 'motions' taken by the 'defence'.

Just the sheer number of these motions makes it appear – on the surface, to the average person – that Jahar did get a fair [and legitimate] trial, despite the incomprehensible and downright disgusting **legal malfeasance being perpetrated by every lawyer and the judge** involved in the Tsarnaev case. I quote:

"As set forth below, the government has **fully complied with its obligations under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 16(a)(1)(G) and the Court's June 23, 2014 scheduling order**. Indeed, the government **has gone over and above those obligations by complying with Tsarnaev's request for numerous documents** relating to the experts'

work that are not covered by Rule 16(a)(1)(G). Tsarnaev's **familiar complaint** that he has been deprived of "**crucial**" **information** should be rejected." Course the prosecutors know they can rely on some legalese or other to justify their opposition to any [risky] disclosure which could lead to an **unravelling of the official story**, which would ultimately help Jahar. They also know that if their reason to refuse a request is not too convincing, they can count on the Judge to make the ultimate decision [which will be in accordance with the **Masonic puppet master's** orders.]

Here's another example of the sickening pretence in that kangaroo court. I quote from the '**MOTION TO SUPPRESS FRUITS OF SEARCHES AT NORFOLK STREET AND UNIVERSITY OF MASSACHUSETTS**' document [297]

<http://www.scribd.com/doc/296912851/Doc-297-5-7-2014-Mot-Ion-to-Suppress-Fruits-of-Umass-Search>

"The government has endeavored to provide all of this information to Tsarnaev, **even though only a fraction of it is actually required under the Federal Rules** according to the very decision that Tsarnaev cites as authoritative.

In providing Tsarnaev with the information he requested, the government produced it in virtually the same form in which the government received it from the FBI and MSP laboratories.

The government was under no obligation to rename or reorganize those files for Tsarnaev's convenience -- especially since **virtually all of the information was provided to Tsarnaev as a courtesy** rather than as a matter of legal obligation.

We included the spreadsheet as a **courtesy to Tsarnaev** because we find it useful; if Tsarnaev does not find it useful, he is free to ignore it. **Tsarnaev should not be heard to complain that the government has overwhelmed him with information because he specifically requested all of it.**"

You do have to marvel at the way the Masonic conspirators have got this all sewn up. To the average person who can be arsed reading through all the court papers, you'd be convinced that the defence team did more than enough to accommodate Jahar, especially as the 'news' media will have convinced most people that he didn't deserve defending in court. And for those rare folk who say he didn't get a fair trial cos he'd already faced trial by media, the lawyers for the government can sanctimoniously point out that they had been a nice government and had pandered to the *numerous demands for disclosure made on them* by the accused [which will have been **encouraged by his lawyers,**

who play their part under guidance from the masonic puppeteer] despite the accused not being *legally* entitled to the majority of such disclosures.

So the lawyers on both 'sides' look like they're genuinely being fair to Jahar i.e. *helping this kid who got led by his older brother* – the one they say he both revered and also feared; what they're actually doing is making the public **hate this 'terrorist' even more**. The intention is to **get the public to despise him**; they do not want anyone being sympathetic, and they certainly don't want anyone listening to the family who insist he's been set up. They don't want anyone believing Jahar is innocent and that this is a gross miscarriage of justice. They **don't want anyone doing any of their own independent investigating**. They want Jahar isolated. They want people saying things like: "how dare he make such demands? ... why should we hard working law-abiding good people fund his court actions and his incarceration? ... hang the bastard."

I comment further on document '297', and on a few more of these motions at the end of this pdf. Ha ha, they *literally* are motions, since all these court **actors** are doing is just *going through the motions* of a fair trial.

Back to the government's 'OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO SUPPRESS STATEMENTS' doc:

As grounds for this opposition, the government states the following:

Tsarnaev committed one of the most sophisticated and successful terrorist attacks on American soil since September 11, 2001. Not that he did. He was just the fall guy for very powerful players in an extremely evil psy-op. More on that in my pdf on The Boston Bombing psy-op. http://sharonkilby.co.uk/site/THE_BOSTON_BOMBING_PSY-OP.php

Because the agents in this case **did not coerce** [the truth about that is in the interviews Jahar underwent. Why don't the government *prove* to a sceptical populace that Jahar was not coerced, by publishing those interviews – in full and unredacted? Whilst they refuse to do so, we can conclude that Jahar was very much coerced] Tsarnaev into making statements against his will, **his statements were "voluntary" for Fifth Amendment purposes**. And because the **government does not intend to use his statements in its case-in-chief**, the **Miranda and Edwards** issues are moot. I quote from p 125 of 'Day 42'

http://thebostonmarathonbombings.weebly.com/uploads/2/4/2/6/24264849/1575_day_42_march_31_2015.pdf:

"MR. WEINREB: I'd just note that the Court did not inquire of the defendant personally whether he was waiving his Fifth Amendment right

to testify on his own behalf, and I'd just ask that the record reflect that the defense [sic] has no reason to believe that the defendant is not fully informed of his **Fifth Amendment right to testify on his own behalf** and has **knowingly and voluntarily chosen to waive that**.

MS. CLARKE: We are not calling him as a witness and he agrees with that.

THE COURT: That's a matter you've discussed with him?

MS. CLARKE: Yes.” The last thing any of those lawyers or the judge wanted was for Jahar to take the stand and speak for himself. There is no way he could be allowed to come out with anything that could embarrass the court, which might lead the lawyers into uncomfortable territory, and force them into addressing the **script**. They needed full control in that courtroom; and they got it. Jahar is just a kid. He would have been in a **state of shock and confusion, and totally overwhelmed about everything that was happening to him, and about his brother's fate**. It probably didn't take much for his lawyers to **persuade him to leave it all to them; that they knew best**. Course this probably happened before proceedings started, and he probably wouldn't've been allowed to change his mind during the trial and speak for himself, even if he'd wanted to.

