

We are living in dangerous times, being subjected to some extremely powerful and sophisticated psychological warfare. The Boston marathon bombing is an elaborate psy-op; so sophisticated that genuine 'armchair sleuths' are put off attempting to decipher it.

Before reading on please read my pdfs on 'THE BOSTON BOMBING PSY-OP – a closer look at the work of the COINTELPRO'

http://sharonkilby.co.uk/site/THE_BOSTON_BOMBING_PSY-OP_-_a_closer_look_at_the_work_of_the_COINTELPRO.php and 'THE BB

PSY-OP – a closer look at the work of the COINTELPRO who are geared towards the savvier truth seekers'

http://sharonkilby.co.uk/site/THE_BB_PSY-OP_-_a_closer_look_at_the_work_of_the_COINTELPRO_who_are_geared_towards_the_savvier_truth_seekers.php as you need to really

understand how we are being very cleverly mind controlled by the COINTELPRO DISINFORMATION NETWORK before going any further.

There are a number of 'Justice for Jahar' voices doing *seemingly* good work; some will even tell you how defence counsel sold out; some will question the witness testimonies, such as those from various FBI agents, police officers, and witnesses such as Dun Meng, but they'll only do it in a light hearted sarcastic way, which does not – as is the intention - pack any meaningful punches. In other words ALL of these people are only *pretending* to be a voice for Jahar. They are actually all agents for the real bombers. All of them. They're being paid to ensure Jahar stays put [or goes 6 feet under]. The general populace does not realise that, thus genuine people do not feel the need to get involved; not surprisingly when there seems to be so many dedicated and capable people already on the case. The reality is Jahar has NO-ONE [apart from his family ... and me] fighting his corner because **no-one will challenge the masonic beast, and convincingly prove a false flag attack.**

So who else is a *seemingly* smart and convincing 'Justice for Jaharist', catering for the more alert of us on the planet? **Jimmysllama**

<https://jimmysllama.wordpress.com/author/llamareport/> Jimmy's site is full of the usual mixture of truth, disinfo and the lie of omission. He is one of the very few cointelpro agents who references the court transcripts of the witness testimonies [and in some detail too] – and, for that reason, he *doesn't present as an obvious skill*. Read on to see what he does with those court testimonies though.

He writes very entertaining, very humorous articles; however despite the fact he does question various witness testimonies, and does point out

absurdities, he also keeps it all very light hearted, saying nothing that will set the cat among the pigeons. Nothing he says is going to upset the status quo since he doesn't even *acknowledge* Jahar's trial was a show trial, and he too only discusses the usual *masonic engineered* topics. He doesn't necessarily promote the *conspiracy theories*, but by the same token he doesn't expose them as red herrings either; in other words he doesn't raise any of the 'out of the masonic matrix' issues that I do; neither does he ask any of the off limits questions that I do; which means he is just another fully paid up pretender - a very effective gatekeeper for the masonic powers.

In short all Jimmy Shill does is keep you **distracted, in *masonic sanctioned* entertainment.**

The message coming over loud and clear from his blog is that no matter what good ol' Joe Public's efforts are, there is not a darned thing that we 'the people' - despite our vast numbers - can do about a massive injustice to a totally innocent young man, and ultimately the NWO hell. **Jimmy is constantly reinforcing the message that the enemy is just too big and powerful to take on.**

So who is Jimmysllama? <https://www.facebook.com/Jimmys-llama-420480481353427/> No idea; he doesn't let on. Why? Why does he hide behind that handle? Why does he not tell us anything about himself? Why does he not show a photograph of himself? What is he hiding? For someone who does so much in depth coverage of the marathon bombings and the after events, hiding behind anonymity is a **massive red flag alert**. I suspect there is more than one person behind the Jimmyshillama persona; for ease of reference I'll just call him Jimmy [or Jimmy with a few expletives.]

Ok take a look here <https://jimmysllama.wordpress.com/>

I quote: "On April 8, 2015, Dzhokhar was found guilty on 30 different counts stemming from his participation in the bombing of the marathon, the killing of a MIT police officer, a carjacking, and the subsequent shootout and detonation of bombs in Watertown.

But **too many unanswered questions and conflicting evidence has led some to believe there was a cover-up.**" **Just some? Not you then Jimmy? Why the ambiguity?**

Witty Jimmy packs a fair bit of criticism in his very readable jam-packed full of sarcasm summaries of the court proceedings; however his attacks purposefully lack any punch, and he frequently *perverts the truth*, using

the media propaganda war machine, to manipulate the conditions in which he can mount an attack; or he points out contradictions from the reporting of *the marathon bombers' media stooges*. That means his challenge *is only a pretend one*; all he's actually doing is playing *masonic games*. Furthermore his deliberate blasé devil-may-care attitude ensures that nothing will cause a ripple of alarm to the masonic heavyweights who are behind the false flag attack.

Jimmy isn't paid to expose his paymasters – the real marathon bombers - he's paid to **protect those bastards**.

In this article

<https://jimmysllama.wordpress.com/2015/03/04/boston-bombing-trial-day-one/> Boston Bombing Trial: Day One Posted on [March 4, 2015](#) by [llamareport](#)

he summarises the first day's proceedings, commenting "Gruesome, horrifying stuff" when talking about the bombing victims' injuries. He reiterates the propaganda about Jahar's radicalism: "His transformation towards radical Islam happened fairly quickly, over the course of a year or so before the bombings. He spread radical Muslim ideas online and **posted about making bombs**" [I'd like to see where he sources that info; I think he just made that bit up!] commenting: "Yet, miraculously, his college roommate never noticed the extent of Jahar's radicalization and, furthermore, failed to call the FBI once Jahar's picture was posted on television on April 18, 2013." That's limp. If Jimmy was genuine he would have *proven*, as I have done, that neither Jahar nor Tam were radicalised. He would also have exposed the room 'mate' as an outright liar. More on Andrew Dwinells in my JANSPORT pdf.

http://sharonkilby.co.uk/site/THE_JANSPORT_BACKPACK.php

He could also have pointed out the reaction Jahar's friends got when they did call the authorities as soon as they saw his photo splashed all over their TV screens – they **were told that the media were wrong, and said he was someone else**.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3pDNgUk1POc> [2 h 41 mins.]

On Tamerlan he jokes, "Either way, I'm certain he won't be in court because of the eight or nine bullets put into him during a gun battle with Watertown police." Why has Jimmy not yet *proven* that the shootout didn't happen; that all the Watertown police officers in connection perjured themselves? I'll comment on his articles on those police officers in a min.

Re the video of Jahar where the feds claim he dipped his shoulder and ditched his backpack Jimmy says, “My guess is that the feds knew about that video and had identified Jahar by Monday night.” Jimmy knows that the feds were involved in the bombing plot. Why is he pretending that they were even looking through any footage with an eye to finding the culprits? As for not knowing the brothers, the feds knew Jahar and his family very well; they’d been psyching them out over a number of years in order to set them up as patsies. Why is Jimmy pretending otherwise?

He continues to play along with the script: “Are the authorities really telling us that they decided to plow through millions of other clips of film for four days before looking at the surveillance videos from the Forum – the place where one of the bombings actually occurred? I doubt it. Why is this important? Well, for one, Collier would be alive today.” Again why is he pretending that the authorities were searching for the bombers when the authorities [or more accurately the people who control them] authorised the bombings? And why is he going along with the official story that the Tsarnaevs killed Collier?

As for the horrendous injuries suffered by the bomb victims he wants you to believe he “cannot fathom it.” Filthy hypocrite. He’s an aider and abettor of the real criminals who caused the marathon carnage.

He tells us “yet apparently a MIT student, Nate Harmon, was biking by at the time of the shooting and will testify he saw Jahar at the scene of the crime.” Why hasn’t he thoroughly exposed Harman by now?

He continues: “The prosecution made it very clear during opening statements that it doesn’t matter who pulled the trigger, “they both murdered him [Collier].” Hmm. But it actually does matter who pulled the trigger. At least in the US criminal justice system. But **whatevs.**” Yeah whatevs. It isn’t you suffering a gross injustice is it Jimmy Shill?

Notice how he pretends that there is such a thing as a ‘US criminal justice system’. If there was such a thing as a ‘justice system’ there would be no such thing as an ‘internet sleuth’.

He then makes light of the Dun Meng story: “Lo and behold, on the way, they came across “Danny,” who was pulled over next to Auto Zone reading a text message.

During Danny’s ordeal, the **prosecution** argued that at some point the Tsarnaevs’ transferred bombs from the Honda Civic into the Mercedes

(because, apparently, a carjacked vehicle and a kidnapped victim will draw less attention while driving to New York than the Honda Civic, which was captured never, as far as I know, on MIT surveillance cams), used an ATM machine to withdrawal \$800 from Danny's account and then pulled over for gas. Yes he points out the ridiculousness of the carjacking story but notice how *light hearted* he is about it. What's he doing about it? Nothing. His message is there is nothing we can do. Notice he talks in terms of the prosecution as if the court was legit.

Jahar, in the pics from the ATM, does not have any blood on him from the Collier murder. Like...none. Furthermore, Danny never mentions seeing any blood spatter. Is that all he can say? Why hasn't he convincingly debunked the carjacking story and exposed the filthy liar Meng by now? I'll cover his article on Meng in a min. Eh. Maybe he'll throw it in his narrative now. I'm happy to help with testimony. No need Jimmy; you're doing just fine. You're worth your weight in gold to your masonic superiors. Not that it matters, the defense seems to be doing that all on their own." Yeah, so what, eh Jimmy. If you were not a scumbag shill you'd be spitting feathers about this. You'd be exposing the 'defence' as corrupt mother fuckers who conspired with the prosecution and judge to ensure Jahar's conviction.

In relation to the Donohue shooting he asks, "Is that because the government is afraid that information might negate Jahar's crimes of setting off bombs at the marathon that killed three people, murdering a police officer and involving himself in a shootout/bomb throwing contest on Laurel Street?" So why are you not *proving* that Jahar is innocent of all the crimes he was charged with? Your making light of it is **at Jahar's expense**, you degenerate piece of filth.

As for the boat scene, why is he going along with the pretence Jahar escaped in the Merc? I quote: "My guess is that someone else, specifically a law enforcement officer who was not with the swarm of cops on Laurel and Dexter shooting at Jahar as he escaped in the Mercedes, was the last one to see Jahar at Spruce and Lincoln. So yeah, it matters." Your guess is what? Stop chatting shit Jimmy. Why have you not exposed the lying David Henneberry and his dodgy stepson Duffy by now?

On the tweeters he says: "Mad props for court coverage today go out to @GlobeCullen, @carlwbz, @JaredPliner, @AlyshaNECN, @JimArmstrongWBZ, and @SLavienABC6. There's many more out there that did an excellent job. Kudos to all of them." The reporters

tweeting live from the court room *work for the same masonic boss as Jimmy – the same masons who conspired to bomb the marathon and stitch up the Tsarnaev brothers.*

In this article

<https://jimmysllama.wordpress.com/2015/03/09/tsarnaev-trial-witnesses-day-one-and-two/>

Tsarnaev Trial Witnesses: Day One and Two

Posted on [March 9, 2015](#) by [llamareport](#)

Jimmy reveals further proof that he is *in league with the bombers' mouthpieces* in this comment: "As always, many thanks go out to all the reporters that have gone well above the call of tweeting duty. Its an injustice when the court system favors numb fingers over broadcasting a case."

First class hypocrite Jimmy Shillama feigns sympathy during his summarising of the bomb victims' testimonies. I quote:

"Let's face it, folks, all the victims in this case have tragic stories but the Richards? It's gut wrenching what happened to his family that day.

