

Chris Spivey's 'illegal arrest' is covered on Spiv's site and repeated on shill sites, such as <http://www.indymedia.org.uk/en/2014/07/517396.html> It would *appear* that he was arrested, however Spivey has not yet produced evidence to show that he was *illegally* arrested or that his house was searched [never mind 'illegally' searched, as he alleges] or that the police acted unlawfully at all.

Just like the Hollie Greig saga and all shill stories, Spiv's illegal arrest story is manufactured – by high level Masons. Nothing happens within the police or any government agency without the Masons knowing about it; let's be clear about that. When you have a so-called 'victim' who is a shill, you can be sure that it is a Masonic engineered event. The police and social services bigwigs are in on the charade; the arrest will have been orchestrated by Masonic high ups within these agencies. The MSN Masonic hierarchy are in on it too. The cops who attended are probably genuine and just carrying out their duties under orders.

Notice the usual highly inflammatory abusive language which is used by all these shill agitators, also the fact that there is much dramatization surrounding this arrest but a total lack of facts and no evidence at all to support this story. In fact, someone [Wolfie or whoever his other mod is who writes under "Written by me"] can't get the story straight. It is stated on Spiv's 30/7/14 post that just after 2 a.m 6 police entered his property <http://chrisspivey.org/chris-spivey-illegally-arrested/> yet on Spiv's radio interview with Sean Maguire – parts 2 and 3 <http://outofthebagradio.weebly.com/podcasts1/podcast-sean-maguire-quests-chris-spivey-liam-scheff-plus-gaza-solution-30-07-2014> [13.30] he says he *doesn't know where that came from*, that it was 1.15 a.m and that there were 4 cops! Spiv's facebook administrator Lisa can't get the story right either; she also says there were 6 police in attendance! [See Spiv's Aug 10th post.] It is also stated that police entered "with a so called warrant"* but during his interview Spiv says the police had no warrant. Also it is stated that Chris' grandson Clayton had woken, but Spiv doesn't say this; he just says he has "a one year old *asleep* in his cot". That he *remained* asleep is confirmed in his Aug 1st post: "I was then herded into Stacey's bedroom where Stacey was sat on the bed crying and Clayton was still asleep in his cot – despite the noise." [But in his August 10th post the "bunch of retards under the disguise of being up-holders of the law" had "woken the child".] And as for the question of which 'section' he was arrested under, in the write up 'section 23' is mentioned, whereas Spiv talks about 'section 19'. You'd think that the "leading on-line journalist" Chris Spivey would've made sure the details

pertaining to his own situation were **clear, correct** and **consistent** on his own site! In any case, based on what he is telling us, he would not have been arrested during the early hours of the morning, and, apart from hearsay, there is no evidence that he was.

*As for the 'so called warrant', this is what is stated under Spiv's 30th July post: "Chris was **ILLEGALLY** arrested with a warrant for harassment, now *not being privy* to the so called warrant **if** it's not a wet signature and also **if** it's not issued under oath *it is an unlawful document* and as such he has been *illegally detained* under *false pretenses*." [I have done the highlighting of certain words in bold or italic format.] Why the need for such a foggy statement? Was there a warrant or not? If so why is it a 'so-called' warrant? Why the guesswork? Why is there an assumption that if there was a warrant, that it *wasn't* signed or that it *was not* issued under oath? The Chris Spivey **spin** team seem to be clutching at straws, having great difficulty spinning this story to convince the reader that the police acted 'ILLEGALLY'.

Note the complete lack of clarity and absence of detail to this STORY.

If the oh-so-popular Chris Spivey, who's supposed to be at the forefront of research, can be so careless regarding his own situation, we'd be wise to question his accuracy and truthfulness with regards other matters, such as his research into Woolwich/Lee Rigby. It's no wonder the mass populace are *not paying attention* to the looming world totalitarian regime when the likes of Conspiraloon Chris Spivey are so easily discredited. Just one proven lie from a high profile influential troofer like him is enough to throw into doubt everything he says. But wait, isn't that the idea? Shilly Spivey serves his paymasters well. Wonder what reward they have in store for him. Pr*ck.

Seriously folks. WAKE UP. Chris Spivey is **very dangerous** to truth.

It's no wonder the 'level headed' public scoff at the suggestion that we have paedos and paedo protectors in influential positions. It's not surprising that folk cannot believe that the super megastar Cliff Richard could be into kiddy fiddling. Isn't it a little coincidental that the suspicion surrounding Sir Cliff should spill into the MSN at around the same time as the Spivey arrest; and at the same time as he starts getting mega publicity in the UK's biggest selling 'news'papers.

You really do have to marvel at the fantastic job skills do in muddying the waters with their contamination of truth, which results when truth is mixed up with lies. No-one knows what to believe is true anymore. Job jobbed.

As for the 4 police officers, notice he doesn't name them or give their police identity numbers and he hasn't filmed them. You'd think a man of Spiv's stature and standing within the troof movement would have had recording equipment at the ready for such a scenario; especially since he's considered to have "balls of steel" and would have had the front to do so, no qualms. Why didn't he film them? I would have thought that someone like him would have openly **and** secretly recorded them. He would then have been able to prove that the police "just walked in" [as he says during his interview with Mark Windows]

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ehBS9WKsTh8&feature=youtu.be&list=UUBiUhuT9EK0btwiZmRINHA> If he'd recorded it, we could also have then seen or heard for ourselves if the police were "noisy", "slamming doors", causing the "dogs to bark", "rude and aggressive" towards him and his daughter Stacey, "arrogant" and that they were "bully boys" and "spoiling for a fight". He would also have the evidence that those "4 police goons" were ignorant of the law, that they'd searched his house illegally under "section 32", had referred to their sergeant and then claimed that it was under "section 19" [even though he wasn't arrested under section 19 ...!]. He would also have been able to prove the highly improbable scenario that [as stated in his 1st Aug post] he was not allowed to witness the search and was herded into Stacey's bedroom. Also he would have had the evidence that Stacey's two friends were subjected to the same police aggression and that they were made to give their names, addresses and dates of birth; which is almost certainly another lie. In fact there is no evidence that those friends even turned up at silly o'clock in the morning to comfort Stacey.