In any case 'Fifth Amendment', 'Miranda' and 'Edwards' rights do not apply to **victims of psy-ops** i.e to people **who are being stitched up for crimes they have nothing whatsoever to do with**, as part of a wider **Masonic conspiracy**. The prosecution, in cahoots with the 'defence' and the puppet judge were paid good money – courtesy of the propagandized American taxpayers [slaves] - to go along with the plot to frame, convict and sentence to death the **totally innocent** Jahar Tsarnaev. The same can be said for Jahar's friends, who were convicted and imprisoned on **trumped up charges**.

Similarly, the government did nothing wrong in presenting Tsarnaev to the **magistrate judge** on the first business day after his arrest. The 'government', continuing the **charade**, put Jahar before another spineless and conscienceless *actor* in the despicable psy-op.

The bombings were one of the **bloodiest terrorist attacks** committed by **unknown and untouchable powerful subhumans ever against American civilians and drew worldwide attention** courtesy of filthy establishment propagandists.

The Marathon bombs were constructed using improvised fuses made from Christmas lights and improvised, remote-control detonators

fashioned from model car parts. These **relatively sophisticated devices would have been difficult for the Tsarnaevs to fabricate successfully** without training or assistance from others. So these kids managed to build and detonate sophisticated homemade bombs, which resulted in them pulling off the most successful terrorist attack since 9/11, on their **first attempt at being terrorists** [no-one has provided any evidence that they had any prior experience in bomb-making; and no-one has even suggested it.] L.O.L

The Tsarnaevs also appeared to have crushed and emptied **hundreds of individual fireworks** containing black powder **in order to obtain explosive fuel for the bombs**. Chemist forensic examiner assigned to the FBI's Explosives Unit **David McCollam**, in answer to the question: "to extract from fireworks a pound of explosive -- low-explosive material, about how many fireworks would that require?" **says**: "It would be quite a lot, **hundreds, I would guesstimate**. Like I said, the **firecracker would be not worth your time because there's only 50 milligrams**. There's **454 grams in a pound**, so that wouldn't be a good way of doing it."

http://thebostonmarathonbombings.weebly.com/uploads/2/4/2/6/24264849/1569_day_40_march_26_2015.pdf [p 26.] According to the LA

Times: "Tamerlan Tsarnaev paid \$199 cash for two "lock and load" kits, **each of which contained four tubes and 24 shells**, said William Weimer, vice president of Phantom Fireworks. The kits are **typically used for amateur fireworks displays**. "The only thing that he could have done is he could have ripped all the shells apart attempted to harvest the

powder," he said. Tsarnaev **could have collected 1.5 pounds of powder at most**, Weimer said". <http://articles.latimes.com/2013/apr/23/nation/la-na-nn-boston-bombing-suspect-bought-reloadable-mortarshell-firework-kits-20130423> According to special agent bomb tech **Edward Knapp**

http://thebostonmarathonbombings.weebly.com/uploads/2/4/2/6/24264849/1569_day_40_march_26_2015.pdf [p 91] each bomb that exploded

at the marathon contained anywhere from **8 to 16 pounds** of explosive powder, the pressure cooker bomb that exploded in Watertown would have had anywhere from **4 to 8 pounds**; then there was the **2 to 3 pounds** of powder in the Tupperware bomb [see **Robert McCarthy's** testimony [p 42]

http://thebostonmarathonbombings.weebly.com/uploads/2/4/2/6/24264849/1559_day_35_march_18_2015.pdf]; plus more explosive powder in

the pipe bombs. The brothers would therefore have had to collect between 22 and 43 pounds of powder. Let's take an approximation of the average i.e. 33 pounds. That equates to 88 tubes and 528 shells which would cost \$4,400. No-one has shown any evidence that the brothers spent that kind of money on fireworks. Nor has anyone

suggested it. It is stated in 'Exhibit 6' that the powder came from **\$200** worth of fireworks that Jahar and Tamerlan purchased in New Hampshire.

The black powder used in fireworks is extremely fine; it was therefore reasonable to expect that if the Tsarnaevs had crushed the fireworks and built the bombs all by themselves, traces of black powder would be found wherever they had done the work. Yet **searches of the Tsarnaevs' residences, three vehicles, and other locations associated with them yielded virtually no traces of black powder,** [which is more proof they were never into bomb making; and didn't bomb the Boston marathon or anywhere else] again **strongly suggesting that others had built,** [the evidence shows **others had** built those bombs] or at least helped the Tsarnaevs build, the bombs, [there is no evidence that either of the Tsarnaev brothers had built any bomb; let alone the ones used at the Boston marathon] and thus might have built more.

For years after September 11, 2001, one of **Al-Q'aeda's chief goals** was to carry out another high-profile, spectacular attack on the **United States.** The spectacular nature and devastating carnage of Tsarnaev's attack -- which targeted a high-profile, iconic American event, and was followed by the execution of a police officer in Cambridge and the **attempted murder of other police officers in Watertown** [there might have been an attempted murder of **Dic Donohue,** but there was no attempted murder of any other police officer] -- suggested that it might have been planned, directed, and even assisted by a terrorist group.

Who created Al-Qaeda and the ISIS Terror Group? **America.** Who promotes the **propaganda,** and who funds and benefits from terrorism? Ultimately it's the Illuminati families. See my 'DIGGER IS A SHILL' pdf. http://sharonkilby.co.uk/site/DIGGER_IS_A_SHILL.php Let's just remind ourselves what the former Foreign Minister Robin Cook told the House of Commons: "The truth is there is **no Islamic army or terrorist group called Al Qaeda.** And any informed intelligence officer knows this. **But there is a propaganda campaign to make the public believe in the presence of an identified entity representing the 'devil' only in order to drive the 'TV watcher' to accept a unified international leadership for a war against terrorism.** The country behind this propaganda is the **US,** and the lobbyists for the **US war on terrorism** are **only interested in making money.**" **About a month later he died suddenly in mysterious circumstances.**

Two FBI agents **started interviewing** Tsarnaev on **April 20 at 7:22 p.m.**, nearly **24 hours** after he arrived at the hospital. **Not even a day after arriving at hospital, clinging to life.** Incidentally in this video https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=j1UfLt8fX_o [uploaded on **22nd June 2013**] Jahar's mother Zubeidat is saying that the *FBI are telling her* Jahar is **not yet being questioned** due to his condition - he was still being fed through a tube. They would tell her that; wouldn't they.