Richard saw his wife, Martin and Henry but couldn't find Jane. When Henry pointed out Jane, Bill Richard didn't realize the extent of her injuries until she tried to stand up and fell back down. He scooped her up and realized her leg had been blown off. Jane was six at the time. When he heard his wife calling to him, he went back over to check on her and Martin and realized how utterly horrific the situation was for his son. He knew his son would not make it and in a moment of time that can only be described as devastating, harrowing and heart wrenching, Bill Richard made the decision to leave his dying son in order to save his only daughter. It was the last time he saw Martin alive." **This from a man too cowardly or ashamed that he must hide behind a fake online persona. Why is that? Because as a very well paid and protected loyal servant of the men who authorised the marathon bombings, he has very dark and dirty secrets.**

Sickening.

Take a look at this article whereby Jimmy gets his teeth into **FBI Agent Kevin Swindon's** and **Dr. Matthew Levitt's** court testimonies

<https://jimmysllama.wordpress.com/2015/05/31/boston-trial-witnesses-day-11/> Posted on [May 31, 2015](#) by [llamareport](#) I quote:

“However, Levitt’s testimony focused on the iPhone 5, the phone **Jahar used to send text messages to his friend, Dias Kadyrbayev, on April 18, 2013.**” Jimmy is parroting the *masonic* line. If he was a genuine sleuth he would have pointed out that there is **no evidence that said text exchange exists**. He would’ve also pointed out that there is no evidence that any of Jahar’s friends were at his dorm on the evening of 18th April. The fact that he cannot quote verbatim that exchange, instead saying: “The conversation between the two of them that evening after the feds posted Jahar’s photo on television **went something like this**” would have had a *genuine* sleuth asking some searching questions. And don’t tell me he isn’t intelligent enough to figure that out as his quick-witted and witty articles reflect that the writer is an intelligent and articulate person. His articles also reveal that he has studied all the court documentation and numerous ‘news’ clips and videos. Jimmy has obviously had a good read of Swindon’s transcript for him to be able to summarise it. [Swindon’s testimony consists of a whopping 237 pages in total of long-winded waffle.] So he would have found, like I did, that **NOWHERE** is that **all important** text exchange published verbatim. He would have also discovered – as I did – that there are conflicting accounts and, most astonishingly, that only a **redacted** version was **admitted into evidence**. [And that would be a redacted version of a *fabricated* conversation!] See my Jansport backpack pdf for more on that. http://sharonkilby.co.uk/site/THE_JANSPORT_BACKPACK.php

In truth of course Jimmy doesn’t really study the transcripts since he *isn’t a genuine armchair detective*; **he’s a shill**, putting his name [or rather pseudonym] to articles that have almost certainly been written *in conjunction* with fellow **high level mason** collaborators.

As with all cointelpro agents you’ll obviously never find Jimmy *proving* the bombings were an ‘inside job’ and you’ll never see him *convincingly* attacking the official story. Instead he dances around. Yes he’ll criticise witness testimonies and he’ll attack key people with sarcasm; for example he pulls up Swindon on his ‘non-answers’ and cherry picking of evidence; however he’ll play it all down, and kid you that it is the *prosecution’s* prerogative. The main job of the shill is to make sure nothing said ventures out of the ***masonic boundaries***.

Have a look at this article

<https://jimmysllama.wordpress.com/2015/05/24/boston-trial-witnesses-day-10/> Tsarnev Trial Witnesses: Day 10 Posted on [May 24, 2015](#) by [llamareport](#)

for an example of Jimmy's idea of exposing the 'defence'. Firstly he says of **FBI Agent Brian Corcoran**

"Basically, this guy testified to a whole host of things found on Laurel Street in Watertown.

Laundry List:

- The pressure cooker's "blast seat"
- Locations of the pressure cooker, the lid, the locking mechanism
- A computer bag in which was found: a Samsung laptop, a cell phone with two batteries, a transmitter with an antenna (aka "modified piece of electronics"), foreign legal document with Tamerlan Tsarnaev's name on it, Tamerlan's 2006 high school diploma from Cambridge Rindge & Latin (also included were class schedules and attendance records), and a laser pointer.
- A messenger bag that contained a UMass pencil, Zippo lighter and an 8G thumb drive.
- a Jansport backpack

Let's start with the "blast seat" on Laurel Street and Corcoran's testimony about the pressure cooker. **Andrew Kitzenberg** of 62 Laurel Street took a photo of the blast seat as seen below on the left, the photo in the center was used as evidence by the prosecution during trial, and the last one is the area of the blast seat seen on Bing maps (No actual blast marks in the last photo). **Do I think the blast seat is particularly interesting? No**" So what's the point mentioning it? What was the point of even mentioning the 'laundry list'? Notice Jimmy only manages mild skits when he does attack anyone. He's not allowed to meaningfully lay into any of the witnesses – whether they are FBI, police, or any other masonic puppet 'witness', such as Andrew Kitzenberg; as that would be 'out of the masonic matrix' criticism i.e. a threat to his paymasters, the psy-oppers. Notice he doesn't even criticise Kitz, yet that idiot **constantly contradicts himself** [see my main BB pdf.] If Jimmy was genuine he'd have a field day trashing Kitz's story. But he isn't, so he has to go along with the *pretence* that Kitz is genuine. NWO gatekeepers have to adhere to the golden rule at all times – *not* to, under any circumstances, expose their fellow fakes. Well as I said they can do it in a *pretend* way; they're not allowed to *convincingly* expose their cohorts.

This is Jimmy's 'criticism' of the 'defence': "Take a look at the photos below. The fact that it **seemed near impossible for the pressure cooker to plow into the side of the car at that angle was the only thing during the entire course of the trial that the defense not only argued, but**

went so far as to insinuate that someone planted it in the side of the car. I'm not disputing the odds of the cooker landing where it did, but this, **this is the war Judy Clarke waged during the trial?** I mean, come on. The least she could have done was haul in Bill Nye, the science guy, to talk about trajectories. But nah. She didn't. I think Jimmy Shill just made that up! I'm pretty sure none of the 'defence' insinuated anything of the sort. Certainly there is nothing in Brian Corcoran's testimony to suggest that. He wasn't even questioned by any of the 'defence' lawyers. Notice Jimmy **doesn't say where he sources that information.** That being the case Jimmy hasn't provided the proof of his claim; therefore his **criticism is invalid.**

Notice also that he's non committal on whether or not he believes someone planted the pressure cooker in that car. He's happy for you to spread that **red herring** though, and to **point out that it had come from Jahar's lawyers** – and look like an idiot.

Notice also how he focuses on the issues that have a *chance* of being true. He says that it "*seemed near impossible*" rather than it *was* impossible. When there is a possibility, no matter how remote, of something being true, then the script writers cannot be challenged. That is keeping it 'in the masonic matrix'. The cointelpro gang never point out actual impossibilities, as that is 'out of the Masonic matrix' stuff.

Even more interesting is where the pressure cooker lid came to rest. According to Agent Corcoran, authorities found the lid in the side yard at 53 Laurel Street **in a hockey/soccer net.** Yeah. I wish I was making that up. I'm not." So his criticism of Judy Clarke holds no weight since he's proven nothing. So not only does he fail to convincingly prove that she [and the other 'defence' lawyers] spectacularly failed Jahar, the only criticism he does make is made in a *light hearted jokey* way and is not proven anyway! Jimmy's only **play fighting** remember. His punches are deliberately ineffective. He's not allowed to **prove** that the lawyers and judges, police, FBI ... are corrupt; you'll never find these so-called online sleuths pointing out the things that I do about Judy Clarke and all the other lawyers [the 'out of the box' stuff] that **prove** she and they are part of a massive sophisticated conspiracy to sacrifice Jahar and thus **protect the BB official story.**

Now I'm no expert but unless I missed Corcoran's testimony about how the lid did a bank shot off what I can only assume is 49 Laurel Street, this is the most amazing flying lid I've ever seen. I mean, if the net opening was facing the west and the lid came from the east...well, you

understand. However, before anyone starts calling up Dwight Schrute with their conspiracy theories, **it is possible the police moved the net before taking evidence pictures like they did with the pencil on Henneberry's boat or the stuff in the bedroom on Norfolk.** Course he *hasn't shown the evidence* that the police moved anything. It is of course possible the police moved the net, but since he can't prove it, why say it? His aim is to get all you fans of Alex Jones/Ickie/Spivey/other twoofer big guns [all you folks who can't think for yourselves] to look like idiots by repeating it. Remember they want you **discussing and debating their Masonic machinations.** They have to **prevent you thinking outside the Masonic matrix.** I'm not sure when moving evidence before taking pictures used at trial **became fashionable** but, hey, **maybe they didn't.** Which then **leaves us back at square one.**" So, **without proving it** he then *makes light* of the fact that the police in connection with the Tsarnaev trial are corrupt - saying he isn't sure when corrupt practices **became fashionable.**

And then comes the kicker – we're back at square one. He's telling you that despite all the seemingly dedicated and capable sleuthing going on worldwide, we're not getting anywhere in our fight for truth and justice and freedom – for Jahar, and for the rest of us; and we never will.

Well done Jimmy Shill, whoever you are, and whoever your pathetic disgusting lying cowardly associates are who also hide behind anonymity. Satan loves you all. I hope you all rot in hell forever – but not before we all see your cowardly evil faces.

Now read what he says about Jahar's friends. I quote:

"So what did Jahar's friends do exactly? On April 18, 2013, after the FBI released photos of the "bombers," Jahar's friends went to his dorm room and removed his backpack which contained a handful of fireworks, Vaseline, a thumb drive and a homework assignment. They also removed his Sony VAIO laptop. After returning with Jahar's things to their New Bedford apartment, Kadrybayev eventually put the backpack and its contents into the dumpster that evening.

Yeah, I got it. They thought they were throwing out incriminating evidence like a few fireworks, which, inevitably contained **no where near enough powder to make even a little, itty, bitty, baby bomb.** Jimmy says only *what all the other shills are saying* - that the FBI are being OTT; that these friends should not have been arrested for just taking a handful of things out of Jahar's room. You will not find any of them pointing out the 'out of the masonic matrix' stuff - that Jahar's friends were **jailed on**

trumped up charges; that there is no evidence that any of them were even at Jahar's room on the evening in question.

Jimmy and his fellow shills are paid to ensure that the **official story** [masonic fabrication] that these friends took stuff from Jahar's dorm and dumped 'incriminating evidence' **doesn't start unravelling.**

Here's what the **news reported** a week after the Feds allegedly took possession of Jahar's laptop. **Genuine sleuths do not quote bullshit from the bullshit merchants.**

US Dept. of Homeland Security Agent, **James Wiroll**, while on the stand during the Kadyrbayev trial, read from a federal report (I'm assuming an FBI interview with Kadyrbayev) that Kadyrbayev told authorities **he had thrown away the backpack and the laptop.**

Yet, as of today, **authorities say Kadyrbayev did not throw away the laptop.** Christiana's testimony reflected the same thing. **I don't have the Wiroll transcripts [is that because Wiroll didn't say that?] but you can read about his testimony [HERE](#) and [HERE](#).** And where do those links take us? To the reports which were **written by the bastards who stitched up Jahar and his friends** via their 'news' lackeys – Reuters and IOL News.

Jimmy then encourages you to read more *conflicting accounts of bullshit* which is printed by the **fools who serve the piss taking murderous bastards who bombed the marathon.**

Lastly, a Superseding Indictment filed on August 29, 2013, charged both Kadyrbayev and Tazhayakov with, "agreeing to knowingly alter, destroy, conceal, and cover up tangible objects belonging to Dzhokhar Tsarnaev, namely a laptop computer..." **Is taking a laptop and setting it on top of your dining room table in plain view altering, destroying, concealing or covering it up? I guess according to authorities it is.** Yeah carry on making light of these gross injustices Jimmy Shill; you can't hide forever; you'll get your just deserts for this; mark my words. For other **news sources** that carried **almost the same news story** as **ABC** about the laptop and the landfill go to **NBCNews** → [HERE](#) (officials apparently told **NBC** the same thing) or the **New York freakin' Times** [why are you saying "freakin", arsehole?] who **did an entire article on the missing laptop in the landfill** six days after the laptop was allegedly found in the New Bedford apartment → [HERE](#) or a **NYmag.com** article which stated, "A law enforcement official tells the **New York Times** that on Thursday investigators were combing through the Crapo Hill Landfill in New

Bedford” because “they believe that the computer may have been thrown out.” →[HERE](#).