During the Mark Windows interview we learn that Spiv asked Stacey to record on her mobile but that the police had threatened to confiscate it if she did. Spiv said she would then have been left alone and her phone was her only form of communication. I thought she had 2 friends at her side!!! Wonder why Spiv didn't mention the phone confiscation threat during his interview with Sean Maguire. It's an important detail to overlook! Spiv and his Masonic dogs haven't thought this story out very well. He does mention it on this undated shill radio station though, which he tells us about in his 1st September blog.

http://shamanicfreedomradio.podomatic.com/entry/2014-08-27T12_19_38-07_00 *Freedom radio; my arse.*

Of course none of Spiv's 400+ commenters on his 30th July post [or any of the commenters on any of the posts that I've scanned] question anything. That's because most of them are Spiv's lickspittle lackeys and mods. Anyone capable of honest critical thinking is banned from the comments section and forums. I tried registering on his forum, just for the purpose of spectating, knowing full well I wouldn't be allowed to partake [ever since being banned from the comments section when I became a critic of the HG story], but after receiving a message that I'd be informed when my account had been activated, what I actually got was a big F.O.

During his radio interview Spivey does not give details of what actually happened, he is **very vague** and prefers to talk generally about "the Police State", the "fear factor", detectives being "arseholes", the fact that he's "not scared of them" and that "they can go and fuck themselves" and the "bullshit" MSN. He also talks about the "nonces in parliament" and the "paedo protectors".

Spivey says he was interviewed by Essex police [C.I.D]. Notice that he doesn't name these C.I.D fellas. Someone by the name of 'Munch 23' comments on Chris' site: "I would love to be a fly on that interview wall – transcript please Chris when ya get out." Knock me over with a feather if that transcript should ever see the light of day! The same goes for the transcript of his hearing at the Court of Appeal in London. [That's if there is an appeal. I don't see any grounds for one. Or maybe Spivey's aim is to become a vexatious litigant, casually engaging in numerous legal actions without any justification whatsoever. It would not surprise me if this is the plan. It's a common troll trend.]

As for whether or not he was charged, he is confused! In part 3 of his radio interview [from 32 mins] he says he **hasn't been charged with anything**; that his bail conditions are **very obscure**. He says it doesn't name any offence, it just tells him what he can't do. At 36 mins he slips up and says **"for a minor charge of harassment"**. He bumbles on, trying to correct himself, and says **"not that I know I've been charged"**. And in typical shill fashion he makes a point of saying he was subjected to "Gestapo tactics". In his write up he says he is bailed on **"suspicion of harassment"** [Aug 1st post]. And on his 4th August post, he says he was "arrested and my property searched and seized in the middle of the night for a **misdemeanour**". On his 29th Aug post it is **"SUSPICION of a misdemeanour."**

In the live chat room Sean said he was being asked who made the complaint. Spiv says he wasn't told. And Chris Spivey 'the legend' is ok with that??? A month goes by and there is no further mention of who it might have been. On the sham freedom radio podcast at 29:30 mins he says again that the police didn't tell him who had made the complaint, but adds **that the Sun newspaper say it was from the Rigby family**. Where does it say that? He then says **it was Greater Manchester Police who made the complaint!** Note the vagueness. Why does he not insist that the police tell him exactly **who** it was and to get them to respond in writing? If Spivey was genuine he would want to know. But he isn't. Spivey is a traitorous NWO spy. And this story is a Masonic fabrication. Strike me down with another feather if we ever find out who the complainant is!

Notice Spivey's reaction when he was asked this awkward question - which he obviously couldn't answer - he did what all these fakes do, he quickly diverted attention away and invited anyone to sue him if he's not telling the truth. [The sneak tells Sean Maguire {at around 25 mins}: "I'm not harassing anyone; **if anything I've said is untrue**, anyone is entitled to sue me for libel. Yet no-one has. You've got to ask yourself why not."] Notice also that all his hangers on and all his shilly chums comment along similar lines. This 'sue me' tactic is a favourite, used by all fake 'victims' and shills. Trusting Joe Public is duped into believing that twoofers like Spivey must be kosher; after all, they'd be thinking, no-one would risk being sued. **You'd be shocked at the tactics employed and the many levels of deception**. Chris Spivey would NOT be cockily inviting anyone to sue him if he wasn't working for and being protected by the **Masonic powers**. In any case Shills don't care if they are sued and sent to prison. That would just be part of the Masonic story line. Everything they do is an act. They lap up the hero worship. Many of them *ask* to be incarcerated. They *commit crimes* to get themselves locked up [Maurice Kirk, Norman Scarth, Caul Grant ...] and they wear their 'vexatious litigant' badge with pride. See Why shills say 'sue me' http://sharonkilby.co.uk/site/Why_Shills_say_sue_me.php

You do have to laugh at their oh-so-predictable behaviour. When you've studied a few shills you see the patterns and you become very familiar with the way they behave.