On page 7 under the title [The FBI interview of Tsarnaev](#) almost all of the text is redacted. Why?

An evidentiary hearing will establish that the agents who questioned Tsarnaev **did not use coercive tactics that forced him to make statements against his will**. Naturally the court will establish that. The court is controlled by the same people who control the FBI i.e. the same Masonic gangsters who are responsible for bombing the marathon, and fitting up the Tsarnaev brothers. **Notwithstanding the dire threat to public safety**, [the poor boy was critically wounded and under ARMED GUARD FFS] they waited **24 hours** [wow, 24 hours; that was decent of them! The bastards couldn't even wait until he was out of hospital before interrogating him about crimes that were committed by their evil paymasters; crimes Jahar and his brother had been stitched up for] before questioning Tsarnaev to ensure that he was medically stable, lucid, and capable of giving accurate answers to their questions. They did not touch him, except to **make him more comfortable**; [yeah ok] they did not threaten him physically or verbally; [we don't know if they did or didn't. Not that they needed to. He knew he was surrounded by a bed of snakes. He would have been threatened just by their evil presence] they did not deprive him of food, water, medical treatment, bathroom breaks, or adequate rest; they did not offer him any promises, rewards, or inducements; and **they did not employ forbidden types of trickery or deceit**. So the FBI employ *acceptable* types of trickery or deceit? Course they do. Anything *Masonic* is acceptable. The Masonic controlled FBI don't know anything *but* trickery and deceit. They also made no efforts psychologically to intimidate him. (The agents did not tell Tsarnaev about his brother's death, or the manner of that death, to **spare him emotional trauma**.) Ah, what considerate agents. NOT. The piss taking bastard lawyers for the Government [who are almost certainly masons of very high standing] writing this are **liars of the highest order**. Only two agents questioned Tsarnaev, and **they wore plain clothes** [it didn't matter what they wore - they could have worn their birthday suits; that was the least of Jahar's worries] and **did not have their weapons visible**. Exactly, their weapons **were not visible**; their

conniving [as is always the case with Masonic skulduggery] was **done in secret**. They were polite and spoke quietly. That was all a facade. Behind his back they were conspiring with others to hang him out to dry. They assured him that he was going to be fine which was, of course, a **bit fat lie**.

Although the police did not inform Tsarnaev of his right to remain silent or to have an attorney present during questioning, and did not accommodate his repeated requests for a “human rights lawyer” (or any lawyer), the Supreme Court has ruled that such omissions are not coercive. The Supreme Court will rule in whichever way the Masonic master commands. Not that a lawyer would have helped him – that is **very evident** from his kangaroo trial.

He underwent successful surgery **lasting several hours** and then began a recovery that progressed steadily without setbacks. Although Tsarnaev writes that he “was prescribed a multitude of pain medications” (Def’t.Mot. at 3), his hospital records **which are not published** show that by the time the police began questioning him he was **receiving only Fentanyl for pain, and that was changed four hours later to Dilaudid “PRN” or as needed.** Considering the fact he had extensive surgery after receiving critical injuries I should imagine he was still on extremely strong pain killers when he was forced to undergo interrogation just 24h after arriving at hospital. Against this first-hand evidence that Tsarnaev’s pain medication had no ill effects, Tsarnaev offers only speculation. **He writes that “the side effects of these [pain] medications include confusion, light-headedness, dizziness, difficulty concentrating, fatigue, and sedation.”** (Def’t. Mot.at 3). But courts have ruled that general allegations about the side-effects of drugs contribute little to the analysis of whether a suspect’s will was overborne by allegedly coercive police tactics.

Tsarnaev **relies heavily on Mincey v. Arizona, 437 U.S. 385 (1978), in arguing that his statement was “involuntary,”** but that reliance is misplaced. First, Mincey “clearly expressed his wish not to be interrogated,” id. at 399-401, and in keeping with that wish it appears he resisted giving any self-incriminating statements until the police finally wore him down, id. at 399 n.16. **Tsarnaev, in contrast, readily answered questions about the Marathon bombing. Yeah and he probably started off telling them he had nothing to do with it. As said until we actually hear the recorded interviews of what Jahar actually said [ha ha as if**

they'll ever see the light of day] we have no idea what he said; or at what point he was forced to make 'involuntary' statements.

One thing is certain he was obviously in no fit state to be questioned. All the poor boy wanted to do was sleep and try to recover. [Although if he had known then what was to come he would have wished he had died from his injuries.] Jahar knew that if he didn't talk to these FBI thugs they'd never leave him alone.

The next few lines are redacted. Why?

Tsarnaev, in contrast, was responsive, coherent, and clear headed throughout his interview with the officers. With few exceptions, he wrote answers to their questions clearly, legibly, and in a strong hand. The notes reveal no sign that Tsarnaev was mentally compromised, confused, or in any way intimidated by the agents. So publish them then – **in full and uncensored in any way.** On the contrary, they show that he answered questions when it suited him, refused to answer questions when it did not, and did not hesitate to make demands for things he wanted (notably, sleep, a “human rights lawyer,” [there is no such thing as a human rights lawyer] and information about his brother's fate. In one early note for example Tsarnaev wrote [once again the next few lines are redacted. Why?]