I mean, what?” Yeah carry on playing your **masonic games** while it lasts you fukkin idiot. God will unmask you; then the whole world will know your name. Wouldn't want to be in your shoes then.

Do you see how focussing your attention on conflicting accounts as presented in the media distracts you from looking ‘outside the box’ to find where the real truth lies. Remember all media promote the official story *which is a script*, as said there is no evidence that any of Jahar's friends were even at Jahar's on the evening in question; there's no evidence that any of them took anything of Jahar's from his dorm – no backpack, no laptop, no fireworks, NOTHING, let alone that they threw away any ‘incriminating evidence’.

Notice the comments section – comments written by whoever is behind Jimmyshillama:

“Richard Demma

May 30, 2015 at 8:25 pm

Wow, another brilliant piece of **investigative journalism**. L.O.L Also, you have a fan in Australia, well known lawyer activist, **Mary Maxwell** has been following your reports. **Birds of a fukkin feather**. It would not surprise me if Mary Maxwell and the gumshoe shitbags write the Llama report. Scroll down to comments section where she mentions your site. <http://gumshoenews.com/2015/04/04/an-open-letter-to-judge-george-a-otoole/>”

Jimmy continues to make light of various witness testimonies with his dry humour which deliberately lacks the punch needed to get the job done. See <https://jimmysllama.wordpress.com/2015/03/12/tsarnaev-trial-witnesses-day-4/> Tsarnaev Trial Witnesses: Day 4 Posted on March 12, 2015 by llamareport

He focuses on irrelevant points which he would not waste his time on if he was a genuine sleuth. And he frequently, in a very clever subtle way, **twists the truth**. For example this is what he says about **Officer Todd Brown**:

“**He also testified to seeing Jahar, bloodied, exiting the boat** – that is, from four houses away. **No biggie, right? I mean he probably had some binoculars on him. Nope**. Read on.

Cross Examination by the Defense:

Q: Where were you when Dzhokhar left the boat.

A: On Franklin Street

Q: You didn't see him get out?

A: No. (@JimArmstrongWBZ) **Why quote from WBZ's Jim Armstrong when he could quote from the transcript?**

The actual dialogue goes like this: "Q [Chakrafarty]. At some point that afternoon, evening, did -- was someone -- **did someone come out of that boat? A. Yes.** Q. Where were you in relation to the boat at that time? A. About three to four houses up from that location. **Was that person arrested and placed on a stretcher? A. Yes.** Q. **Did you see that person? A. Yes.**"

http://thebostonmarathonbombings.weebly.com/uploads/2/4/2/6/24264849/day_30_trial_day_march_10_2015_transcript_prosecution_witnesses.pdf [p 41] and on p 51: "Q [Bruck]. Did I understand you to say that you arrived after the individual, Mr. Tsarnaev, had been removed from the boat? A. **I arrived in the backyard after he was removed. I was on Franklin Street before that.** Q. Okay. **You did not see him emerge from the boat? A. No.**" So, contrary to what Jimmy says Todd Brown *didn't* testify to seeing Jahar – bloodied - **exiting** the boat. He saw Jahar when Jahar was on a stretcher. So Jimmy has not shown Brown to be lying.

You do have to laugh at some of the stuff these rats come out with [I bet there isn't just one geezer behind Jimmyshillama.] I quote:

"... defense attorney **Bruck was able to show that Brown's story didn't jive [actually that's not true either]** and that no guns or other weapons (**except a no.2**) was found on the boat with Jahar. **Repeat, no guns or other weapons were found on the boat.** Not even a lighter? I mean, how did that dude write that letter in the dark? Just asking." **Well if he was in that boat, and he did write those messages, where is it established that he did so in the dark? He was allegedly spotted at about 6 p.m after having been in the boat for 17 hours – there would have been plenty of daylight for him to scribble his message.**

So Jimmy *bends the truth* in order to make a point – that a police bomb technician lied on oath, when he **should have exposed the bomb technician with the real truth.** **Do you see a pattern emerging here?**

If he was not a lying filthy shill he would have done what I did – **a proper hatchet job - on both Chakrafarty and the clown Brown:**

“Q. And so what was the general tasking to the EOD officers after the bombing? A. To clear packages, make sure that there was no other devices. Q. Can you describe very generally [what do you mean very generally? You are supposed to be subjecting this witness to a thorough and proper cross examination] how that happened over the course of the afternoon? A. We would -- you know, there would be [we would ... you know, there would be] a suspicious package or whatever [or whatever?] on Boylston Street. We would go up there, clear it, and then continue on to another package, to another package. That is very vague and unconvincing. Where were the questions, such as: what exactly did you find that was suspicious? What kind of package? What size? How many packages? Did you work alone or in pairs, or in groups? Where on Boylston did you find these items? How did you clear these packages?

Q. In some cases, or very limited cases, you actually would do what's called a controlled explosion? A. Correct. Q. On one occasion on Boylston Street [where?] did some of your colleagues [who? how many colleagues?] actually conduct a controlled explosion? A. Yes. Why is Chakrafarty being vague? Why didn't he ask specific questions, such as the names of these colleagues, the name of the person who actually did the controlled explosion, what the explosive device was, what it was contained in ...? **Why did Chakrafarty not want details i.e. clarification?**

Q. Does the controlled explosion use what's called a blasting cap or a controlled explosive device in order to burst something open? A. Yes. Q. How many times do you think that happened? A. I believe once.” He believes? So there was apparently only one controlled explosion, but Todd Brown can't even convincingly confirm that.

If this was not a psy-op do you think a police bomb technician would have such an easy time of it when giving testimony in court, especially in connection with a terrorist attack, whereby someone is on trial for his life? I don't think so.

Here are more examples of Jimmy's witty remarks – great for a giggle, but useless in the fight for truth; obviously.

“Witness 29: FBI Agent Jeffrey Rolands

Rolands gave testimony about evidence collection and the two crime scenes on Boylston St. Outside of holding a paint can with a bunch of bb's in it, there's not much to say about this guy's testimony.

The dialogue goes like this: “Q. Agent Rolands, I just handed you an item. What did I just hand you? A. A paint can that has evidence tape on it. Q. For the record, is it marked as Exhibit 421? A. Yes, it is. Q. All right. What is inside that paint can? A. As described on the can, it says “BBs.” Q. Is that the evidence that was collected by the FBI from the crime scene, specifically Scene A, in April of 2013? A. Yes, it was. MR. MELLIN: Your Honor, I'd move into evidence Exhibit 421. MS. CLARKE: No objection. THE COURT: Okay. (Government Exhibit No. 421 received into evidence.) Q. If you could just do me a favor. Looking at Exhibit 421, the can itself, the can was not on the crime scene, correct? A. Correct. Q. So what did the FBI do with these BBs? A. These BBs were collected and packaged in this can, sealed, entered into evidence, and then shipped off to the laboratory.” [P 60.] What? From what I can gather the paint can was just a vessel in which to carry the BBs [ball bearings.] But why a *paint* can? They'd have to make sure it was empty and washed out; why go to that trouble? Is this a ruse to make us think paint was found at the scene; to muddy the waters and give legs [pardon the pun] to the *hoax* claims? Notice none of the lawyers asked for clarification.

FBI agent Edward S. Knapp testifies: “It would come back to us. And basically I knew these particular items were from Scene A. These particular items were from Scene B. And then you started analyzing those particular items, looking through the paint cans with all the material in it, looking through the bags that came in with numerous things and material inside those.”

<http://thebostonmarathonbombings.weebly.com/uploads/2/4/2/6/24264849/1351.pdf> [P 25.]

Remember for any psy-op to work there only has to be a little bit of uncertainty. So when the FBI testify in court about a paint can which holds BBs, it gets you wondering if paint was indeed used as part of a *staged* bomb blast scene i.e. you might be persuaded that there were no real bombs and no-one died and no-one was hurt.

Back to Jimmy's funny but pointless comments:

Witness 30: FBI Agent Kristin Koch

Here's her testimony (pretty short):– She testified that over 3,000 pieces of evidence came in.

– Did I read the tweets wrong or was she testifying with a can of bb's too? Did the feds ever look into a large quantity of bb's being sold prior to the marathon? No, seriously.

And:

Witness 33: Paula Ernst

During Ernst's testimony, a crime scene diagram was shown to the jury. The diagram contained dots that were color-coded based on categories and each dot represented evidence found at both scenes. When you hover over one of the dots an evidence number shows up along with a photo (if possible). **These evidence numbers correspond to the feds' evidence list. Kinda cool, right?**

And:

Witness 34: FBI Agent Sara De Lair

The judge called it for the day so he could go look at the boat in person. The issue? **The government wants to bring in just the panel that contains Jahar's writings, the defense wants the whole dang thing brought in."**

It's pretty clear he steers clear of getting his teeth into the real meaty stuff that I do, and exposing it. For example he could have really ripped into another FBI employee Anthony Imel's testimony; he could have pointed out that Imel managed to get hold of a photo of the tree Jahar stood behind which was taken *prior* to the marathon. He could have exposed the fact FBI Special Agents Daniel R Genck and Scott P Cieplik wrote affidavits which are practically identical – chunks of their statements are exactly the same, word for word. He could have exposed the David Green bullshit story. I could go on and on.

And look at his idea of exposing **FBI Agent Chad Fitzgerald**

<https://jimmysllama.wordpress.com/2015/03/12/tsarnaev-trial-witnesses-day-five-2/>

Tsarnaev Trial Witnesses: Day Five Posted on [March 12, 2015](#) by [llamareport](#)

First have a read of his upbeat jokey continuation of Sarah De Lair. I quote:

"The evidence that De Lair showed the courtroom during testimony: A shredded black and white backpack **used in the bombing outside Forum restaurant**. Yet again, he's **skewing the truth**. Sarah De Lair tells the court that a black and white item which is in several pieces is a backpack which was recovered from Scene B. **She does not say it was used in the bombing.**

<http://thebostonmarathonbombings.weebly.com/uploads/2/4/2/6/242648>

[49/day_31_trial_day_march_11_2015_transcript_prosecution_witnesses.pdf](#) [P 8.]

According to @gailwaterhouse it was found “in the middle of Boylston.” According to @AlyshaNECN, it was “at the edge of the crosswalk near Forum on Boylston St.” Do you see how he’s constantly pointing out the **contradictions found in reports which are made by the bombers’ stooges i.e ‘news’ reporters**, often via tweets. This is *masonic game playing* - a common skill trait. Notice he rarely points out **contradictions in testimonies**; why? Because they are the *real* ones; the real lies; the *proving* of corruption. That is ‘out of the masonic matrix’; he’s not allowed to go there. **Do you see the modus operandi?**

Jahar’s driver’s license and a video recorded by Jahar himself inside the backpack, confessing to the crime and why he did it. Kidding, kidding.”

Ok now have a read of Jimmy’s evaluation of Chad Fitzgerald’s testimony. Limp or what? If Jimmy was on the level he would have really tore apart Fitzgerald’s testimony, and exposed him as the lying skunk he is. Fitz is an FBI agent, investigating the deadliest terrorist attack on the USA since 9/11 and he **did not know what, if any, phone calls took place between the brothers prior to the bombs going off**. I don’t recall Jimmy telling you that.