As with all shill STORIES, the Spivey arrest story is sensationalised but devoid of facts and evidence and is full of inconsistencies and obfuscation. Also, as with all shills, super shill Spivey surrounds himself with sycophantic moderators and fawning fans.

One thing I find curious is his apparent pointing out to the police officers that harassment is not an indictable offence. Think about it, would that be a natural response if you're confronted by police [especially in the early hours of the morning] and they're demanding to come in and search your house on the basis that you were suspected of harassing someone? His interviews seem rehearsed and pre-planned. The story just doesn't stack up. [According to the script the police told him they're entitled to search under section 32. Spiv asked to see the paper they were holding. They reluctantly allowed him to see it. On there he saw that it stated they can only search the premises if it is an indictable offence. He told them harassment isn't an indictable offence, so the search is illegal. **How would he know that off the top of his head?** He lets slip to Mark Windows that he isn't strong on the law.]

Since he is no legal beagle himself, why doesn't he employ a solicitor? Especially as harassment laws are a grey area. If he was genuine he would want legal advice; as a lawyer *might* turn out to be helpful. Until he puts it to the test he won't know. Yes, we know Spiv's views on "corrupt filthy lawyers" and we *genuine* victims of bent solicitors would echo that; however since Spiv has no personal experience of corruption within the judicial system, he now has an opportunity to get some and to expose it by giving a lawyer the benefit of the doubt.

The comic goes on to say that the reason for his arrest was "that they wanted my computers at any cost. Indeed they wanted them so bad that they had to resort to illegal tactics to get them." This of course is more bullshitification. I've said it many times, *genuine* whistleblowers do not leave their important stuff on their PC hard drive, they store it on more than one storage device and hand it to trusted friends and relatives for safe keeping, in different locations. The police [masons] know this too. Spiv says 'the corrupt bastards' want his material, want to stop him publishing and 'they' want to crush him. Shut up Spivey. Who do you think you're kidding? You're making yourself look ridiculous. Egomaniac.

Also on Spiv's 1st August 2014 post he says he had "Gary O'Shea from the Sun on my case". He says he "answered his questions and gave him some information" and adds: "Let's now see how much integrity he has" and "let's see if he has a spine". Well Spiv is completely lacking in integrity and spine. Note the vagueness. Did he have a telephone conversation? If so, why didn't he record it? Or was there an exchange

of emails? Where's the evidence that he communicated at all with O'Shea?

In the same post he goes on to say: "Moreover, except for O'Shea none of the other 3 shit rags have so much as even tried to contact me and all have in effect put my life and the safety of my family in real danger." Lying fraudster. Spiv is supposed to be the leading on-line investigative journalist with a readership of 4+ million [depending on which shill site you read it is 5 million, *Guerrilla Democracy* claim it's 7 million], so what's the big deal if he gets some publicity in a tabloid? How can his life all of a sudden be in any danger? The only people who are at risk are the *genuine* speakers of 'dangerous' truth. And they are not at risk from Joe Public. They are at risk from the high up untouchable Masons. Spiv, just like all shills, is *protected* by freemasonry. If Chris Spivey was the real deal he would NOT be getting a mention in any of the 'shit rags'. He adds: "You will also notice that none of the shit rags have put a link to this site, which would make it easy for people to read what I have written for themselves and as such, that fact should tell you all that you need to know about the real intent behind the articles." More nonsense. If anyone is serious about knowing more about Spiv and what he writes, they would look it up for themselves; they do not need links. In any case it is **highly unlikely** that Spiv has earned himself any new readers based on the publicity he got from any of the shit rags. People who have any kind of an enquiring mind will already know about the A/M and who Chris Spivey is.

Spivey says during his radio interview with Sean Maguire that he can't say too much regarding what The Sun have printed about him, because "it's with the press complaints commission". This is all part of the pretence. Where is it stated that he can't say anything? On what grounds does he have to complain? I've read their coverage of Spivey the "*crackpot web troll*". I've also read the Spivey write ups in the Star, Daily Mail and the Southend Echo. None of them are saying anything that isn't already in the public domain. It's not defamation. Nor is it 'endangerment'.

Spiv's shilly supporters would have you believe it's defamation though. On the *Guerrilla Democracy* shill site we are treated to a template showing us how to complain to the Press Complaints Commission on Chris' behalf for Christ's sake!

<http://guerrillademocracy.blogspot.co.uk/2014/07/the-sun-slams-chris-spivey-as-crackpot.html> "If anyone wants a template to fill in Chris's press complaints form, you can have this one !!! (Fabooka De Stait).

The [Sun] publication is guilty of the tort of defamation. This is because it stipulates falsehoods about the individual named C.Spivey, with the sole intention of stirring up hate against the person in order to intimidate him to change his views, and in turn, to change the views of those who follow his articles. The publication makes outright and unsubstantiated allegations regarding his online activity. It does so, by claiming this man to be a web troll who causes grief or annoyance. This is a total fabrication, as Mr.Spivey is a more than well respected member of the online media community.” The Sun is actually on the money on this one! Spivey IS a web troll who causes grief **and** annoyance. He is most certainly NOT well respected. He is actually despised, by we genuine victims and genuine freedom fighters.

Spiv’s mate, the ‘Outlaw’ Jimmy Shill gets his two pence worth in, trying to convince you that the Sun’s publication is “tantamount to something known in the US as ENDANGERMENT and is a criminal act, which can carry a sentence of life imprisonment.” And “What the Sun newspaper has done is no different to what the mainstream media constantly accuse other [*usually religious ‘extremists’*] of doing, which is using what equates to ‘*Hate Speech*’ to encourage others to act in an equally reckless and criminal manner.”

