

STAN RICHARDSON

This **lying evil subversive Stan Richardson** gets publicity on **Charlie Fake Foulkes'** site as a **fake 'victim'**. These are her **gross LIES**: "[Stan Richardson](#) was victim of an incompetent surgeon, [Nikolaos Reissis](#), who (deliberately?) detached his collar bone in an operation where he was only supposed to repair it. He has been left with his entire right shoulder hanging which causes tremendous stress on his neck. Stan also suffers from arthritis and has had surgeries on his spine. His **corrupt GP** has refused to refer him back to the hospital ... but **has also had Stan put on a list of difficult patients**, which means he cannot change GP surgeries. This is a case of **conspiracy to cover-up medical negligence** and prevent a man getting treatment which would help him lead a normal life once again. Nikolaos Reissis, who is so grossly incompetent that a simple operation on a female patient turned into an amputation is STILL working, which tells you all you need to know about how corrupt the GMC (General Medical Council) are. Stan's videos are on [YouTube](#)." <http://www.charliefoulkes.co.uk/truth/the-others.html>

Richardson claims he has evidence of medical malpractice and corruption in the NHS. According to fellow **lying fraud and evil subversive Mark Windows** [mate of Chris Spivey's] <https://diggerfortruth.wordpress.com/2014/12/> "Stan supplies compelling evidence of fraud, deliberate misdiagnosis and cover ups within the NHS". No he doesn't. Apart from a couple of letters from his GP – which reveal the truth and expose him as a liar - he doesn't publish anything else – no reports, no hospital letters, no medical notes, no letters from his MP Nick Hurd [who he constantly complains to], no reply from the Primary Care Trust [who had been contacted by his MP's secretary Jill Brown], no letters from his solicitor, no evidence of any legal action ... **There is nothing to substantiate Stan Richardson's malicious allegations**. Fellow lying shill **Michael Doherty** supports Stan's 'case'. As does Tom Cahill <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=K-LQkp-FKCK> Nuff said really. I will comment further though on the Richardson *story*, as narrated to Windows. See also https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=q0Gb_8YbiR0 <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1X7j2hiURJE> <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=i4lulvcjmdQ> https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=g_50lbEuiH8

Richardson claims he suffered botched surgery by Nikolaos Reissis in 2001 at the Clementine Churchill Hospital. There is **no evidence** that he has even *met* Reissis, let alone been operated on by him. A letter

from his GP Dr A Birly [which he shows us during his filthy propagandising with his mate and fellow masquerader Mark] confirms as much. He states: "Dear Mr Richardson, I wrote to Mr Reissis at Mount Vernon Hospital because you informed me that you saw him at Mount Vernon Hospital in the outpatients when we spoke. I have now telephoned Mount Vernon Hospital, Hillingdon Hospital, Wrexham Park Hospital and the Clementine Churchill Hospital. **None of these hospitals have heard of him.** I am not sure how to locate him. Please clarify for me [please write to me] where you saw him and let me know where he is working if you are aware of this."

Richardson claims to be unfit for work, and claims to be in constant agonising pain. Hospital consultants however have repeatedly told him that there is nothing wrong with him; that he does not have any broken ribs as claimed, that he does not need any surgery; that all he has is some muscular tenderness.

It is very evident from Stan's own covert recordings that he is the liar, not the doctors. He has no problem driving and he walks perfectly fine; not once does he grimace in pain, even when he is 'manhandled' by police officers as they march him off medical premises. He wears a neck collar which is unnecessary, and is strictly for show. On his video you can see that the doctor questions him on it.

The truth is [and the evidence shows] this lying little man Richardson [who, not surprisingly, calls himself a 'freeman'] is a vile, arrogant pig. He is scamming the benefits system, he wastes police and NHS resources - he is confrontational, argumentative, abusive, and frequently needs to be escorted from hospitals and the GP surgery; he constantly harasses and bullies innocent medical staff ... It is right and proper that he got banned from the Acorn Medical Centre and that he is labelled 'mentally ill' and is now on the 'violent patient's register'

Let's take a closer look at Stan's claims. He says he went to Poland in 2012 to get fresh scans, and was told he'd **need 3 major operations**. He says he had an assessment done in Poland and that he has a report.

Why doesn't he show us that report?