And look at his impotent criticism re the Collier shooting. All he does is point out **the obvious** - that there was no cross by the defence. And again why does he publish a load of tweets? The people tweeting are the **reporters who collect their pay cheques from Collier’s murderers**.

Jimmy continues to **misrepresent witness testimonies**, and he continues to make ineffectual points - deliberately. Take a look here <https://jimmysllama.wordpress.com/2015/05/11/boston-trial-day-9/> Tsarnaev Trial Witnesses: Day Posted on [May 11, 2015](#) by [llamareport](#)

Yet again he publishes tweets from the tweeters who – obviously - continue to twist what was stated in court. This is what he says:

“After McCarthy took the stand, **the tweets that went out during his initial testimony were confusing**. You’re supposed to be exposing the tweeters as **the marathon bombers’ mouthpieces**, not taking their ‘information’ as reliable. You’re supposed to be commenting directly from witness

testimonies. That is the **only way you can expose a false flag attack**. Oops forgot; you're not paid to expose these police officers/FBI agents; you're paid to *pretend* to be doing so; eh Jimmy. At least for me. Here is what I have:

– After the Mercedes SUV carjacking report, McCarthy went looking for the carjackers **because he learned Watertown PD had located them**. Huh?

Jimmy gives a twisted version of Robert McCarthy's testimony. McCarthy actually says: "We were ready to secure at MIT, **we were informed that there was a carjacking over the river on the Cambridge side**. So we just got -- I got in my vehicle and we just started heading over towards the Cambridge-Watertown area ... **see if we could locate the carjacking**." In answer to the question what happened en route to Watertown, McCarthy says "We were **informed that the Watertown police were behind the vehicle** that matched the description of the **carjacking**." He's just saying that the Watertown police officers had got there before him.

http://thebostonmarathonbombings.weebly.com/uploads/2/4/2/6/24264849/1559_day_35_march_18_2015.pdf [P 10.]

So once again we see Jimmy Shill *keeping everything nicely in the masonic matrix* 'exposing' a police trooper from 'information' **provided by the marathon bombers' agents**.

– At some point (it's unclear when), Watertown police called out that they needed a bomb squad on Laurel Street so McCarthy headed over there. (**But wasn't he already on his way?**) Yes, but that was after he had been requested to attend to a matter on Mount Auburn Street concerning a cardboard box.

He had to have been on his way to Laurel Street prior to hearing this dispatch. Interestingly, this timeline would also mean that Jahar, not Tamerlan, inevitably threw the pressure cooker bomb. Yet, **prosecutors failed to show this** during trial. Why? Note the pretence of the trial being legit. I have detailed countless areas whereby all the lawyers failed. I have thoroughly debunked the shoot out *story*; where can I find Jimmy's debunk?

– Watertown officers were screaming at him, "They're throwing bombs at us!...we need a bomb squad!" (Over the radio? And what help is the bomb squad in helping to stop bombs from being thrown? During a

gunfight, no less. Are they carrying a smaller version of the Iron Dome?)
If this was not a script, but had actually happened, it is true to say that there would not be a call for a bomb squad during the shoot out; however you could interpret those calls as just being from overexcited police officers who were not used to such drama in Watertown. In any case the bomb squad needed to be ready to jump into action once the shooting and pressure cooker lobbing was over.

So besides finding it impossible to buy into the narrative that the authorities and media have shoved down our throats ...” says the person who gets his information from the media!

So Jimmy Shill, if you don't buy the official narrative, where is your debunkathon of it?

Jimmy isn't paid to expose the real corruption, he's paid to 'expose' the **masonic concocted anomalies, lies and contradictions.**

The modus operandi is to keep you confused and in the dark; thus under control.

Here's some more wonderful Jimmyshillama sarcasm - great for a little chuckle; nothing that's going to upset his paymasters:

“After he finished up on Laurel Street, McCarthy was called over to inspect the Mercedes SUV that Jahar had left at Spruce and Lincoln. Seriously? Get this guy some breakfast because that is a long day. Once he made it on scene, McCarthy realized that behind the driver's seat of the Mercedes was a Tupperware container bomb with multiple fuses (because if you can't get it lit the first time...). His robot, who had obviously called it a day, failed to remove it from the vehicle.”

Course also in his 'Day 9' article is his usual – *mild* - criticism **based on the tweets from journalists.** And who do the journalists work for? Jimmy's bosses – the blokes who actually bombed the marathon. And guess what the great pretender comes out with next: “But, in actuality, it ended with me banging my head on the wall at the spineless idiocy and blatant bias of the media.” Just give me a minute or two while I pick my jaw up off the floor.

Moving on, during his comments on Matthew Hess he cracks a joke about the 'stolen' SUV – Dun Meng's dangling keys, seen in Meng's

back pocket during his stop at the Mobil following the night he went for a ride with the Tsarnaevs.

On police Trooper Patrick Moynihan he says “Before we get into Trooper Moynihan, remember earlier how **journalists failed to tell us much of anything** regarding the cross of Trooper McCarthy? Apparently, before Trooper Moynihan took to the stand, there was a discussion about how the jury failed to see one of the diagrams the defense used to question McCarthy and his arrival into Watertown. So, testimony was re-read out loud to the jury as the diagram was shown to them. **And, unbelievably, via reporters we still learn nothing about his route into and around Watertown. Astounding.**”

This is that infamous moment in time when the jury was shown pictures of the bloody white gloves seen near the gas pedal of the Honda Civic. Interestingly, @**GlobeCullen** tweeted that, “In opening, **Weinreb said MIT Police Officer Sean Collier’s gloves were found.**” But when I went back to the prosecution’s opening statements it only said, “DNA analysis shows that **the blood on those gloves came from Officer Collier.**” **That bit is true. Is Cullen mistaken or did I completely miss previous testimony about the gloves being Collier’s? Well if you were genuine you would not be repeating what the filthy lying henchmen and women of Sean Collier’s murderers say; men like the dirty propagator of lies Kevin Cullen of the Boston Globe. Even @dhausleon** tweeted, “Now seeing pics of inside Tsarnaev’s green Honda **with white gloves inside like those worn by Ofc. Sean Collier.**” Huh? **Are you seeing the pattern?**

Jimmy Shill then says that miraculously ‘**government shill**’ [crossed out] **reporter @lilsarg**, as usual, just happened to have a picture of it ready to go at that exact moment. **ALL reporters are government shills. Actually they’re all shilling for the higher masonic power ... and Jimmy’s shilling for the freemasons too.**

In his remarks on Stephanie Waite we see Jimmy yet again **distorting the truth**, using the *media propaganda war machine*, to *manipulate* the circumstances to justify another – *weak* - criticism. He says: “Although Waite took four blood samples from the gloves and conducted DNA testing on them, **the prosecution didn’t ask if any matches had been found [that’s a lie; the prosecution did ask]** (although, multiple **media stories** subsequent to this testimony said that **Waite did say Collier’s blood matched the blood on the gloves.** Maybe she did. **If you**

weren't a skill you would have checked for yourself. I'm stuck with the media's tweets.)" No you're not stuck with the media's tweets; you liar.

Waite's job was to DNA test, not analyse; that was Jennifer Montgomery's job [as you Jimmy point out yourself.]

This is what Montgomery states [in answer to questions by Weinreb]:
"Q. Were you asked to compare Sean Collier's DNA to blood found on the outside of two white gloves? A. Yes. Q. How did you obtain Sean Collier's DNA? A. I obtained a known head hair standard from the OCME, and I processed that using that same four-step process in order to determine a DNA profile for him ... Q. So 12-9 were the gloves? A. Correct. Q. And then 12-9.1? A. Would be the swabs. And then the criminalist will take a portion of those swabs and put that forward for DNA, saving the other half. Q. And that becomes 12-9.1.1? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Did the test tube indicate who had prepared the sample? A. Yes. Q. Who? A. Stephanie Waite. Q. She's someone you work with regularly? A. Yes. Q. In your experience is her work reliable? A. Yes. Q. Were you able to develop a DNA profile for Sean Collier? A. Yes, I was."

http://thebostonmarathonbombings.weebly.com/uploads/2/4/2/6/24264849/1559_day_35_march_18_2015.pdf [P 145.] On page 150 she says:
"Q. Okay. And at each address did the number of repeats in the Sean Collier sample match the number of repeats in the glove sample? A. Yes. The sample -- the profile that I obtained from this sample was a mixture with a major profile."

Jimmy rightly points out that Montgomery reveals that the only blood found on Jahar's sweatshirt was his own – NONE was from Officer Collier. As for the rest of his comments on Montgomery, see my main BB psy-op pdf for my observations/questions concerning the white gloves.

Right now take a look at his 'Police Story' article
<https://jimmysllama.wordpress.com/2014/04/14/bo/>

Boston Bombing Day 5 Cont'd: The Police Story Posted on [April 14, 2014](#) by [llamareport](#)

I quote: "Officer Donohue was reported to have been one of the first officers that arrived at the MIT scene. That would have put him there sometime between 10:30 and 10:45 p.m., eh?"

According to Officer Donohue, there are videotapes [that link is to a Boston Globe propaganda piece. Dic is reported to have said that there's a videotape of him being at "Sean's scene". So why isn't that red hot footage published???] of him being on scene although due to injuries he received later in the evening, **he doesn't recall any of this**. See my 'Statement of DA Marian Ryan' pdf.
http://sharonkilby.co.uk/site/STATEMENT_OF_DISTRICT_ATTORNEY_MARIAN_RYAN_re_results_of_the_investigation_into_the_police_shooting_in_Watertown.php

But no worries. **We'll piece it together**. Yeah, cos that's what genuine sleuths do. Not. Only fake 'sleuths' do that – from 'reports' put out by the 'make it up' brigade. So **Chive.com** [who the fuck are Chive.com? Notice Jimmy doesn't put a link to any article] ran a **story** [exactly a *story*] on Donohue and wrote that **almost as soon as Donohue arrived at MIT, he heard the carjacking report go out on the radio and that the carjackers were shooting at the police**. However, if Donohue was one of the first to arrive at MIT how would a call for carjackers have gone out almost simultaneously if the shootout didn't start until approximately 12:45 – 12:50 a.m.? **Sloppy reporting**? Sloppy or **disinfo**? So why are you commenting on stories from unreliable sources Jimmy?

Once again we see Jimmy making points based on **stories written by other cointelpro agents** i.e. the bombers' servants; they could even be the bombers themselves for all we know.

In his side note he asks:

What are the odds Danny kept his mouth shut vs. some sort of higher-ups didn't want the cops to know? If Jimmy was the real deal he'd be thoroughly exposing the carjacking story and masonic sell out Meng and all the cops who lied about being involved [or having some involvement] in a shootout with the Tsarnaevs, and he'd be talking in terms of the *masons*, not "higher-ups".

Prior to Trooper Dumont and Officers Donohue and Kitto's arrival in Watertown, Watertown police had battled Tamerlan and Jahar Tsarnaev for at least a few minutes. How did that all go down? Let's review using all the first hand accounts we can find and **try to fill in the blanks with media reports**. Yeah let's continue to hoodwink the gullible public with our masonic con, for which we are handsomely rewarded; eh Jimmy, nudge nudge, wink wink. What level in the lodge did you reach for services to the guy down below?

... because in this [video](#), the supervisor on duty that night, Sergeant MacLellan stated that Danny was kidnapped for about an hour [true] and then GPS tracked [true] his stolen Mercedes to 61 Dexter Ave. [true] In this audio [interview](#) conducted by Bruce Gellerman of WBUR, MacLellan stated Danny was kidnapped for 30 minutes and Danny's iPhone was used to ping the location of the Mercedes. That is not stated in the link Jimmy gives us, but it is stated by Mac here <https://soundcloud.com/wbur/full-interview-with-watertown/sets>

Furthermore, on [police radio](#), you can hear dispatch saying they pinged the phone in the Mercedes and it was located at 89 Dexter. Jimmy's *distorting the truth again*; on the radio you can hear it being stated that the phone was pinged at 61 Dexter, then the *vehicle was moving*, then 89 Dexter is mentioned.