Isn’t that what Spiv encourages, with his “Let’s go to war” mantra?
<http://outlawjimmy.com/2014/07/03/gut-instinct/>

Spiv says the reason the police didn’t get a search warrant “is because they know that they would not have been granted one because not only is harassment not a serious enough charge to qualify, the old bill have to give you two warnings before they can take action ... I have received no warnings whatsoever.” Well how do we know what he’s been doing? He might have been harassing and he might have had police warnings. He’s not going to tell us that! We only have Spivey’s word for all of this. And Spivey is a proven liar!

He says in the same post: “Now, the police asked me to take certain articles down.” That’s just more bullshit. The Police do not have the authority to do that. Why doesn’t he specify the articles/dates? If that was true Spiv would have made a big deal of it and he would’ve provided the evidence. Someone of Superspiv’s standing in trooferland would have **all** communication recorded or documented to **prove** corrupt practices. Why doesn’t he? He says he stuck 2 fingers up at them and

refused. Way to go, Spiv. Tis a brave lad who sticks 2 fingers up at his **friends** in the Police Masonic Lodge.

He concludes by saying that since he has not harassed anyone, he was illegally arrested. Again, until we see some official documentation, rather than Spiv's spin, we don't know the facts or whether or not his arrest was legit. All we have is hearsay from him and his daughter.

Shills never show evidence to prove what they say is true. They just give the **illusion** of doing so, and hope no-one notices. For example, Spiv uses the typical shill tactic of scanning and publishing some **general info** about 'section 32'. He *doesn't publish material pertaining to his own case!* He also publishes *general* information regarding the offence of harassment.

As for sending Stacey and Clayton to his parents' house "where they will be safe", he's spouting bullshit again. Spiv and his Masonic co-conspirators are piling on the drama in an effort to **convince the masses that he is for real i.e. a genuine threat to the Establishment.** If there was such a thing as a place of safety, it would be kept secret ... Spiv would not have announced it was at his parents'! In any case Spiv knows full well there is no such thing as a safe house. If someone wants to 'get' any of us they can and they will. There is nowhere to hide. Our safety is in absolute truth and faith in God.

Shill propagandists will come out with anything [that we know does actually happen to *genuine* victims] in an effort to convince the public that they're on the level.

Another trick in the enemy's armoury is the discrediting tactic. We who are the real opposition are very familiar with it [and the 'you are mental' label]. So it's not surprising to see the following comment on Spiv's facebook: "*Seems to me that the authorities are **feeling a need to discredit you.** Instead of being Chris Spivey, free thinker.... you will now be Chris Spivey... who was charged with..... It's a way to **try to undermine any influence** you may have. They are flexing their muscles too - must be satisfying to know that **they are finally worried that people are believing some or all of what you write.***" It matters not a jot whether this person is an agent or duped individual. What's important is that it serves to convince the unsuspecting masses that shill Spiv is the real deal.

On the point of being 'mental', the State agents are the ones who are seriously sick in the head. How can anyone earn a living lying and deceiving the way they do, and sleep soundly in their beds at night? I'm just totally flummoxed.

The lying toe rag bleats, "it is fair to say that doing this shit has left me not only much financially worse off than I was before I started writing, it has cost me any semblance of a normal life, my health & fitness and put me and my family in grave danger." Judging by his fan club he's getting quite a nice income just from donations alone. Who knows what he gets from shilling. However he can't be doing too badly, since he can afford £267 per month to host his site with an Icelandic server. That is all completely unnecessary. My own web host – Dave - tells me he can host Spiv's site for around £50 per month. Dave's shop is in Colwyn Bay, North Wales.

As for health and fitness, he cannot blame his writing for that [unless he is saying it prevents him doing any exercise]. He suffers from type 2 diabetes. The contributing factors causing that are smoking, being overweight and his age.

And as for his comment that, "the doctor asked me if I knew what was going on in so much as why I had not been interviewed so far. She then told me there was some confusion as to who was going to do the interview, Essex police or Greater Manchester police" it's hard to believe that a doctor would be more clued up on his police interview than savvy Spivvy. It just doesn't ring true.

As for danger, again, that is such nonsense. He is **protected** by those he pretends to expose. He will only be in danger when the full awakening occurs en masse; and that'll be from **Joe Public**. The only time Stacey will be at risk – from the Masonic bigwigs - is if she can find the courage to speak out and grass her dad up. Of course she may be ignorant and therefore innocent. If she isn't already aware though, she soon will be. I have a feeling that she is already in the know and is going along with the pretence. Something that makes me suspicious is her comment to Mark Windows

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ehBS9WKsTh8&list=UUBiUhuT9EK0btwiZmRINHA> at 58:50 where she says, "they got here at half one and **they said** they started the search at about ¼ to 2 and left at 3.55." 3.55 is very specific, especially for someone who's supposed to be in tears and falling apart with fear i.e. not in a fit state of mind to record details! And why would she say "they said"? I thought she was there witnessing

all this! If this had happened the way it is being portrayed she would have said something like: “they got here at half one ish and began searching at around ¼ to 2 and left at around 4 ish.” It sounds like she’s been primed to say what she did. [She does cough and quickly correct herself, saying, “yeh they started the search at ...”]

Just a thought, maybe the real reason Spivey moved Stacey out was because he and his handlers feared she might just drop a clanger and let the cat out of the bag. Just saying.

Spiv ends his 1st August post: “So know this, I was prepared to lose everything and even die if that is what it takes to wake people up to the reality of what is going on in this country ... Sitting back, and saying nothing was not an option. I have lived the last year having to watch my daughter break her heart in fear about what might happen to me.” Sweet Jesus! Someone give this guy an Oscar!