Although in his first few notes Tsarnaev complained repeatedly that he was tired and wanted to sleep, the agents complied with those requests. Oh what nice government agents! Jeesh, folks, I'll say it again: **not only do Satan's servants frame him and his brother for crimes neither had anything whatsoever to do with, murder his brother, and almost kill him, they then interrogate him just 24 hours after he arrives in hospital, clinging to life. Fukkin unbelievable.**

Tsarnaev is not an ordinary criminal; [he isn't even a criminal] he is a terrorist [wrong again] who launched a coordinated bombing attack [that is a lie. He was stitched up for that crime by the Masonic big wigs who pull the strings of the scumbags authoring this legal document of lies] on an internationally-renowned sporting event, **killing three people and maiming and wounding hundreds more.** That's right; keep repeating the death and devastation caused by those explosions. But start **documenting the truth – that this is a particularly vile psychological operation in which Jahar and Tamerlan were set up as patsies to take the blame for the bloodiest terror attack in the US since 9/11.** Nearly four days after the Marathon bombings, Tsarnaev was still

deemed to pose such a grave threat to public safety that the **Governor of Massachusetts**, **another deceitful disgusting Masonic poodle** **asked [ordered]** nearly one million people to **shelter in place** for an entire day while law enforcement endeavored to **pretend to find Tsarnaev and neutralize him**.

Under the circumstances, the officers who questioned Tsarnaev were warranted in believing that **the public's need for answers outweighed the need for "adherence to the literal language of the prophylactic rules enunciated in Miranda."** Notice the legal jargon – intended to trick the unsuspecting Joe Bloggs who happens to take an interest in the Tsarnaev court proceedings into believing that everything is above board. As said 'Miranda rights' matter not a jot when the person in the dock is the victim of a psy-op.

Back to the **'MOTION TO SUPPRESS FRUITS OF SEARCHES AT NORFOLK STREET AND UNIVERSITY OF MASSACHUSETTS'** where we see the **so-called defence pretending to be objecting to Jahar's rights being violated**. I quote parts of it:

"Defendant, by and through counsel, moves this Court to suppress any physical evidence seized, observed, or photographed, or any fruits of such evidence, that was **unlawfully** obtained during searches of the defendant's home on Norfolk Street in Cambridge and of his dorm room and property at the University of Massachusetts ("U-Mass") at Dartmouth. As grounds for this motion, undersigned counsel state that the searches **violated Mr. Tsarnaev's rights under the Fourth Amendment** to the U.S. Constitution because

- 1) The lists of items to be searched for and seized were **overly broad and lacking in particularity**;
- 2) Law enforcement agents observed, seized, and photographed items that **fell outside the scope of the warrants**.
- 3) The **warrantless inspection** of Mr. Tsarnaev's dorm room and personal property at the University of Massachusetts at Dartmouth **was not justified by any recognized exception to the warrant requirement**;
- and
- 4) The July search **warrant for the dorm room did not have an independent source**.

On June 27, **FBI agents entered Mr. Tsarnaev's dorm room a second time, without a warrant**, and observed and photographed various items while U-Mass police collected Mr. Tsarnaev's property and removed it.

The items seized from the Norfolk Street apartment during the first search included tools, car keys, knives, notebooks and printed books written in Russian, a book called “Milady’s Standard Fundamental for Esthetician’s book,” tax documents from 2009 and 2010, immigration files, [why do they need his immigration files, when they already have them?!!!] nail clippers, and a receipt from a beauty supply company. During the April 21 search of the dorm room, agents seized, among other things, a pizza box with a receipt, a notebook, a book called “Muslims in the West,” articles of clothing, a book called “Soldiers of God,” personal documents, and school record. FFS. Folks, the police took Jahar’s knives [the ones he needs to cook himself a meal] and his tools [the ones he needs to fix his car], his car keys [what was the point of that when they had him and his car!] nail clippers [because nail clippers are dangerous things in a terrorist’s hands, you know], pizza box and receipt [um, because the cardboard was needed to construct his next bomb? And the receipt was needed to check he hadn’t stolen the pizza, presumably ...] The second warrant was executed on May 5, 2013. Agents seized, among other things The Report of the Citizens Commission on 9/11. Why did they take that???

On June 27, two months after the FBI search of Mr. Tsarnaev’s dorm room, an FBI agent accompanied campus police into the room while the U-Mass police collected Mr. Tsarnaev’s personal property. Neither the U-Mass police nor the FBI agent had a warrant. According to an FBI report, the agents entered “at the invitation and with the consent of the University of Massachusetts Dartmouth Police Department.” The masons do what they want!

Many of the items seized during the April search of the Norfolk Street apartment had nothing to do with either of the brothers. They included a letter from Ailina Tsarnaeva to a friend (written in Russian), a letter from Zubeidat Tsarnaeva to friends of the family (in English), an innocuous note from the Tsarnaevs’ landlady to Zubeidat (in English), a domestic airplane itinerary from 2006 for Anzor and Zubeidat Tsarnaev, and medical imaging for Anzor Tsarnaev. The government may argue that these items fall within the provision permitting them to search for “[p]roperty, records, or information, related to any organization, entity, or individual in any way affiliated with Tamerlan and Dzhokhar, that might have been involved in planning, encouraging, promoting the actions described herein. It is true that family members are individuals “affiliated with” the brothers. But the provision apparently was read expansively – and impermissibly – to permit a search for anything relating to anyone affiliated with them, regardless of whether there was

probable cause to believe that those individuals were somehow involved in the attack.”

I'll say it again my fellow slaves, these evil Masonic controlled lawyers [and the ones involved in the sham trials of Jahar's friends] are raking it in and taking you lot for mugs. It's all a game to them. They're paid to *pretend* to be representing Jahar, which means they're paid to keep him in prison [or 6ft under] and to make sure the official narrative does not start to unravel. These scumbags live very comfortable lives, working for and protected by the Masonic machine. They don't care about the suffering they cause others. It's not them suffering any injustice.