So again Jimmy points out *small* contradictions in a police officer's testimony, in part by *distorting* a police radio communication.

A genuine sleuth would have pointed out a real biggy of a contradiction. At 10:20 mins of Mac's full interview he says he and Officer Reynolds were about 3 mins into the fire fight before a 3rd officer turned up. In court Reynolds testifies that he and Mac were engaged in the gun fight for approximately **eight to nine minutes** before they were assisted [prior to that Reynolds was apparently involved in a solo shootout with Tam.] Incidentally just before 11 mins of Mac's interview Mac talks about the 'investigation' [L.O.L] and says a gentleman was taking pictures and that there is a video. Presumably the pictures are the ones Kitz allegedly took, but what of the video, why is that not published??? Or is that yet another lie?

If you read my main BB pdf on the 'shootout', you'll find **contradictions galore** in the **court testimonies** and in various **interviews of all the Watertown police officers**. Why isn't Jimmy drawing your attention to those contradictions? Because they're the ones that **prove** Sergeant MacLellan and the other Watertown cops LIED about being in a shootout with the Tsarnaevs. Jimmy is not allowed to prove that.

Jimmy then points out the absurdity of the story:

So we've had all this pingging and GPS'ing going on but it didn't deter Officer Reynolds from stumbling upon the stolen Mercedes all by his lonesome because, well, that makes perfect sense. I mean, what kind of police department would make a plan on how to safely apprehend these guys – especially since they had the luck of a pinged iPhone?

According to the **Lawrence O'Donnell interview**, Officer Reynolds saw the Mercedes behind the Honda Civic (Tamerlan and Jahar's car) and radioed it in. **His commanders told Reynolds not to turn on his lights and not to pull them over.** **The link Jimmy gives to the O'Donnell interview is <http://www.nbcnews.com/id/51886561/t/watertown-police-officers-how-tsarnaev-firefight-went-down/#.WljqINSLTGh>** **According to NBC News' Evan Puschak** "Reynold's sergeant had informed him not to stop the vehicle until backup arrived, so when the Mercedes turned left down Laurel St. he followed—but at a slow pace." **When interviewed by O'Donnell Mac says as he came around the corner he saw Reynolds' vehicle in reverse, he could hear gun shots.** He followed orders. But **not according to this [video](#) interview of Sergeant MacClellan.** In this video, MacClellan stated Officer Reynolds, "attempted to pull the Mercedes over." **True.** Remember folks, this isn't hearsay, this is coming straight from the Sergeant's mouth.

So Maclellan has not contradicted himself there since the contradiction *came from NBC News*, not Mac himself. So once again we see Jimmy pointing out a contradiction in a police officer's story by *quoting the words of a reporter*. In actual fact Mac states during his *court testimony* which came nearly a year after Jimmy wrote this article that he told Reynolds to wait for backup before taking any action or pulling it over. Attention to detail and getting the facts right is important in the fight for truth.

As Reynolds put his cruiser in reverse to put a safe distance between he and Tamerlan, Sgt. MacLellan came around the corner.

MacLellan admitted he missed the first 5-10 seconds of gunshots but heard Officer Reynolds say "shots fired" over the radio. However, **early on in a [WEEI](#) radio interview**, MacLellan stated that he came around the corner and saw Reynolds' cruiser in reverse **[true]** but then **later in the same interview** he stated that Reynolds was shooting outside his car when he came around the corner. **True.** In Gellerman's interview, MacLellan stated that he saw Reynold's rear lights and saw a car in front of him. When MacLellan **told Officer Reynolds to "hit the lights,"**

MacLellan miraculously recounted a never before heard story **about how he watched the Mercedes take a left hand turn onto Laurel and watched Tamerlan get out of the Mercedes SUV.** He heard "pop, pop, pop" and then, and only then, did he see Reynolds' cruiser pass him in reverse. **That is what Mac states in the [wbur full interview](#).** **This is what Mac states in the [Gellerman interview](#):** "Joe, hold off, don't pull it over until I get there ... As I said that **the vehicles took a left-hand turn onto Laurel** [Street]. They ended up about 100 yards down the street

with Joe Reynolds about 30 feet behind them. **I see a male exit the vehicle**, arm raised in a shooting position. I hear the pop, pop, pop.”

So here Jimmy **does point out contradictions** straight from the horse’s mouth. But he then downplays it all by saying simply:

Man, MacLellan, pull it together. Your story is a hot mess. As said if he was genuine he’d be exposing the fact Maclellan and his colleagues **perjured themselves** in court; he’d be pointing out all the contradictions I do, which **prove there was no shootout**. Have you seen him referencing any of the Watertown cops’ court testimonies yet?

Instead of convincingly exposing these officers, he *obfuscates* again. He points out another contradiction, quoting from another **unreliable source** - a *masonic authored site* calling itself ‘The National Law Enforcement Officers Memorial Fund’. He starts off correctly saying that Officer Colon tells Lawrence O’Donnell that when he arrived on the scene he saw Reynolds behind his cruiser and Mac behind his cruiser. Also that he drove his car in between Reynolds’ and Mac’s cruisers. <http://www.nbcnews.com/id/51886561/t/watertown-police-officers-how-tsarnaev-firefight-went-down/#.WIkXndSLTGh> However rather than exposing Officer Colon as a liar **from his court testimony** [which I’ll come to] Jimmy quotes from <http://www.nleomf.org/officers/month/otm-archive/october-2013-officer-of-the-month-award.html>: “Officer Miquel Colon arrived on the scene and **positioned his patrol cruiser in front of Sergeant MacLellan** to provide additional protection.” That is twisted truth. Colon didn’t say he’d parked in front of Mac, he said he’d parked in between Mac’s and Reynold’s **cruisers**.

This distortion enables Jimmy to skit:

I mean, what? **Do they mean MacLellan continued to stand in the middle of the street and, thank God, Officer Colon pulled his car up?** But MacLellan stated he had already run behind a tree. Officer Colon specifically said himself he pulled his car between MacLellan’s and Reynolds’ cruisers. What? This doesn’t even make sense. None of it.

So once again Jimmy uses a masonic source to ‘expose’ a police officer as lying; which of course is **no proof at all**. So you can see the strategy - Jimmy will tell you some truth, but he’ll then pervert that truth; the net result is **more obfuscation**. For ease of reference you can say that all media [MSM and A/M] and all fake sleuths are masonic sources i.e. they all work for the marathon murderers.

What Jimmy should have done was quoted Colon's own words direct from his court testimony, and **proven** he'd contradicted himself: "Q. Okay. And for the record, also, you indicated that you parked on the street between 49 Laurel and 132 Dexter? A. That area, sir, yes. Q. **So down the street a little bit from where Officer Reynolds parked but not on the same side of the street?** A. Yes, sir. Q. All right. At some point did you try to flash a light on what was going on down the street? A. Sir, **when I arrived on scene, Sergeant MacLellan was in this area. His vehicle was in this area. I observed that he was exchanging gunfire. His vehicle was moving.** I feared that he was -- his cover -- he was going to be exposed to gunfire. **So I parked a little further up**, and I tried to get the suspect's attention by putting my spotlight on him." http://thebostonmarathonbombings.weebly.com/uploads/2/4/2/6/24264849/1566_day_38_march_24_2015.pdf [P170.] On p 177 Colon states: "Q. And that's where your car stopped, right? A. Yes. Q. And that's where you stayed? A. No. I fired some rounds from that -- from my vehicle after they hit my cruiser, **and then I went to behind Officer Reynolds' cruiser because it's a bigger, larger car.** Q. **But your cruiser remained down at that end of Laurel Street** where this -- **approximately here, is that right?** A. **Somewhere in that area, yes.**" **Course that exposes yet another contradiction – Colon says he turned up to see Mac running behind his freewheeling vehicle. Mac says he and Reynolds were engaged in gunfire for about 3 mins before Colon turned up [according to Reynolds it was 8-9 mins.]**

The points Jimmy then makes about the bombs is true; however he only criticises Mac's wbur interview; making the point it lacks detail and that there is barely a mention of Colon. What Jimmy should be doing is *convincingly* proving that all of these Watertown police officers are corrupt – by pointing out the *countless contradictions made in their court testimonies alone* i.e. the contradictions *within* individual testimonies and the contradictions *between* each other's testimonies; then there are the contradictions between what each officer *stated in court* and what he said *during media interviews*.

Incidentally notice another contradiction in Mac's story in that wbur interview – he talks in terms of Tam as being dead *before* he was run over [he says he pushed off *the body* before Jahar ran him over.] He also says in the same interview that after Tam's *body* had been run over Pug put handcuffs on him! And he says that Tam was *still alive at this point*. According to Reynolds Tam was still fighting with Pugliese *after* he'd been run over!

Maybe it's just me but if it's easy enough for me to keep track of **five different versions of one story the media has dished out**, [why are you even reading what the media are saying Jimmy? Genuine sleuths are not interested in even *one version* of a story. Why do you have a blog Jimmy if all you do is reference the media? People might just as well get their disinformation from the newspapers] how hard is it for one officer to tell one story, his story, with only one version? I'd sure **love to see MacLellan's police report from that night.**" You have his court transcript – that's more than enough to expose the lying loathsome little man. **Why haven't you commented on that yet?**

Moving on to 'Police Story Part 2'

<https://jimmysllama.wordpress.com/2014/04/15/boston-bombing-day-5-contd-the-police-story-part-2/> Posted on [April 15, 2014](#) by [llamareport](#)

Boston Bombing Day 5 Cont'd: The Police Story Part 2

and once again we see Jimmy referencing the *media* bullshitters to make his points. I quote:

"Watertown officers agreed that the next Watertown officer that arrived on scene was Sergeant Jeff Pugliese (although **this article from Boston.com** states that Officer Michael Comick was the fourth guy on scene, not Pugliese). **Why are you – again - citing an unreliable source? That article is written by Roberto Scalese who has not sourced his information. In other words he just made that up. Comick does not even get a mention by any of the Watertown cops that did testify in court. And since all of those cops are proven liars, isn't the picture confused enough without you adding more confusion to it? Oh but that's your job, isn't it Jimmy. That's how you earn your living isn't it – LYING. Are you a lawyer by any chance?**

Jimmy's next three paragraphs accurately sums up the ridiculousness of what we're being asked to believe. But then he goes and **drops another turd in the punchbowl** and promotes some **Boston Globe bullshit**:

They continued to try to cuff Tamerlan so they could check if he had any bombs on him. Or did they? **According to the Bostonglobe.com** it was not MacLellan and Pugliese holding down Tamerlan, it was a **Boston cop** by the name of **Jared Gero**. **Boston police chief, Dan Linskey, apparently** joined Officer Gero and the two of them "ripped Tsarnaev's clothes from his body, frisking him for an explosive device. They found none." Huh. M'kay. **Do you see the sly game he's playing - he's neutralizing any truth he does tell you.**

All skills give you some truth; even if they give you 95% truth, it's that **5% of rat poison that diverts us off track that is lethal**. That is what keeps us imprisoned in the masonic matrix.