Now, moving onto Chris Spivey’s 4th August post. He says, “This latest development came in the form of social workers knocking on my door following a “referral” by the police, who apparently told them that Stacey’s bedroom was messy and Clayton had dirty sheets on his cot. Strange then that those sheets were clean on that day and the bedroom was messy because the thug cunts did a fingertip search of the fucking room.” Notice he doesn’t name these social workers. This is all more of the same Masonic fairy tales. The police did not make a referral to Social Services. That was just thrown in by the **Masonic script writers** to make the story look genuine. In any case how could any police officer know that Clay’s cot sheets were dirty when it was allegedly 2 a.m and the room would have been dark? And they couldn’t’ve turned the light on ... or the *kid would have woken* ... and that didn’t happen ... or did it? Spiv writes in his 10th August post: “Moreover, whilst the MSM were doing their best to make me out as a madman, the Alternative Media were reporting the real story: ... After two hours of a bunch of retards who under the disguise of being up-holders of the law, who **having woken the child** ...”

JEESH, THE STORY KEEPS CHANGING BY THE DAY! You’d think the Masonic conspirators would’ve got it straight before trying to sell it to the public.

Spivey the **star of the show** continues: “Therefore you can only conclude that in sending the social workers round the snide cunts have made their intention quite clear if I do not toe the line ... this is what this

country has now come to and people you best fucking back me now because I have stuck my fucking neck out good and proper for you.” Who the f... does this guy think he is? Spiv, you got serious delusions of grandeur, boy. Do yourself a favour and tell your ‘team’ and the cowardly useless idiotic toadies that comment on your boards to go take a hike. They’re makin’ you look a right tosspot.

He says he’s put a complaint in to the IPCC. It’ll be interesting to see their reply ... if he actually publishes it! He doesn’t publish the letter he, allegedly, wrote to them ... wonder why. And he doesn’t mention the complaint he says he wrote and “was supposedly given to their inspector, but I don’t know whether it was or not. I know the complaint was there because I signed it. I made the sergeant who booked me out add to it because there wasn’t the detail that I wanted in there.” [Mark Windows interview 1:11]. That’s obviously a load of old bollox. Notice he doesn’t show us a copy of that complaint either!

He says he is “looking to sue the corrupt cunts in the most public way possible so as maximum publicity is drawn to both the Woolwich fraud and the lengths that the authorities will go to in order to stop the truth getting out. Now to do so I need someone who knows the law inside out and who is not afraid to stand up to the system. However, I am literally drowning in work and problems at the moment so I need someone with initiative who can get the ball rolling by filling in the relevant forms etc, so as all I have to do is turn up in court ... Although obviously I want to be kept up to date.” Well, he hasn’t proved any police malpractice. We only have his say so. So unless he can show otherwise, he has no grounds to sue anyone for anything. This is more Masonic engineered pretence and the Spivey stooge is happy to play along. He won’t be taking any legal action. Instead he’ll come up with some BS excuse; or, more likely, “suing the corrupt cunts” won’t even be mentioned again. One thing is for sure, there will be no official documentation to show that he’s taken legal action. And we certainly **won’t** be seeing any related court transcribes.

My guess is that this whole farce will just fade into oblivion in the not too distant future. It’s hard to see what more crap Spiv and his handlers can come up with.

Spiv's friend 'FTS', in brackets at the end: Fabooka de Stait [is that a real name? If so, shouldn't the initials be FDS?] writes: "The apparent ease with which *not quite correct articles* can be passed from pillar to post between the MSM and then sold *to the public as factual*, is more than a little disconcerting." Oh the irony; that is exactly what Chris Lie Alot Spivey is doing.

FTS also says of the 'Sun' and 'Daily Mail': "... but that what they are attempting to smear, more than stands up when compared to many of their own ludicrous insinuations." Well, compared to the MSM Spiv is getting a lot of truth out to the brainwashed masses; that would never be exposed by the MSN. The problem is Spiv does not tell the whole truth; he tells partial truth and outright lies; so he cannot be trusted. He is not independent and he has an agenda. He links to Bill Baloney, who teams up with 'gay rights' campaigner Peter Tatchell, who wants the age of consent lowered to 14; he is an agent provocateur, is pushing the NWO plan of a people's revolt which will result in the dismantling of present structures to make way for a new system – of slavery, and he's doing a wonderful job keeping his millions of readers in 'half-truth' awareness. Ironically the *half truther is more dangerous than the MSM*.

Confirmation can be found in this remark by Spiv's shilly mate Matt Taylor the 'independent' candidate for Brighton Kemptown: "Chris Spivey has proven beyond reasonable doubt that the Lee Rigby murder was a Mi5 contrived false flag event and in doing so (has in all likelihood) **triggering a British Revolution**."

<https://gettingreadyfor2015.wordpress.com/2014/08/02/matt-taylor-defends-himself-against-anti-spivey-attack/>

More confirmation is on the Guerrilla Democracy shill site in the comment: "Bringing his unique brand of investigative journalism to a whole new audience, Chris Spivey can sleep soundly at night knowing that he's the man whose set in motion a rolling ball **which will ultimately lead to a British Revolution**."

<http://guerrillademocracy.blogspot.co.uk/2014/07/the-sun-newspaper-calls-chris-spivey.html>

And on INDYMEDIA UK:

<http://www.indymedia.org.uk/en/2014/07/517396.html>

“This is the opening shot of the British Revolution and leaving the last word to Chris Spivey: “LET’S GOTO WAR!””