Take a look at this motion

<http://www.scribd.com/doc/297227415/Doc-675-11-25-2014-Order-on-D-s-Motion-to-Compel-discovery>

Here we have the poodle judge doing as he's told and agreeing with the 'government' who found excuses to **block disclosure of dynamite documents** which the 'defence' had pretended to *compel* discovery of [safe in the knowledge that they'd never see the light of day.] I quote parts:

“FBI Todashev Materials: The defendant seeks production of certain FBI materials related to Ibragim Todashev's statements about Tamerlan Tsarnaev's participation in the murder of three men in Waltham in 2011. With respect to this issue, the government had submitted to me for in camera review FBI 302 reports of interviews of Todashev, as well as a video and audio recording of an additional interview. Only one of these materials, an FBI 302 report dated June 7, 2013, is pertinent to the request. The government objects to the request. Actually the *masons* object to the request.

The government represents that a **state law enforcement investigation of the Waltham murders is ongoing** [i.e. a *Masonic* investigation; which translates to **no** investigation, as that would be an **investigation of themselves!** We've seen the Middlesex DA's 'investigation' of the 'friendly-fire' shooting of Dic Donohue. See http://sharonkilby.co.uk/site/STATEMENT_OF_DISTRICT_ATTORNEY_MARIAN_RYAN_re_results_of_the_investigation_into_the_police_shooting_in_Watertown.php Nuff said] and for that reason **invokes the limited investigatory privilege**. Over time the Masonic controlled lawyers have

managed to get various statutes brought in that can be used to **bury truth and justice in the courts.**

NWO: *“Everything is backwards; everything is upside down. Doctors destroy health, **lawyers destroy justice**, universities destroy knowledge, governments destroy freedom, the major media destroy information, and religions destroy spirituality.”* – **Michael Ellner**

It also asserts that it has already **conveyed the fact and general substance** of Todashev’s statements concerning the murders, [that’s not good enough, Jahar wants to see the reports for himself, he doesn’t want a summary from a bunch of lying criminal lawyers who want him dead] and **principles governing discovery in criminal cases do not require more.** That rule only applies of course to people who seek the truth about **Masonic** crimes.

After **pretending to have given** careful consideration **since I actually do what I’m told by masons more powerful than myself, I agree with the government as to both points.** As to the first, disclosure of the report risks revealing facts seemingly innocuous on their face, such as times of day or sequences of events, revelation of **which would have a real potential to interfere with the ongoing state investigation.** How? Disclosure **can only assist** any investigation. What the judge means is **disclosure of the report would interfere with the cover up.** As to the second, I’ll give you any old bullshit when I say I fully understand the mitigation theory the defense thinks the requested discovery may advance. After **pretending to review, I’ll lie again and say** it is my judgment **when actually it’s the decision by my Masonic bosses** that, contrary to the **defense speculation,** the report does **not materially advance that theory** beyond **what is already available to the defense from discovery and other sources.** Then traitor O’Toole, remove the theories and the speculation and **release the report** for the defence; scrap that, **for Jahar and his family to decide whether or not the report is useful.** And while you’re at it stop being a **cowardly Masonic arse licker** and order the release of ALL Todashev related materials [and that includes everything pertaining to the Waltham triple homicide.]

It would be a different matter if Todashev were available as a potential witness. **Says George O’Toole with his tongue in cheek, knowing Todashev was murdered precisely to shut him up. Without that possibility, I tell another fat lie when I say** the utility of the report to the defense in building a mitigation case is very low at best. I conclude that the report is **not material and helpful** in the necessary sense. **That report**

and all the other related documentation is **very material and helpful and necessary to everyone who values truth and justice**. The defendant's motion regarding this topic is denied." Well done George. Did you get a promotion up the Masonic ladder for services to Satan?

Now have a read of this motion [Doc 709] for some jaw-dropping hypocrisy and one-sidedness

<http://www.scribd.com/doc/296900172/Doc-709-12-5-2014-Govt-s-Motion-to-Exclude> Once again I quote parts of it:

"The United States of America, by and through its undersigned counsel, respectfully requests that the Court **prohibit proposed defense expert Janet Vogelsang from testifying** as a **sanction for Tsarnaev's violation of Rule 16(b)(1)(C)** or, in the alternative, **compel Tsarnaev to produce a detailed written summary of her testimony forthwith**.

On **September 2, 2014**, Tsarnaev informed the government that it intended to call **Janet Vogelsang**, LCSW, as a **"biopsychosocial" expert**. Tsarnaev provided an expert disclosure pursuant to Rule 16(b)(1)(C) **that consisted entirely of the following three sentences**: [Ms. Vogelsang] **will testify about relevant aspects of Mr. Tsarnaev's life history**. Ms. Vogelsang's testimony will be based on interviews and on her review of documents and records. To the extent that Ms. Vogelsang will provide expert testimony as a **clinical social worker, she will identify risk and other factors in the defendant's background and environment, if any, that shaped his life**. Nothing wrong with that. Why is the prosecution worried about Ms Vogelsang's testimony? I could understand them being a little nervous if there were signs she might put a dent in the official story by bringing some hard evidence of Jahar's innocence. Far from it, she's supporting the premise that he's guilty.

The purpose of that rule is to **"minimize surprise that often results from unexpected expert testimony** [course what's really at stake here is that a genuine witness might inadvertently or otherwise **upset the masons**, and come out with something that might cause the unravelling of a Masonic conspiracy]. . . and to provide the opponent with a **fair opportunity** to test the merit of the expert's testimony through focused cross-examination." Are the opposition playing fair? Hell no. Jahar is **one young man, barely out of his teens**, who is up against the big bad mighty US Government.