A little bible message:

"If I offered you some bottled water but told you that there was only one tiny drop of poison in it, not actually enough to kill you or even hurt you, you'd likely not want any of it. **So we should all be troubled if we are telling a half-truth or omitting all the truth.**"

<http://www.rhetoricaljesus.com/does-telling-a-small-lie-or-half-truth-bother-you/>

While whatever was going on with Tamerlan, Jahar had gotten back in the Mercedes SUV and had turned it around so it was facing the officers and Tamerlan (Did he back up the SUV and then do the u-turn or did he drive up and around past the Honda Civic and do a u-turn? Did he pull into a driveway and then back up and turn around? Why no mention about this? **Not a big deal?**). Look how he focuses on minor detail at the expense of the bigger picture; that is of *proving* the shoot out didn't happen; that Jahar didn't run his brother over. How do you prove that? With the simple truth – **by showing [by way of first hand testimony] that all the officers who claimed to be in a shoot out with the Tsarnaevs constantly contradicted themselves.**

But Jimmy doesn't do that; instead he *adds more mud to already dark and deep muddy waters* by the introduction of two Boston cops - that **have been plucked out of thin air by the Boston Globe** - into the shootout **story** [and that is *on top* of the introduction of Michael Comick]: As whomever (Pugliese, MacLellan, Gero, Linskey) was trying to cuff Tamerlan, [yeah whomever; *in the official story* there is no mention of Gero or Linskey even being in the shoot out, never mind trying to cuff Tam; why are you trying to change the official story Jimmy? You're supposed to be helping to expose the real truth, not adding more confusion. Oh that's right, cos you're a low-down dirty shill] the Mercedes SUV barreled towards them. I thought I had read [no you didn't, you lying shit] that Jahar had used the pressure cooker bomb as a decoy so he could get into the Mercedes [stop making things up Jimmy] but no one mentions this during the part of the story where police were trying to handcuff Tamerlan. No, because you just made that up – to add *even more obfuscation*; to put people off trying to discern the truth. MacLellan told Pugliese to disengage and MacLellan "pushed off the body." (The body? So he was dead). Tamerlan apparently was still not handcuffed at this point and Pugliese, **in this interview**, [the link is to yet

another shill site i.e. a masonic source i.e. untrustworthy info] stated, “I was trying to pull him [Tamerlan] out of the street...Sgt. MacLellan had retreated to a position of cover...the headlights were right here in my face, and I had to let go of Tamerlan.”

After the SUV dragged Tamerlan for a distance (for an exact measurement it depends on which interview you watch or what news story you read) [true], Pugliese and Reynolds attempted to handcuff Tamerlan because, you know, there was a point at that point. Tamerlan had been shot 8-9 times and dragged under a SUV vehicle anywhere from 25-50 feet and he was, according to MacLellan, Reynolds and Pugliese, *still alive!* Of course he was. And folks, he wasn't just alive, he was *still wrestling* against being handcuffed. And *still wrestling* after Officer Reynolds got him handcuffed! All of that is true, and Jimmy does a good job of exposing that scenario as an outrageous lie. Good lord, for real? In the WEEI interview, MacLellan and Reynolds may have admitted what we have all assumed when they agreed with the interviewer that there was “no reason” at that point to take Tamerlan to the hospital. He made that bit up. Yeah. Because he was already dead.

It was right before Jahar took off in the Mercedes that many of the officers heard “officer down” [that's not borne out by the court testimonies] and the fight to save Office Donohue, who's femoral artery had been severed by a bullet, began.” So once again Jimmy nullifies his own statement of truth.

With so many conflicting stories, do you see how utterly confused Joe Public would be after reading a site like Jimmy's. Most people would not even look at the court transcripts; let alone scrutinise them. Anyone who smells a rat with the official story looking to the internet for answers coming across sites written by the utter dregs of society, such as Jimmysllama would be even **more confused than ever**. Job's a good un.

Now on to 'Police Story Part 3'

<https://jimmysllama.wordpress.com/2014/04/16/boston-bombing-day-5-contd-the-police-story-part-3/> Boston Bombing Day 5 Cont'd: The Police Story Part 3 Posted on [April 16, 2014](#) by [llamareport](#) I quote:

“Due to the severity of the injury and the copious amounts of blood Donohue was losing, there was no time to wait for paramedics. Officers on scene made the decision to take Donohue to Mt. Auburn themselves

in the hopes of saving his life. **No, that story doesn't sound right?**

Yeah, because it's not. So again, Jimmy starts off well, telling you that story is an outright lie. But how does he prove it? Well he cites a fellow **disinfo blog** of course:

But it's what **thehive.com** put out in their article, "*This is the story of Boston Police Officer Richard Donohue.*" Amazing, isn't it? Here's what really (**maybe**) [note that 'maybe'; Jimmy has no intention of revealing any real [out of the masonic matrix] truth – ever] went down –

According to Trooper Dumont (see note 2), he *thought about* taking Donohue in a cruiser to the hospital. Two things stopped him:

According to Dumont, Tamerlan (**nine bullets later and an undercarriage ride under a SUV**) somehow still posed a threat on scene, whatever that means in regards to putting Donohue in a squad car, and "*a suspect could emerge from the yard we were in.*" Now before you get all carried away with that quote, Dumont, in his recollection of events, mentioned **Jahar got away before** he noticed Officer Donohue down. But it is interesting, nonetheless, because **Dumont was the one who stated that earlier in the shootout he heard officers yelling the suspects were escaping through the backyards.** Just something to note. Bottom line? They didn't move Donohue into a cruiser and they *did* wait for paramedics. So again Jimmy starts off well in his efforts [pretence] to expose a lying policeman and an absurdity. However we do not have the actual testimony of the trooper in question; we only have what Dumont is *alleged* to have said.

Instead we have **Jimmy Shill's Dumont article** [well whad'ya know!]

<https://jimmysllama.files.wordpress.com/2014/04/dumont-article.pdf>

Have a read of my 'Statement of DA Marian Ryan' pdf for my comments on that

http://sharonkilby.co.uk/site/STATEMENT_OF_DISTRICT_ATTORNEY_MARIAN_RYAN_re_results_of_the_investigation_into_the_police_shooting_in_Watertown.php Scroll down to page 12. Here's a flavour: "The following is part of a statement [published by cointelpro agent **Jimmysllama**] which was supposedly written by officer Chris Dumont. In reality it is a *masonic contrived* load of pigshit. Dumont agrees to put his name to it, and **his reward is a distinguished Medal of Valor.**"

Jimmy then references yet another *masonic* source Wickedlocal.com [whose page is not found!]

The rest of Jimmy's article has the usual citing of media reports or other *masonic sources* for his propaganda: [VMI alumni news honored](http://VMI_alumni_news_honored)

[Harvard] thecrimson.com [ten minute head start](#) [Huff Post] [Bruce Gellerman](#) Thewire.com Bostonglobe.com WCVB.com [PaxCenturian](#)
[VMI alumni news](#)

So despite the fact his article contains a very good point:

The Watertown shootout begs the question of why no one, absolutely no one, followed Jahar in hot pursuit he proves nothing and succeeds only in **retarding the search for truth**; which is the intention, and for which he gets well rewarded by the men who are really responsible for the marathon murder and maiming.

As for his disinfo:

Boston Superintendent-in-chief, Daniel Linskey IEEE-HST.org (the one who may or may not have torn Tamerlan's clothes off, searching for bombs) Linskey doesn't say he tore Tam's clothes off or that he handcuffed Tam; he says [10:15 mins into his radio interview], "A bunch of us jumped out of our cars to get to the officers who were in the gun battle and who were calling for assistance with an officer down. We jumped out, took our keys with us; when the suspect took off in the vehicle we wound up with a bunch of vehicles that were blocked in because officers were on foot doing different assignments and the street was blocked, and that cost us some time." **Course it goes without saying that Linskey is just another outrageous liar, and is almost certainly a mason of very high standing.**

In wrapping up his article Jimmy says:

I'm mildly amused at what a hot mess Sergeant MacLellan [who Jimmy refers to as his "favourite sergeant"] appears to be, Tamerlan clearly was some sort of android and Simmonds' injuries and death are shady as &*\$\$. Yup, that about covers it."

So there we have it, another 'in the masonic matrix' light-hearted article containing a few half-baked pokes - nothing that is going to challenge his false flagger bosses. Even Mac [the one who contradicts himself every time he opens his mouth] is only a "**hot mess**"; not a bent sewer-dwelling masonic toady who spectacularly perjured himself at Jahar's trial, and massively assisted in the conviction of the innocent Jahar.

As for the masonic promulgated conspiracy *theories* surrounding the death of Officer Simmonds, until I've had a chance to do some research on that, I'll just say no-one has provided any evidence that his death is in any way suspicious or linked to his duties as a policeman.

I think we'd all agree that Jimmy, just like all the other [cough] 'justice for Jahar' voices seems to be doing a good job – **on the surface**. It's only when you look a little closer at these blogs that you realise that collectively they're very effectively keeping us all thinking '*in the matrix*' i.e forever **enslaved**.

Take a look now at Jimmy's entertaining analysis of the *carjacking absurdity* [which is about as bonkers as shooting dead a police officer for his gun.]

<https://jimmysllama.wordpress.com/2013/05/17/thecarchaseandthesuspects/> Boston Bombing Day 5: The Car Chase and The Suspects Posted on May 17, 2013 by llamareport

Meng and the carjacking story is in the script to enable the cointelpros to keep you 'armchair sleuths' focussing on 'in the matrix' discussion. It is just another **red herring** to keep us all entertained and distracted from finding the right rabbit hole to burrow down.

As said the more red herrings the psy-oppers could throw at us, the more successful their **psy-op** would be.

Jimmy says:

"Let's do a quick timeline:

At approx. 10:45pm (on the 18th): Danny is kidnapped/carjacked in his Mercedes SUV/Crossover by Tamerlan. Although, the Department of Justice Criminal Complaint states,

"Near midnight on April 18, 2013, an individual carjacked a vehicle at gunpoint in Cambridge, Massachusetts." **True.**

Hmm, typing error? They really meant "*Near 11:00pm?*" *Folks, I have no idea. No.idea.* Moving on...Danny states in **subsequent interviews [Jimmy doesn't source those interviews]** that an "old sedan" followed **them** after the carjacking. **I can't find any interviews where Meng says that.** In one particular **interview** with NBC, **Danny stated the car following behind them was "very light-color" Honda. That has come from NBC news, not Meng.** Shortly after the carjacking they pulled over so Jahar, who had been driving the Honda, could get into the Mercedes with Tamerlan and Danny. Just a note that **some news reports state the Danny and Tamerlan drove somewhere to pick up Jahar – implying that Jahar was never following behind...?** **So why are you repeating what is stated by disreputable sources? Why are you obfuscating Jimmy?**

So again we see the usual pattern – any ‘damaging’ truth Jimmy comes out with is quickly nullified; by himself.

At 11:18pm (on the 18th): According to [deadspin.com](#), [another masonic authored i.e. disinformation site] Jahar is seen withdrawing money from an ATM in Watertown Square.

At some point, the men drive to the Shell gas station, still in the Mercedes. Again, here’s where things get a little tricky – and we’re just trying to do a timeline here, folks. [Shouldn’t be rocket science. But then again we haven’t had a normal crime spree since Winona Ryder was caught on camera shoplifting.](#) Here’s Jimmy *making light* of the Meng story. I digress. Here are the [top stories](#) of how Danny escaped his captors: *If Jimmy was a genuine sleuth he would not be interested in stories; he certainly would not want to confuse his readers with them. Psy-ops are hard enough to unravel without the media or other masonic sources [i.e. ‘A/M’ and fake ‘sleuths’] adding variations to a story. Woops forgot again, Jimmy is paid to confuse you, not provide clarification.*

1] Jahar went inside to pay for the gas, leaving Danny and Tamerlan in the car. According to the [Boston Globe’s](#) interview with Danny: *“...Dzhokhar, was forced to go inside the Shell Food Mart to pay, older brother Tamerlan put his gun in the door pocket to fiddle with a navigation device – letting his guard down briefly after a night on the run. Danny then did what he had been rehearsing in his head. In a flash, he unbuckled his seat belt, opened the door, stepped through, slammed it behind, and sprinted off at an angle that would be a hard shot for any marksman.”*

In Danny’s interview with [NBC](#), he stated, “I think Dzhokhar is like, a follower...because Dzhokhar – he went out to the A.T.M. He went out to get the gas. Tamerlan never get out of car.”