More proof that Spiv is working for the enemy, furthering the NWO agenda is in the comment: “Although Chris has been the victim of an upsetting experience, it can be used with ease to illustrate the purely *fictitious nature of ‘Law & Order’* in this country’. There is no ‘fictitious nature’ and there is nothing wrong with our laws. The problem we have is that there is an illegal **shadow authority** [freemasonry and other secret societies] running through our authorities and judicial system, which means that our laws are not always upheld, due to Masonic influence. Chris Spivey fails to mention that.

He will only talk about ‘The Security Services’ or ‘MI5’ and he’ll say things like: “One thing is for fucking sure, we are without a shadow of a doubt now living in a police state and that has got to change ... The **corrupt police have to go, along with the government & parasitic, insidious, perverted, good for fuck all royal family.**” [His 29/8/14 post]

FTS skits about “‘The Daily Mail’ having no comments section.” Well neither does Spivey’s site; well not for the ‘more awake’ genuine commenters; despite appearances. Read on.

The claim “This site did not witness any massive backlash as far as I am aware” is an unsubstantiated statement. There is growing opposition to Spivey’s site, for the simple reason he does not welcome free and independent critical comments – there is **no such thing as free speech** on Spiv’s site in his comments section or in the forum. Growing numbers of people are being banned for no other reason than asking ‘awkward’ questions or requesting evidence.

Take a look at the following statement: “If they follow things to the letter of the Law; which they don’t, and which they always get wrong anyway. Then why were Jimmy Saville, Stuart Hall, Leon Brittan, Ester Rantzen, William Hague, Rolf Harris, The McCann’s, and Lord McAlpine not turfed out of their beds in the early hours of the morning based on more than reasonable suspicion and actual verified complaints?” Here we see the usual shill practice of trying to make connections with celebrities, some of whom are convicted criminals and are currently being exposed in the MSN. There are no links. And there is no evidence that Spivey was arrested at an unsociable hour based on *suspicion* ... of anything. There

is no official documentation showing the reason he was arrested [or that he actually was arrested, even]. We only have proof of the bail conditions [see Spiv's 10th August post] and **even that document is not published in full**. Wonder why! Is it because it reveals details of the charges against him?

As for sweeping statements like: "If they follow things to the letter of the Law; which they don't, and which they always get wrong anyway", that's just more of the same disinfo. Spiv [or his friend Fabooka] hasn't shown that 'they' [the police] do not adhere to the law or that they always get it wrong. Whenever laws are not adhered to or there are any corrupt practices within the police or any part of the Establishment, it is **always** down to the influence of freemasonry. You'll never get that information from Spivey though. He's one of them. And the Masonic manipulators are not so foolish as to make the corruption *that* obvious. It's far more subtle. Easy to see how they keep the masses in blissful ignorance.

The skills and fake 'victims' and MSM have infected cyberspace with a many layered minefield of disinfo. It's truly mind boggling. That's how they keep the public asleep.

In spiv's 6th August post, he's bigging himself up again and boasting about the amount of people on his side. He says: "Indeed, if you likened the support to water filling a bucket, then the negative people who all appear to want to fight me to see who is in the right – how does that even work – are nothing more than a few droplets." Why the need for such comments? It's not about who is in the right. It is about the truth only ... and that can stand its ground. All he has to do is **provide facts** and **produce evidence**. But all he does is *talk* the right talk.

For example, further down he says: "Did I tell you that I am on bail ... For no crime? By that I mean there is not a single offense that I have been bailed for ... Not harassment, driving without due care or attention, GBH or murder ... Zilch. I am in fact on bail to prevent me from – and I quote – "interfering with witnesses or otherwise obstructing the course of justice". Why doesn't he show us the official documentation, so that we can see for ourselves what, if anything, he's on bail for? For all we know the police might have evidence that he's been committing crimes. Why should we believe Spivey's word over the police's [that's if they are actually involved] when he hasn't provided a scrap of proof to back up

his story, hasn't published any official documentation to show what the police are actually saying and he hasn't proved any police corruption.

Moving on to his 7th August post. He says: "On a personal note, I have today also heard more from the social services who want to come round and inspect the place – cheeky cunts. Course, I told them that I had no problem with that, which went down a treat ... Until I told them that for my family's protection I would be filming the entire visit. That news went down like a sack of shit to be honest, **and they are now going to get back to me.**" Yeah, a likely story! Where is the evidence that SS acted this way? Did Chris Spivey record the telephone conversation? Course not. There was no such dialogue – by phone or text. If there was, smug Spiv would have published it. Anyway I thought Clay and his mum had moved to safer pastures? So if that's the case, what would be the point of SS visiting Spivey's place? Same old, same old. All spin and no substance.

In his 29th Aug post, entitled "I am Spartacus" Spivey the STORY-TELLER writes: "The police then maliciously reported falsehoods to the Social Services that were completely without foundation. Therefore the evil cunts could have only done so, so as to get the **child snatchers** involved with my grandson. The police allegations made to the SS were easily proven false and we have had no further contact with the Social Services. However, **this was only because I know how the SS work and was able to stand my ground with them ... Had I not, my Clayton could have been in care by now.**" God this guy's really up his own arse, isn't he. Poor old deluded Spiv; he really does think he's Spartacus. Look, kids do not get taken away and put into care just like that. There is a long process, involving more than just a social worker i.e. there will be all sorts of professionals involved, various meetings and case conferences ... All shills push the idea that there are these gangs of social workers just grabbing kids and running off with them, never to be seen again. That is NOT what happens. That is all filthy propaganda, pushed by Spivey and the shill dream team.