Ms. Vogelsang then recapitulates much of this out-of-court testimonial and recorded information on the witness stand in support of her

conclusions about factors in the defendant's background and environment that shaped his life. See id. at 89-90. It is obvious that without the written summary of Ms. Vogelsang's testimony **required by Rule 16**, [rules – put in place for the sole purpose of protecting the lying evildoers who regularly obstruct justice in the courts] **the government will be unable to cross-examine her effectively**. She has undoubtedly interviewed scores of individuals and reviewed hundreds of records over the course of many months in preparation for her testimony. Yet, because she will be standing in for these witnesses at the **sentencing hearing**, [he had already been found guilty before his trial had even started, had he?] **repeating their out-of-court statements**, none of them will appear on Tsarnaev's witness list, and the government will have **no advance notice of who they are**, [fukkin unbelievable; these bloody lawyers want control over every little thing; **they already have everyone with any kind of clout toeing the line and pretending Jahar dunnit**; and they still want notice about a proposed testimony **that won't make any difference anyway**] and therefore no opportunity to research their reliability, i.e. their ability to perceive, remember, recount, and to be truthful and impartial. **Truthful and impartial; fukkin hypocrites**. What about the honesty and impartiality of the lawyers [on both 'sides'] and the judge? Did Jahar get the opportunity to test that?

The jury will be exposed to a vast amount of hearsay **without the government's being able to shed any light on its truthfulness or reliability**." Simply jaw-dropping. This is so fukkin one sided; it beggars belief. Leaving aside the lawyers and judge, have you noticed how many liars there were testifying at Jahar's trial [and happily committing perjury; safe in the knowledge they were protected by the Masonic suits]? Did you see any witnesses on that stand questioning the official narrative? Me neither. And what about outside the court, is anyone in the mainstream media defending Jahar, and questioning the script? NO. What about the 'alternative' media? I am not aware of anyone. All of the 'Justice for Jahar' sites that I have seen are run by Satan's NWO gatekeepers i.e. subhumans who work for the Masonic powers. More on that on my pdf on the work of the COINTELPRO agents [http://sharonkilby.co.uk/site/THE_BOSTON_BOMBING_PSY-OP - a closer look at the work of the COINTELPRO.php](http://sharonkilby.co.uk/site/THE_BOSTON_BOMBING_PSY-OP_-_a_closer_look_at_the_work_of_the_COINTELPRO.php) Of course the same applies to Jahar's friends who also suffered outrageous injustice in the kangaroo courts.

Who has Jahar got defending him and the truth? Only his close family members. That's how big Satan's army needs to be to defend the Boston Marathon bombing official story [psy-op].

Jahar is in a 'David and Goliath' situation. **But with help from God through his servants Jahar can find freedom and justice; and so can we. For the truth will set us free.**

Points of Interest From the Story of David and Goliath:

- Everyone was afraid of Goliath. **He seemed invincible.**
- David was **comfortable with his simple sling, a weapon he was skilled at using. God will use the unique skills he's already placed in your hands**, so don't worry about "wearing the King's armor." **Just be yourself and use the familiar gifts and talents God has given you. He will work miracles through you.**
- **David's faith in God** caused him to look at the giant from a different perspective. Goliath was **merely a mortal man defying an all-powerful God. David looked at the battle from God's point of view. If we look at giant problems and impossible situations from God's perspective, we realize that God will fight for us and with us. When we put things in proper perspective, we see more clearly, and we can fight more effectively.**
- When the giant criticized, insulted, and threatened, **David didn't stop or even waver. Everyone else cowered in fear, but David ran to the battle. He knew that action needed to be taken. David did the right thing in spite of discouraging insults and fearful threats. Only God's opinion mattered to David.**
<http://christianity.about.com/od/biblestorysummaries/p/davidandgoliath.htm>
- <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JFToOYl1gLs>

Now take a look at the government's '**MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER PURSUANT TO FED.R.CRIM.P.16(d)(1)**'

http://cache.boston.com/news/pdfs/autopsy_tsarnaev.pdf I quote:

"The United States, by undersigned counsel, hereby moves for a protective order **prohibiting the defendant, DZHOKHAR A. TSARNAEV, from personally reviewing autopsy photographs of victims in this case**, except for those photos that are offered as exhibits at trial or sentencing. The government maintains, however, that Tsarnaev **has no need to review personally the many photos that will not be used against him, and that allowing him to do so would violate the victims' rights to dignity and privacy and subject them to needless harm and suffering.** Specifically, allowing photos of the mutilated bodies of the victims to be viewed **by the man accused of mutilating them [by the man**

who was framed by the scumbags who actually mutilated them] would needlessly revictimize the family members in the same way that innocent children who are photographed pornographically are revictimized whenever those photos are seen by others. Or is it the case that Jahar is prevented from viewing the bodies to prevent him possibly proving that a pressure cooker bomb hidden in a back pack could not have caused those deaths? The government would not supply copies of the photographs to defence counsel but would make all photographs available for inspection and review at the offices of the United States Attorney.” Yeah keep it all under the control of the government! Sly bastards.

This is the defence’s reply: ‘State V Tsarnaev 03/28/2014 Response to government’s motion for supplemental protective order’

<http://thebostonmarathonbombings.weebly.com/official-document-repository-older-documents.html> I quote:

“To be clear:

Autopsy reports and photographs are routinely produced to defence counsel in homicide prosecutions. Defence counsel have a professional obligation to review such materials. Disclosure of autopsy materials is required as part of Rule 16 automatic discovery, which is to be provided within 28 days of arraignment.

In a telephone conversation on February 13, government counsel stated that the prosecution intended to introduce some unidentified number of autopsy photographs into evidence at trial.

Government counsel further stated that copies of autopsy photos would only be produced to defence counsel on the condition they not be shown to the defendant. Course they don’t want Jahar seeing them because he is the genuine opposition; the ‘defence’ are the pretend opposition; or the *controlled* opposition. The defence responded by letter that same day, acknowledging the government’s position but reiterating the request for all autopsy materials pursuant to the government’s Rule 16 obligations, without precondition. See Exhibit A.

In their collective experience, defence counsel are unaware of any case in which access to autopsy photographs has been conditioned on advance agreement not to review them with their client. Nor has the government cited any case in which a court restricted a defendant from viewing autopsy photographs. This

case is unique though because the client was fitted up by the government for a crime he didn't commit. That crime was committed by unknown person[s] who is/are known to the government. Having sight of those photos may help Jahar prove that a pressure cooker bomb, concealed in a backpack in the area stated by the prosecution could not have been the cause of those terrible injuries.