Stan accuses the hospital consultant [Dr Martin Swetman?] of fraud, saying he doctored his x-rays. He claims the doctor wrote reports for him in the past. The doctor denies it. **Why doesn't he show us these reports???**

Richardson says he was being misdiagnosed and sent to psychiatrists because he was “imagining it”. **Why doesn't he show us these psychiatrists' reports?** He says he found out from second opinions that his spine hasn't fused as it should have. **So who are the medics who have given these second opinions? And where are their reports?**

Richardson tells us that during 9 years he had 9 epidurals; “all of which, [bar one] went horrifically wrong”. **He doesn't provide any evidence to back up that claim.** He says the final epidural left him with a spine infection; says he was sent home **with the epidural in his back.** He says the nurse told him they had no holsters that they could give him for the epidural pump. She said the one they had was broken. He says that when he got home **a police officer turned up to say they'd had a report that Stan had stolen a load of property from the hospital** – morphine pump, catheter, syringe. **So why didn't he record that police visit? He delights in telling other police officers that he is filming them, and he tells us that he *always* records 'these people' - to *protect* himself from them.** Stan says that when he showed the officer the catheter sticking out of his back, the officer apologised and told Stan would not hear from them again. **So why didn't he get this in writing?** Stan said **he put in a complaint about one of the nurses – the way he was being treated ...** **So where is a copy of that complaint? And where is the response?**

The lying prick Windows [who says he's known Stan a long time and knows Stan doesn't get angry easily] talks about another *unevidenced* 'case' whereby a guy was quickly sectioned under the mental health act for trying to present a legal document “which implicated the queen” ... Mark Windows then makes a wholly unsubstantiated and wild claim that **'they' treat agitated patients as having mental illness.** **The professional agitators, such as Windows and Richardson, Doherty, Cahill and Foulkes are the ones with mental illness.**

According to Stan, he had a number of assessments with another surgeon, and was due to have surgery – a routine clavicle repair – at Hillingdon hospital. He was also told by a no-named manager that ‘they’ would pay for him to have it done privately. **This is all hearsay of course.** However he didn't get the operation because this manager told him it was **“because someone overheard you saying you have no faith in us ...”**. **There's no evidence that's true either!**

Now notice how he twists the truth when he *tells* us that a letter from his GP Dr K Patel [which he shows us] states, “Mr Richardson is a **known morphine addict.** **He is classified as not medically genuine ...”** It

actually states: “This patient is a known morphine addict and recently was admitted to hospital for surgery but then on the day of the surgery he was refused surgery for his shoulder. His **request for home visit is classified as not genuine for medical reasons**. **If he calls requesting a home visit** please do not feel obliged to visit for the shoulder and back pain.” Stan says he didn’t know this had been written until **4 years** down the line. **Yeah, that’s not believable either.**

Stan says he knew he’d been blacklisted but didn’t have any evidence “until now”. He says he asked the GP where he gets the info about him being ‘argumentative’. The GP apparently said it was his computer. Stan says he walked out and told the GP **“I’ll be seeing my solicitor about this”**. So Stan phoned the PCT [Primary Care Trust] and explained what had happened. Stan said he’ll “probably be banned from this doctor’s surgery cos that’s what they keep doing”. **Why doesn’t he tell us how many GPs have banned him?** He says he has a letter saying he has been removed. **Why doesn’t he show it to us?** The prick also says that he *has not seen a piece of paper to show he has lawfully been banned*. **How can you ‘lawfully’ be banned? Anyone has the right to ban badly behaved people from their premises.** He said he’s going to complain right up to the ombudsman. **So where are all the replies from the various bodies that he has penned his complaints to???** He says he got a letter saying “we’re not taking on your complaint due to the fact the police were called to an incident at your doctor’s”. **Why doesn’t he publish it?** Stan emphasises that this was nothing to do with the ‘theft re epidural equipment’, this was to do with his threat re solicitor. He tells us **“the police were not called then, that was a lie”**. He says he **phoned the police station to ask them to confirm that; that the police officer went to check the records, came back and said “no there is nothing about us coming out ...”** **That is not how things are done. A police officer would not have gone to check records. Stan would have been told to contact the force by letter; and he would have had a response by letter. Notice sly Stan can’t name said officer, and he *hasn’t got a covert recording of that conversation, either!*** Stan says the police officer told him that it sounds like the GP was trying to discredit him, to get him off their books “cos that’s what they do”. **Bollocks did a police officer tell him that. In any case Stan doesn’t need to be discredited, he discredits himself.** Stan says the police officer advised him to write to the GP to ask who the police officers were, to get their I.D numbers, the time etc ... “to see what they say”. He says he did, “feeling totally confident I *had them by the balls*”. Stan says he then **got a letter back of a report where they had gone to the police station 8 days after the incident [the ‘incident’ remember was his *threat* to call his solicitor] to make a**