Chris Spivey, YOU are the one reporting falsehoods.

On 30th Aug he publishes a letter from Team Manager Julie Robinson which says only: "Further to our recent involvement with the above child I am writing to inform you that the assessment has been cancelled and we are now closing the case." It is almost certain that this letter **is a fraud and has been written by a Masonic hand, in a bid to try and silence the sceptics.** It just doesn't ring true. Even though it is signed

by a woman, it will be Masonic-driven. ALL councils are Masonic controlled.

If you don't believe this sort of thing happens, take a look at this video re the current exposure of the Rotherham child sex abuse scandal **and Masonic cover up**. It is entitled: **Researcher faced 'hostility' from Rotherham council over abuse report**

<http://www.bbc.co.uk/programmes/p025wpl7> From 2:45 mins we hear that a Child Protection Specialist carrying out Home Office funded research discovered widespread exploitation and sexual abuse of children over **a decade ago**. Her findings were **suppressed by the council**. She even phoned the Home Office in 2002 to warn them about what was going on. Soon after she was told by her new manager that she was **being suspended for gross misconduct**. She was given copies of the data she'd submitted to the Home Office evaluators with **4 sets of handwriting on recording various comments and requests and she was told by her new manager in no uncertain terms that she was to edit her research to meet those demands and requests to say something different, to take bits out completely, to give a very different picture – not about what had happened to the children, but about the professional responses. It was about presenting them in a favourable light.** The Home Office and Home Office evaluators ignored the researcher. Her research project lost its funding. She was “cut dead”, “on her knees” and “out of a job.”

See also <http://www.bbc.co.uk/programmes/b04fzb7m> At 1:13 South Yorkshire police Chief Constable from 1998-2004 Mike Hedges comes on to defend himself. He insists he was not aware of widespread child abuse and that he can't recall the letters which had been sent to him, by the researcher, in October 2001 and 2003. He says if the enquiry by the current Ch Const David Crompton goes ahead, *he'll be interested to see if he was shown those letters*. David Crompton said the inquiry would "examine the role of both the police and council... and address any wrongdoings or failings." That translates to: we can be sure of yet another Masonic 'enquiry' and more of the same **cover up**, coming up – all paid for by thee and me, the long-suffering taxpayers. Incidentally isn't it odd that this important interview isn't available as one of the 'clips'. Is the Today programme on radio 4 trying to prevent a large listenership? You can read some of it here <http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-england-south-yorkshire-29041925> Also see <http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-england-south-yorkshire-29031397>

Notice also that the HGJ shills' reporting of this story is no different to the MSN. They just copy/paste the same old half truths. You never get any independent research from any of these so-called 'alternative media' broadcasters <http://holliegreigjustice.blogspot.co.uk/search?updated-min=2014-01-01T00:00:00-08:00&updated-max=2015-01-01T00:00:00-08:00&max-results=50> It remains to be seen how super Spiv reports it. No shill would point out that the reason Rotherham police and social services failed those children and instead protected/encouraged the paedos is all down to freemasonry. To suggest that social workers did not do the job they're paid to do "for fear of being seen as racist" is disgusting and pathetic propaganda; an absolute lie. Neither would any shill report that the reason no-one at Rotherham Council will be prosecuted is due to Masonic control within the Council. It is evident that if any decent social worker/police officer/councillor had tried to raise the red flag, he or she would have faced immediate dismissal and worse. [Remember what happened to brave and upright Alison Taylor, the former senior social worker who blew the whistle on abuse in children's homes in North Wales?] It has got to the stage now whereby all the decent staff within the 'child protection' services do not reach lofty heights within their profession, despite their merit. Those positions are reserved for the unscrupulous ones who are prepared to lie and deceive in servitude to their Masonic masters.

If Social Services were genuinely involved with Spiv's family, their intended assessment would consist of mainly speaking to Stacey and watching how she interacts with Clayton. [I should know; I've got lots of experience of social services. See [http://sharonkilby.co.uk/site/SHARON ANN KILBYS STORY.php](http://sharonkilby.co.uk/site/SHARON_ANN_KILBYS_STORY.php)] They would be visiting her where she is currently living i.e. her grandparents' house. [And they'd be writing to her **C/O** that address.] They would have no need to nosey around Spiv's place. And even if they did want to, it's just laughable to suggest that they were put off by his warning that he'd be filming them. There is no evidence of that being the case.

If however what he is saying *is* true, he has blown a perfect opportunity to **prove** that social services are corrupt. If he was genuinely trying to expose corruption within social services he would not have announced to social workers that he intended to film them; he would have recorded them covertly. He would have been happy to let them into his home and he would have been civil and fully co-operative [not cocky and arrogant.] He would have secretly recorded ALL conversations that took place

between him, Stacey and them. He would then be able to show us the **proof** of his assertions.

Spiv, you need to **prove** that the authorities are maliciously targeting you. You need to **prove** that the police made a referral to SS based on flimsy/non-existent evidence - dirty cot sheets and temporary smoking in Clay's messy [due to Robocop rummaging, you say] bedroom. Incidentally, I'm surprised that an intelligent bloke like you didn't point out to SS that since it was night time the police wouldn't have been able to tell that the sheets were dirty, so they were obviously lying.

Spiv, you need to produce proper evidence that SS were genuinely involved. You need to give us **facts and details and verifiable evidence**. A one sentence document from a Team Manager won't cut it.

See my ref to Phil Thompson below for an understanding of how the fake 'victim' game is played. *Genuine* victims know all about Masonic lies, Masonic manipulations and fraudulent documentation. Take a look at my story.