The existing protective order already imposes stringent protections for materials identified as sensitive. Decisions about what discovery materials must be shown to the defendant in order to prepare the defence should be left to the **sound discretion of defence counsel.** The very people who are *pretending* to be defending Jahar, whilst all the time working for the government. Or I should say for the Masonic fat controller.

Take a look also at the defence's 'State V Tsarnaev 03/28/2014 **Motion to compel compliance**'

<http://thebostonmarathonbombings.weebly.com/official-document-repository-older-documents.html> I quote:

"By letter dated December 9, 2013, defence counsel requested, among other things, copies of the records contained in the list. On February 7, 2014, five months after the government promised to produce all records and nearly two months after defence counsel's specific requests, **the government appeared to backtrack from its initial vow to make all the records available.** The disk produced by the government contained records from **just 26 of the 95 entities** initially identified. Since then, the government has provided some quantity of additional records as promised, and appears poised to provide more. **It has not, however, explained the basis for withholding documents from selected entities, documents which would appear to be subject to mandatory disclosure** pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(E). It has not, for example, suggested that the records will compromise any ongoing investigation. Compounding the issue is the government's **obfuscation** over which records from which entities it is withholding. Such **deliberate vagueness** forces the defence to engage in a **guessing game that is at odds with the open and self-executing discovery contemplated by Rule 16 and the Local Rules.** The government **should be ordered to produce copies of all records listed in Exhibit A, or else it should invoke the declination procedure** set forth in Loc. R. 116.6, which puts the burden on the government to **justify its non-compliance** with Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(E)." **Course the masons don't have to justify anything. Nor are they going to order the government to do anything!**

And have a look at this **'GOVERNMENT'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S FOURTH MOTION TO COMPEL'**

https://archive.org/stream/pdfy-m-gNms7jw_O2pys-/USA%20vs%20Dzhokhar%20Tsarnaev_djvu.txt I quote:

"On or after April 22, 2013, the government received six documents from the Russian government, **portions of which relate to individuals involved in this case**. Without conceding that the documents are discoverable, the government produced **redacted** [why did the government need to redact them?] copies of them to the defence on June 9, 2014. Tsarnaev now moves to **compel production of unredacted copies** [too right – stop trying to censor the truth] of the documents.

Tsarnaev's motion should be denied because the government has provided all information [obviously that's just another lie] even arguably required by the rules of discovery. **No relevant substantive information was redacted from the documents**. Cheeky blighters. Let Jahar be the judge of that.

Even assuming for the sake of argument that the information in the documents constitutes admissible mitigation evidence — **a dubious proposition at best** -- the exact identity of who in the Russian government provided the documents, **who in the United States government received them** [why is that not known???] and the exact date on which those transfers took place, is not itself favorable material information or **material to the preparation of the defence**. **What are the masons trying to hide???**

Having received the underlying information on which the original Russian government communication was based, **Tsarnaev is not entitled to a copy of the communication itself**. Why? Cos he might be able to expose the dirty conspiracy between the two countries???. The communication constitutes only an **unidentified Russian analyst's opinion** [bullshit. This person's identity will be known] about the significance of the underlying information.

Tsarnaev argues, in essence, that he is entitled to the document so that he can engage in a fishing expedition for **evidence of government bad faith**, [he doesn't need to fish for that. It is blatantly obvious] but that argument is specious [they would say that] **the law presumes that prosecutors perform their official duties properly**, [when there's a Masonic conspiracy at work, the law goes out

the window] and a defendant who seeks to overcome that presumption **must offer actual evidence of prosecutorial misconduct** – something Tsarnaev has not done, and cannot do. I've done it for him. Now let him see the **full and unredacted documentation**; he's entitled to a level playing field. To the extent Tsarnaev denies the truthfulness or accuracy of the information in the Russian document, **his quarrel is with the Russian government**, not the prosecutors, who merely repeated the information. Jahar wants to prove a massive and sophisticated conspiracy; which includes **both USA and Russia**.

Offices of Inspectors General ("OIG") report. The **OIG is beholden to the same Masonic powers as the government**. Tsarnaev has offered no reason whatsoever to doubt the **government's representation** that it reviewed the April 14, 2014, classified **OIG report concerning the Marathon bombings in light of the portions of the unclassified OIG report cited by Tsarnaev in his discovery request and determined that it contains no additional discoverable information**. Why should Jahar believe anything his enemies say is the truth? Let him decide if it contains discoverable information or not. **Stop censoring information**. Consequently, there is no basis for ordering an in camera review of the classified report.

Waltham triple homicide. The government informed Tsarnaev over a year ago that **Ibragim Todashev told police that Tamerlan Tsarnaev participated in the Waltham triple homicide**. We don't know if that's true. That has only come from the **lying lips of the FBI agents who murdered Todashev**. **Tsarnaev subsequently moved for production of any written or recorded account of Todashev's statement concerning Tamerlan Tsarnaev's involvement**. Jahar is absolutely entitled to any information the government has on his brother – the one **they murdered**. **The government opposed the motion on the grounds that production of any such writing or recording (as opposed to the information itself) was not required by the rules of discovery and would needlessly jeopardize the Middlesex District Attorney's ongoing investigation of the triple homicide**. After reviewing pertinent materials in camera, the **Court denied the motion to compel**. The government will come out with any old bullshit to prevent the public knowing of their disgusting crimes; such as their complicity in the murders of both Ibragim and Tamerlan, and the framing of Jahar. There is no investigation into the triple homicide because the government are complicit in that too. The person appointed to do that so-called investigation is Marian Ryan. I have already thoroughly debunked her.