“Dzhokhar went inside of the store to pay cash. So I thought it’s a very good chance, ’cause only one of them is in the car.”

2] Both men got out of the Mercedes at the Shell station, leaving Danny the opportunity to escape. According to the [Department of Justice Criminal Complaint](#): *That link is to the ‘affidavit’ of the utterly*

corrupt FBI Special Agent Daniel R Genck. Notice Jimmy doesn't expose him.

*"The two men and the victim then drove to a gas station/convenience store in the vicinity of 816 Memorial Drive, Cambridge. **The two men got out of the car**, at which point the victim managed to escape."* If we are to believe the story that the Merc seen pulling up at the gas station is a stolen one, and that Meng really is running for his life, then that video footage proves that the Tsarnaevs did not get out of the car, allowing Meng to escape.

Here we have the Boston Globe and NBC reporting what Meng actually says; the contradiction comes from the FBI agent Genck. People would probably think that Genck was correct and that it was the Globe and NBC who had put a slant on the story. Confusing? That's the idea. As said if Jimmy was genuine he would not be pointing out any media stories or stories put out by anyone else [shills], he'd be focussing on what is being stated first hand by the FBI, police, lawyers, judges, other authority figures, witnesses who testified in court.

3] One brother was pumping gas while the other was inside the gas station and that's when Danny made his escape. According to **WMUR 9 News** [page not found!] out of New Hampshire, who actually did an "off camera" interview with Danny:

*"When one brother went inside to pay for the gas, the other pumped. And that's when the victim took off." – **Watch at the 1:36 mark***
➤ **WMUR 9 News** [page not found!]

And the **NYPost**'s David K. Li wrote:

"The man told WMUR that his lucky break came when Tamerlan and Dzhorkhar Tsarnaev stopped at Shell station in Cambridge, Mass., leaving him alone in his car as one man pumped gas and another went inside to buy food."

Those 'news' stories are clearly disinfo. Jimmy clearly wants to feed you disinformation. Why's that Jimmy?

And then he publishes *another version* of the *fabricated story* [the carjacking didn't happen remember – it was invented by the psy-oppers

[freemasons in very high places], the men who bombed the marathon and murdered Tamerlan and Sean Collier ...]:

4] The brothers decided to just let Danny go. According to [Boston.com](#)'s [another shill site i.e. masonic source; notice Jimmy writes "Metrodesk" to make it look like an official site [not that there is any difference between official sites and shill sites as they're both working on the same team – Satan's; this is a **masonic psy-op** remember] [Metrodesk](#) via the Chief of Police:

"The chief described the chain of events that led to Tamerlan Tsarnaev's death, beginning late Thursday, after the brothers allegedly carjacked a man in Cambridge. The two brothers let the driver out unharmed..."

And [usnews.com](#):

"The carjacking victim was released unharmed at a gas station in Cambridge and the accused bombers sped in his car toward Watertown."

Seriously here, folks? [Smh](#). What do you mean "seriously here, folks" you fukkin clown Jimbo **disinfo agent extraordinaire**.

In this photo we see what [appears to be a customer standing right outside the door and to the left](#). That could just be Tamerlan's reflection; it isn't clear enough to show that anyone is standing nearby. The video of Tam fetching his brother shows that no-one else is in the vicinity. Even if anyone was near, so what; no-one would be alarmed at anyone banging on a door [not that Tam does – he just walks in to the shop and hails his brother]; nor would anyone be alarmed at watching a chink running into a gas station – maybe he was in desperate need of the loo. That point is so insignificant, there was no point saying it; but that's what Jimmy's paid to do – **distract you with trivia or plain disinfo**; to prevent you looking where you should be. The man doesn't appear to be bothered that Tamerlan has just been allegedly banging on the door and yelling – but perhaps he's watching some strange Chinese guy run for his life towards the Mobile station across the street. Who knows. Second of all, why is Jahar bringing his purchases (or soon to be purchases) *back into the store*? Did Tamerlan start banging on the door, Jahar went over to see what all the hubbub was about and Tamerlan said, "Yo, jive turkey, I lost him. We gots to go." The picture is then a shot a few moments after that – Jahar turning back around into the store to put the stuff down? Gotta say, they don't look that alarmed.

Or, is this a picture of both brothers going into the gas station together, leaving Danny alone in the car? No it isn't; as you can see from the video. Why are you pretending otherwise Jimmy? Why are you leading your readers to believe that? I mean...what? Shut up you fake disinfomongering fucktard. And that still doesn't explain why he's walking back into the store with purchases.

More humour:

And when did these two brothers, who were on the run for murder and terrorist acts, find the time to discuss who was going to wear the white cap and when? "I want to wear it!" "No, you wore it at the ATM, I get it now." "No, it's looks way better on me." Come on. Ya got Danny's card. Buy two caps. Just pointing how normal these guys appeared to be during this whole thing. Fair comment.

Jimmy continues to point out contradictions of *media/shill reports* [i.e. contradictions of *stories* surrounding the official *story* – confusing or what?] Course if we didn't have the likes of Jimmy, we wouldn't know what was printed in the media, since we who look to the internet for some truth, don't believe a word the media say. And what do we find in our search for truth? OBFUSCATION IN SPADES.

If Jimmy was not a shill he'd be pointing out the *umpteen* contradictions in Meng's *testimony*. He'd be exposing the lawyers' limp questioning. He'd be commenting on the curious cameras. He'd have something to say about the ludicrousness of the testimony of Memorial Shell owner Alan Mednick and his dealings with the police and FBI. I could go on and on. In short he'd be **debunking the official story**.

Now I ask you, how is it that one small-town officer happened upon these guys when authorities were pinging Danny's cellphone *and* using the Mercedes navigational system? I might be willing to say that this officer found them before authorities could use the mbrace technology but we don't have a single news source to confirm this theory. Jimmy, why are you trying to kid your readers that any 'news' source is legit? Why are you promoting *theories*?

Let's start with the Criminal Complaint:

"A short time later, the stolen vehicle was located by law enforcement in Watertown, Massachusetts. As the men drove down Dexter Street in Watertown, they threw at least two small improvised explosive devices ('IEDS') out of the car." If Jimmy was genuine he'd be pointing out that

that is not corroborated by any of the pigs or 'eyewitnesses'; neither is there any mention of bombs being chucked out of a car by Middlesex District Attorney Marian Ryan in her detailed 'report'; or even by Weinreb; nor is it stated in the indictment. He'd also be exposing Genck.

So we got these guys throwing IED's out of their car at whom exactly? The lone officer? All the officers that showed up shortly thereafter? Uh...no one? Frankly, there's a **ton of articles out there [written by the marathon murderers' mouthpieces]** saying that after the officer showed up the brothers jumped out of the vehicle, guns a blazing. Not a peep about one officer in some sort of car chase with these guys while they're tossing out IEDs:

He continues to point out conflicting *media stories*; the piss taker even says:

Folks, I openly admit **I love the DailyMail for all of it's tabloid deliciousness**. I wonder if he'd love the tabloids if he was the one fitted up for a crime he had nothing at all to do with, and they were spreading bullshit lies about him, and turning the public against him. Don't fukkin think so. I think he'd be taking this gross injustice very seriously if he thought for one minute that he or one of his loved ones might suffer some injustice anytime soon. Injustice anywhere is a threat to justice everywhere <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KjIX7esSFII> They've even been right at times during this whole Boston thing, so I was surprised that they ran the opposite story, saying the brothers were throwing bombs during a car chase:

"The suspects threw explosives from the car as police followed it to the Boston suburb of Watertown."

What? **Stop pretending Jimmy Shill.**

Bottom line? **I'm guessing that the brothers were spotted by one police officer**, they immediately jumped out of their cars and someone started shooting first. Obviously. *Why are you guessing???* If you were not a controlled opposition agent you'd be **proving that there was no carjacking and no shoot out; that the Tsarnaev brothers were set up as patsies in a false flag attack.**

Let's go to twitter where a man by the name of Andrew Kitzenberg posted multiple photographs of the shootout as it unfolded on Laurel Street. **You'd also be exposing Andrew Kitzenberg as a lying stinking stooge for the evil conspirators**

News media and even the authorities originally reported that the brothers were armed to the hilt. I've read everything from multiple handguns, shotguns and long guns. Hate to break it to you, folks, but they only had one firearm. A hand gun. Tamerlan was using it. Has that been proven? NO. Apparently the brothers were taking it in turns with the gun. One gun. And yeah, for all of you that want to skip ahead that means Jahar didn't have a gun while he was hiding in the boat. Where is the evidence he was hiding in that boat? Not one.

Jimmy ends his article promoting more *masonic* games:

The last thing I'll bring up is the green Honda. Apparently a 1999 green Honda Civic is registered to Tamerlan and Jahar's father. This is probably the "old sedan" seen during the carjacking (although it's hardly "light" in color) and the car left behind after the shootout. Remember Andrew Kitzenberg? He took a few pictures of the green sedan and posted them to twitter. Here's one:

And do you notice he even adds the license plate # (man, I so love this guy) #116GC7. So I ask you, why were news sources running headlines such as these the very next day?:

How can the police be searching for a car that's been sitting on Laurel Street for at least 9 hours prior to you running this headline? What? And no, CNBC was not the only one in on the green Honda action.

How about this? By 2:19pm on April 19th, 13 hours after the shootout, FOX runs a story:

Maybe law enforcement and the government should be happy there are conspiracy theorists running around. If there wasn't, they'd all be focused on what appears to be incompetency and complete failure of communication at every single level between these agencies. Seriously." Jimmy wants you to believe this is a case of incompetency and failure of communication between agencies. He's paid to make sure you don't figure out that the government and all of these agencies, and the lawyers/judges, media, Kitz, Meng et al and himself are all part of a gigantic masonic conspiracy to protect the Boston marathon bombing script.

Finally take a look at this article

<https://jimmysllama.wordpress.com/2013/04/24/boston-bombing-waco-explosion-ricin-poisoning/> The Boston Bombing: What Happened?
Posted on [April 24, 2013](#) by [llamareport](#)

My comments will be kept to the bare minimum to avoid repeating myself. I'm just highlighting the bits that I've already covered in great detail which reinforce the point that Jimmysllama is controlled opposition. I quote:

“There were a multitude of **tweets** about other explosions, controlled detonations and unexploded devices. **Jimmy is promoting the masonic 'controlled explosion' farce. I cover this in detail in my pdf 'THE BOSTON BOMBING PSY-OP – a closer look at the work of the COINTELPRO'.**

http://sharonkilby.co.uk/site/THE_BOSTON_BOMBING_PSY-OP_-_a_closer_look_at_the_work_of_the_COINTELPRO.php There were **tweets** about undetonated bombs found in the grandstand. There were **reports** of an undetonated bomb at the Harvard station. The **Boston Globe** reported multiple reports of unexploded devices around Boston. They also reported, per an intelligence officer, that two more explosives were found and were being dismantled. **One report** was of “an unexploded device on the glass footbridge over Huntington Ave. near Copley place.” **Time reporter** Andrew Katz reported that another device was discovered in front of the Mandarin Hotel, information that **reportedly** came from the police scanner.

Media reports, including a Boston police news conference on April 15, seen [here](#) (see the 3:00 mark), reported that there was indeed an explosion at the JFK library.

Later **media reports** stated that the blast may have been related to a 3pm fire that had started inside the library.

On April 19, the **Boston Globe** reported that the fire at the library was caused by “careless disposal of smoking materials.” They cited city fire **officials**. But **other news sites such a Yahoo News** reported later on that “A reported fire at Boston’s John F. Kennedy Presidential Library turned out to be the result of an electrical problem.” In the end, **officials** that originally reported an explosion and were afraid that it may have been linked to the bombings at the marathon, later reported that the fire was an unrelated event.