complaint that Stan was threatening and abusive. Stan says “this is the doctor and the PCT working together”. He doesn’t show us this letter/report though. We know that this sort of thing does happen to *genuine* victims - we know that bodies of authority do work together when there is a *genuine* cover up going on. It is actually *freemasonry* that is behind every cover up. But there is no cover up going on here. This is just **fake** ‘victim’ Stan – **cointelpro agent** - spinning a story, in subservience to *his Masonic handler*, **doing his bit to subvert the system and further the satanic NWO slavery agenda.**

Stan says that all this time he was writing letters claiming his innocence, he wasn’t told there was a mechanism for appeal. **Course the lying shit doesn’t show us these letters/replies.** He said “even the courts can’t do this”. **Courts can’t do what?!!! He doesn’t show us any evidence he took any legal action ... or that he even *approached* a solicitor.** He says, “They can get you on the **violent patient’s scheme** simply by getting a CAD number – a report that *someone has made a complaint about you*. Doesn’t mean it’s true.” He says they can do it to anyone. **Well, again, I could believe that might happen to a *genuine* victim of freemasonry. Stan of course cannot prove it. He knows it happens cos his handler told him so.** The smug evil lying bastard Richardson says “**they should all be sent to prison for the rest of their lives for what they’ve done**”. Wanker Windows **feeds the lie**, saying “the footage is draconian” and: “**That’s the scary thing about your case cos you’d been labelled and you didn’t even know it. It’s so unjust – you were accused of something you didn’t do. It does look like a conspiracy.**” **Course morally bankrupt Mark Windows is content to please his evil Masonic paymaster, and go along with a wicked story, that is *completely devoid of evidence*.** Smug Windows declares “there is evidence of the surgeon that operated on Stan being **guilty of malpractice**”. **That may be so, but there is no evidence of it in Stan’s ‘case’.** Can Windows even show that Nikolaos Reissis even *operated* on Stan?

Stan says he came close to killing himself “because of these people. They’re monsters”. **My arse; wish the tosser had tried to top himself though; he might have succeeded ... and done us all a favour.** The twat advises us to get copies of records, **[WHERE ARE HIS???**] and says “**don’t speak to these people unless you’re recording them**, cos they will lie; there is no level they will not stoop down to”. **Feckin hypocrite.**

Sick in the head Stan says **he told a little lie** [L.O.F.L everything he says is a frikkin *big ugly lie*] – that he fell down stairs – so that he could get x-rays and scans, and get admitted to a ward. He was kept for 4 days,

and pumped full of morphine. I would like to have pumped him full of bullets. We long suffering honest and decent taxpayers are paying for scumbag subversives like Stan Richardson to scam our money and further our enslavement. He was then removed by police. Good, and I sincerely hope he is never allowed access to a doctor in a GP surgery or hospital ever again. That would teach the lying bastard a lesson. Course that will never happen; the total lying fraud **is working for and is protected by the Masonic machine.**

Stan shows us a recording of his conversation between PC Coleman and himself. Stan is arguing, saying he's staying put until he gets his surgery. The PC tells him doctors are refusing surgery cos he doesn't need it; also that the police were called because Stan was being abusive towards the medics. We are then shown some writing on the video which says: "Which is interesting especially when you hear what the x-ray dept said to me, just before sending me for a scan, which resulted in my being admitted into hospital in the first place." He then plays an audio recording of a woman who is saying "Abnormal; ok we need to make sure what's going on; we need to get a CT scan of the neck because you're not quite sure what happened from the point of view of events ..." Well we don't know when that recording was made – it was probably the one that was done many years prior when he was rushed to hospital after his collision with the truck. Why would the woman be saying "you're not sure what happened"? Here we see a very good example of the clever use of *contextomy* by these NWO agents [very high level freemasons] to convince you that what they say is true. You have to understand that – despite appearances – Stan [like all cointelpro agents] does not work alone; he would have had a lot of help making his *very professional* videos.