Nothing has occurred to warrant reconsideration of the Court's earlier ruling. The government has no additional evidence that Tamerlan Tsarnaev participated in the Waltham triple homicide. **And we have been informed by the Middlesex District Attorney that her investigation of the Waltham murders remains active and ongoing.** **That lying bitch will just regurgitate what she's told by her Masonic bosses.**

As the government previously pointed out, moreover, the defense has **not articulated a mitigation theory that would make Tamerlan Tsarnaev's actual participation in the Waltham triple homicide relevant.** **If Tamerlan Tsarnaev actually participated in that crime but Dzhokhar Tsarnaev knew nothing about it, then Tamerlan's participation could have had no bearing on Dzhokhar Tsarnaev's mental state.** **If, on the other hand, Dzhokhar Tsarnaev believed his brother had committed the Waltham murders, then it makes no difference from a mitigation standpoint whether Tamerlan committed the murders or not, and the facts related to the murders would similarly be irrelevant.** **Jahar and his family want to know the truth. They want to know if Tamerlan was involved in the Waltham murders. They are entitled to know that. They are therefore entitled to full disclosure of all documents pertaining to Tamerlan, Ibragim and the triple homicide.** **The government are preventing disclosure because those explosive documents could prove a conspiracy at the highest levels in the American government.**

In any event, as noted above, **the government has no evidence that Tamerlan Tsarnaev actually participated in the Waltham murders**, so there is nothing to produce.” **So there you are, the government has no evidence Tam participated in the Waltham murders.**

Now have a read of this document <http://whowhatwhy.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/02/stay-jury-selection.pdf> for more 'defence' pretence. I quote snippets:

“The questionnaires reveal that an extraordinary **85 percent of the prospective jurors either believe Mr. Tsarnaev is guilty**, or have some self-identified “connection” to the case, or both. **Fully 68 percent of prospective jurors already believe that Mr. Tsarnaev is guilty, before hearing a single witness or examining a shred of evidence at trial.** Even more striking, **69 percent of prospective jurors have a self-identified connection or expressed allegiance to the people, places, and/or events at issue in the case.** It is **unrealistic to expect that even**

the most sincere and scrupulous jurors can shield themselves from the biases and connections that inundate the communities in which they, themselves, live.

He further requests that this Court stay the ongoing jury empanelment in the district court pending resolution of this petition, in the interests of **judicial economy and public confidence in the fairness of the proceedings.**

Mr. Tsarnaev filed a **Third Motion for Change of Venue** and supporting memorandum in the district court on January 22, 2015 [D.E. 980, 981]. He asserted that, based on the totality of the circumstances, including responses from the questionnaires completed by the 1,373 prospective jurors, **Mr. Tsarnaev could not obtain a fair trial by an impartial jury in the district of Massachusetts.** The government filed an unsealed Opposition to the Third Motion for Change of Venue on January 28, 2015 Completed Juror Questionnaires Confirm Pervasive Bias and/or Appearance of Bias.

In response to the question, **“[H]ave you formed an opinion that Dzhokhar Tsarnaev is guilty?”**: 934 prospective jurors or **sixty-eight percent (68%)** of the total replied, “yes”; o 345 or **twenty-five percent (25%)** replied, “not sure”; and o just 66 or **five percent (5%)** replied, “no.” In response to the question, **“[W]ould you be able or unable to set aside your opinion and base your decision about guilt and punishment solely on the evidence that will be presented to you in court?”**: o 545 or **forty percent (40%)** replied, “unable”; and o just 483 prospective jurors or **thirty-five percent (35%)** replied, “able.” **Not that any of that mattered either as the evidence presented - by the prosecution themselves - clearly pointed to Jahar’s complete innocence – of all charges; yet somehow the jury still found him guilty; on all charges.**

As a general matter, **a very high percentage of jurors identified a friend or loved one who was in the Boylston Street area at the time of the bombings, was connected with MIT, or was in Watertown during the shoot-out/lockdown/capture period.** Not surprisingly, the close link of jurors to victims and witnesses has resulted in participation — either personally, financially, or both — in “Boston Strong” fundraising and solidarity events.” **Of course such jury bias is alarming, but this is like shutting the stable door after the horse has bolted. The so-called defence strategy had already been decided [and there is nothing to suggest that anything would have changed that]; Judy Clarke says in her**

opening statement that **Jahar was guilty**. I quote: “**There's little that occurred the week of April the 15th -- the bombings, the murder of Officer Collier, the carjacking, the shootout in Watertown -- that we dispute**. If the only question was whether or not that was Jahar Tsarnaev in the video that you will see walking down Boylston Street, or if that was Jahar Tsarnaev who dropped the backpack on the ground, or if that was Jahar Tsarnaev in the boat -- captured in the boat, it would be very easy for you: **It was him**. So you might say, why a trial?”
<https://s3.amazonaws.com/s3.documentcloud.org/documents/1681442/tsarnaev-dzkokhar-trial-transcript-3-4-2015-clarke.pdf> [p 4.] **So it seems a little hypocritical to be concerned about jury bias when the defence had already agreed with the prosecution of his guilt.**

Finally have a quick gander at this transcript <http://imgur.com/a/u8RWu> where the horrible [honourable] Marianne B Bowler, US magistrate judge, tells Jahar [on hearing that he cannot afford a lawyer]: “I have provisionally appointed the **federal** [i.e. government; not that it makes much difference as *all lawyers answer to the same Masonic beast*] defender, Mr Fick to represent you in this matter. At some time he will give you an affidavit to fill out. The information you put regarding your financial assets will assist me in determining whether or not you are eligible for the appointment of counsel. I remind you that the affidavit is filed under the **pains and penalties of perjury** [that is *breathtaking hypocrisy*] which means that if the information you put in the affidavit is false, you could be **prosecuted for perjury** [it is about time the likes of *this conspiratorial judge got prosecuted for perjury ... and corruption ... and conspiring to pervert the course of justice ...*] and, if convicted, be subject to a fine of up to \$250,000 and/or **five years in jail**.” **Fucking hell, the poor boy got sentenced to death/a lifetime in prison because his treacherous lawyers sold him down the river.**

There are lots more motions ... you get the picture.