As a side note, **later media reports** make no mentions of any explosion at the library.

The promotion of these conflicting accounts which are published by the bombers' lickspittles are *masonic games*.

Within an hour or so of the initial two bombs going off, there were also **tweets** of a third explosion. **Some reports** said ... **Others reported** ...

Others reported that the third explosion heard was from officials setting off a controlled explosion on Boylston Street:

What I do know is that **speculation** grew that "this" particular controlled explosion was **part of a bomb squad drill**. **Some said** that it seemed impossible that **officials** would be able to locate a bomb ... And then we have runner **Alastair Stevenson**.

Not only did he **see the bomb sniffing dogs**, he saw **people on the roof** looking down onto the Village from the start. **Stevenson also stated that he heard the police over the loud speakers stating that what was transpiring was "just a drill."**

Listen to an interview Stevenson did with **Anthony Gucciardi**
> **www.storyleak.com**

Jimmy is promoting another **masonic puppet** Ali Stevenson. More on him in my 'BOSTON BOMBING PSY-OP – a closer look at the work of the COINTELPRO' pdf too.

http://sharonkilby.co.uk/site/THE_BOSTON_BOMBING_PSY-OP_-_a_closer_look_at_the_work_of_the_COINTELPRO.php

On April 26, sports radio host Dino Costa brought up Alistair Stevenson on Fox. As one news site stated, "Costa seemed baffled by the fact that 'nobody wants to talk to this guy.'" Of course Costa was shot down by guest host Tom Shillue because *why would the media ask the obvious questions*. Apparently they like to tell the sheep what's important and what's not.

And the sheep keep buying it, day in, day out. Costa was literally laughed at on the show. I was almost embarrassed for the news reporters that laughed. I mean, isn't that *your job? To get the news?* And you're sitting there laughing at a man who may have some news? You're clearly uncomfortable with what this man is saying and asking and so you're going to do whatever you can to shoot him down and change the subject? Nice reporting and journalistic effort. I digress. See

news article and clip on show > www.mediaite.com **Keep on pretending Jimmy Shill. Reveal your identity you spineless slimeball.**

Jimmy is pulling your leg again. Dino Costa, Tom Shillue, Michael Moynihan are all **acting**. Jimmy's promoting a **bunch of pretenders**. All of them serve the masonic mafia.

After the boston explosions, the internet had it's own explosion. An explosion of the **internet sleuth** kind. **Internet sleuths and some websites were hellbent on finding the bombers somewhere in all the available media pictures and video.** It is no surprise to see Jimmy going along with the pretence that these 'internet sleuths' are genuine. I've yet to find one who is.

If you want to read more about these teams [The Craft red herring] go > infowars.com. If infowars isn't your cup of tea for your daily news try nti.org > [Here](#). **Course if Jimmy was genuine he would not be encouraging you to read anything on either of these sites; he'd be exposing them as filthy stinking COINTELPRO.**

Jimmy goes along with the *pretence* of a 'mystery man' on a roof of course. And he commits quite a bit of print space to the Saudi *red herring*.

Man was going to propose to girlfriend

You guys remember this picture and caption going around? Remember when @ImRealTed posted it with "This deserves endless retweets?"

Folks, even the suspected killer took time out of his "on the run" activities **to tweet this story was fake.** Even.the.bomber. The story is not true and this woman did not pass away. **Yeah and who is behind '@ImRealTed'? Certainly no 'ordinary' member of the public; though the likes of Jimmy Shill would have you believe that. The 'person' hiding behind Ted is just another slimy bastard like Jimmy [could be the same person who hides behind 'jimmysllama' for all we know], who works for the men who bombed the marathon.**

8 year old little girl killed at the Boston Marathon.

Ya'll remember seeing these tweets to the left? Yup, a little girl died at the marathon. No, actually that never happened. But some of the sheep still re-tweeted it. **And who tweeted that? And who re-tweeted it?**

Not Joe Public; some depraved degenerate filth who also works for the marathon murderers; could be 'Ted' for all we know.

And how about this picture to the left? Remember that tweet? Yup, **some sick individual** [and who might that sick individual be I wonder; it was probably Jimmy] decided they'd start posting this ridiculousness.

This little girl was never at the marathon and never died in the explosions. **Who comes up with this stuff? Evil gatekeepers like Jimmy.** And the people that retweet this stuff are the same people that **have a problem with Alistair Stevenson being questioned. Omg.** Enjoy the pretence while it lasts Jimmy. Carry on pretending Ali is on the level. It would not surprise me if Ali is 'Jimmy', or contributes to 'Jimmysllama'. One thing is for sure whoever is behind 'Jimmy' will be unmasked in the not too distant future; and when that happens he and the likes of fake Ali will get their comeuppance. God is not mocked.

Well, if that isn't enough for you, there were others that not only tweeted that an 8 year old lost their life in the explosion, but a 3 year old did, as well." **Yeah who makes up this shit? Evil bastards like Jimmy Shill.**

Just before I bring this to a close I'll just bring your attention to an article Jimmy wrote on another *masonic orchestrated red herring* - 'Podstava' <https://jimmysllama.wordpress.com/2013/08/17/4952/> Jimmy doesn't promote the videos which were uploaded by 'Bigheadphone', but neither does he expose them as **red herrings** i.e. *masonic* distractions; and of course he would never let on that the person behind 'Bigheadphone' is just another cointelpro monkey. Consequently his views on the podstava videos is *kept within the masonic parameters*.

Jimmy's job is to keep you trapped in *masonic* 'games' – masonic debates, masonic theories, masonic hoaxes, masonic stories ... The plan is to keep us thinking along masonic lines, long enough for the 'PTB' [masonic fat controller] to have manipulated the conditions to justify the removal of free speech, at which point it is curtains; we will never find the truth, and will be completely enslaved – forever.

So if we want to stop the NWO and break free we have to break down the *masonic matrix* walls. How? Expose the full truth; and expose anyone who seeks to suppress the truth - all the *masonic* agents. And don't be persuaded by the fallacy that the likes of 'Jimmy' are pointing out that the official story is questionable, and that he does make some good points and therefore he's doing a good job; that's like saying the promotion of the Hollie Greig story highlights the problem of child abuse.

That would only be true if the story was true. You cannot *pretend* to be a truth soldier. Hence why nothing short of the full truth will do – a half truth is a whole lie because **anything less than the whole truth is a whole lie**. I will just add that we *genuine* armchair sleuths are only human, and we therefore make *mistakes*. That can be tolerated; we are after all on an *incredible human journey* - we're here to **learn** and to make the world a better place. There is a world of difference between an honest mistake and deliberate lies i.e. deception.

Proverbs 12:19

Truthful words stand the test of time, but lies are soon exposed.

You were put on earth to make a contribution. You weren't created just to consume resources—to eat, breathe, and take up space. God designed you to make a difference with your life... You were created to add to life on earth, not just take from it. God wants you to give something back... God redeemed you so you could do His work. You're not saved by service, but you are saved for service. In God's kingdom, you have a place, a purpose, a role, and a function to fulfill. This gives your life great significance and value... For Christians, service is not optional, something to be tacked onto our schedules if we can spare the time. It is the heart of the Christian life. Jesus came 'to serve' and 'to give'—and those two verbs should define your life on earth, too... You are going to give your life for something. What will it be—a career, a sport, a hobby, fame, wealth? None of these will have lasting significance. Service is the pathway to real significance. It is through ministry that we discover the meaning of our lives.

<http://onecornerstone.org/blog/2015/07/im-here-to-serve-7-20-15/>

[1st Corinthian 12:4-7](#), Paul in context is talking about how every person in the body of Christ, every believer has been given something, a gift, a manifestation of the spirit, something that will help us to contribute to the whole body. 1st Corinthians 12:4-7.

"There are different kinds of gifts, but the same Spirit. There are different kinds of service, but the same Lord. There are different kinds of working, but the same God works all of them in all people. Now to each one the manifestation of the Spirit is given for the common good."

In a nutshell, the Holy Spirit of God, the third person of the trinity, gives each Christian a gift or gifts, and those gifts are of various types, and they go towards works of various kinds for the purpose of the common good. Now, in Greek, the word common "good," the word literally means "profit"

or "benefit." So in other words, I think this is what this text is saying. That **God has built something into you and into me**, not simply to seek our own good or our own benefit, but so that others can profit or experience and advantage because of what God has worked into you. **You were made to make a contribution**, not just to consume. **God made you to make a difference** and what matters is not how long you live, but **how you live**.

What matters according to Rick Warren is not the duration of your life, but the donation of your life. So, many here at Central have figured out where they fit in the puzzle of service. Others of you have not figured that out. And still others of you may still be in transition. You may be thinking, I have done this for a while in serving, maybe God wants me to do something else and so you are in transition. And, I want you to know very carefully this week in days 30, 31 and 32, we are on day 29 today, in days 30 through 32 Rick Warren in his book very carefully explains your shape, your servant profile, **who you are uniquely and how to discern where you might fit in terms of offering service in the body of Christ**. In future classes here at Central, there is going to be opportunities for you to figure that out even more. **God does not want you to do a ministry without equipping you to do that ministry** and that's all available in a book and in the coming ways to help you figure that out. The thing I want to emphasize today is that **our talents are not primarily for our benefit. They are obviously for building others up**.

Do you remember the first line in The Purpose Driven Life book? First line? **It's not about you**. You see, **God wants you to be in this life for others**. You know, we ask him what are we here for? What's our purpose? The fourth purpose is **to serve God by serving others. That's why we are alive**. Some people say, "Well, I want to serve God, but I don't want to serve other people." You can't do it that way. It is impossible. **You have to serve God by serving other people**. Now the Bible has a word for this and it's called ministry. And like all the other words that we have looked at, worship, fellowship, discipleship, it could be misunderstood. When I say the word minister most people think of somebody who wears their collar backwards or they think of somebody who wears a robe like I do at the 10:00 o'clock service or they think of somebody who talks like God, you know? Somebody like that. That is what people think of when they think of the word minister. But, **the Bible says, every single believer is a minister**. Not every believer is a pastor, but every believer is a minister. And ministry is this, **it simply means using my shape, how God created me to help somebody else in his name**. If I use my shape, how God created me to help somebody else in his name, I am a minister. I am doing ministry. You know what you are, you are a minister. So, would all the ministers in this congregation please stand. There you go, a few of you weren't listening. It took you a little time. Okay, you may be seated. All ministers, please be seated. There you go.

In the Bible, the words service and ministry are used interchangeably. The Bible sermon and minister are the same word. And so **all of us are called to ministry**. People say, "I am not called to ministry." **Yes, if you are called to salvation, you are called to serve**. Now the good news is this, God not only called us to serve, he said, "**Let me show you how to do it.**" And the scripture says that God himself took on the form of a bondservant. Four words in the Greek language for servant, this is the lowest of the low, the doulase. Jesus became a bondservant and washed feet and Jesus himself said, "This is what I want you to do with your life." He said, "**The son of man did not come to be served, but to serve and to give his life a ransom for many.**" Last week we said that if one of our purposes of discipleship is becoming like Christ and he came, and so purpose was come to serve then obviously **we are growing in Christ when we learn to serve others**.

Now listen to this because it's really important. Your SHAPE, which is your spiritual gifts, your heart, your abilities, your personality, your experiences, that spells the acronym, that's what in the Purpose Driven Life book this week. That determines your ministry. But your attitude determines your maturity. See you can know all about the shape, but your attitude may not be the attitude of the Lord Jesus.
http://www.centralpc.org/knowing_god/sermons/2003/s030518.htm

We're Here To Serve Rebecca
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ElAZ2sKC6bk>

God's Big Backyard my ongoing & outgoing life
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YG3XilotScY>