Spivey publishes a letter from Essex Police Professional Standards Department which acknowledges the complaint he put into the IPCC. Surprisingly the letter is published in full. But do you know why? It's because it is 'safe'; in the sense that it reveals NOWT. All shills/fake 'victims' publish in full their 'safe' documentation. Anything that might reveal too much truth is not published or only part of it will be published i.e the 'safe' bit; or the important bits will be redacted or unreadable in some way i.e. blurred, or the document will be creased in certain areas, covering up any important truth. As for the author of the letter – Paul Sugden, he will almost certainly be a high level mason; same as Spivey. Watch out now for the, oh-so-predictable, flow of phoney letters of complaint or requests for assistance from the phoney Spivey to various bodies, together with equally phoney replies. This exchange of letters [from one Mason or Masonic controlled puppet to another Mason or Masonic controlled puppet] is all part of the sick game, practiced by all fake 'victims'/shills, to convince the unsuspecting public that scum like Spiv must be genuine. It is all for appearances. Folks, you have no idea of the varying levels of deception. It is truly mind blowing.

Well, what do you know! In the next paragraph he announces: "that is just the start since I intend to have *notices of understanding* sent out to all parties involved which will require the police to either state the

indictable offence that I was nicked for or immediately return of my computers.”

A good example of how these fakes operate is in phoney Phil Thompson's exchange of letters with various authority figures http://sharonkilby.co.uk/site/Phil_Thompsons_story.php Scroll down to about half way. There I state: **Folks, these are the tactics used by McNeill and Thompson and all skills to dupe decent Joe Public into believing stories like this. 'Ordinary' people would assume this story must be true; after all, they would reason, who in their right mind would go to so much trouble writing so many letters [which are always 'sexed up' and full of inflammatory language] over so many years to all sorts of authority figures. Well-paid government shills do.**

Isn't it funny how Phil Fake is happy to publish all these letters – in full, and yet he **won't publish any official documentation to support his story!**

You watch; this is exactly what we'll see regarding this so-called 'illegal arrest' story of Spivey's. The easiest way to spot a fake is to ask him/her for the evidence that *their own* story is true.

For anyone who doesn't really follow, freemasonry is an illegal secret shadow authority working in the background in society. So within all spheres of power globally, all governments, councils, government agencies, judicial systems, big business, mainstream media, 'watchdogs', charities, organisations, religious structures etc etc, whether these bodies are private or State run, there will be Masonic influence. The only people who are not controlled by freemasonry are the majority of 'ordinary' individuals. Hence why the only people who can stop the NWO i.e who are the *real* opposition to the ruling elite, are the honest clued-up general public; especially people who are already victims of the Masonic mafia and are well-versed in Masonic speak and Masonic manoeuvres.

In summary, anything that happens – whether good or bad - within any sphere of power, wherever in the world is due to Masonic influence i.e. *they* decide all policy, *they* decide when and where they start wars/uprisings etc and the ultimate outcome of events, *they* decide who they target and why, *they* decide the outcome of all litigation, *they* decide *everything*. Hence it is not true to make blanket statements [which is another shill trend] such as 'the police are corrupt' [or that the government or any of 'HM Partnerships' are] because wrongdoing and

cover up within any area of power only occurs when *high level Masons* [or other secret society high ups] decide it. **When you realise that the same hand plays all sides, it's easy to see how the deception, on such an unimaginable scale, occurs.**

All of this would seem a little far-fetched to the honest, decent, average Joe. That's how 'they' get away murder. Literally. The men in power are banking [no pun intended] on you lot out there ... my fellow slaves ... staying comatosed. When I look around me at all the sheeple going about their busy lives [my own family included], I think to myself O.M.G there's no hope, everyone is already acting like the 'proles' who feature in Orwell's '1984'. Completely brain dead.

God I feel so alone.

Sorry, went off on a tangent there. Back to Chris Spivey. Do carry on, Chris. "This course of action will hopefully be followed by me launching a private prosecution against the police." Notice he says '*hopefully*'. That's his get out clause.

As for his 10th August post, he publishes a flyer which he says has fuck all to do with him. Well that's probably just another lie, but irrelevant anyway.

Underneath he publishes his bail conditions. As said it is a part document. Why doesn't he publish the whole thing? The cheeky monkey even says; "Not a single mention of me committing an offence ..." and he says further on: "But I haven't committed any offence in order to enable me to commit any further offence. Had I done, that offence, or even suspicion of offence would have been stated on the bail form." Well it may well be. We don't know cos he aint showing us the full document!

He says that he signed it under duress because he was "worried about my daughter and with good reason as it turns out since the cunts at Southend nick told her I wasn't there **when she rang up.**" Well is there any evidence that the 'police cunts' said that? NO OF COURSE NOT. COS NO SUCH DIALOGUE TOOK PLACE. If that was true, Stacey would have as sure as hell got it recorded as she knew her dad wanted her to record earlier alleged events of the, ahem, illegal search. But the excuse for not recording that was that the police would nick her phone. What was her excuse for not recording a telephone conversation? In any case, according to his 1st August post, far from the police being 'cunts' and telling her that he wasn't there, **they had actually been**

helpful towards Stacey. He states: “Meanwhile, unbeknown to me Stacey had been told that I would be interviewed at 9AM – which would be somewhere between 3-4 hours after I arrived at the station.” But there are more **twists in this tale**. It turns out that the police were actually more considerate than that. On the sham freedom radio pod http://shamanicfreedomradio.podomatic.com/entry/2014-08-27T12_19_38-07_00 we learn that **Stacey hadn't rang the police; they had visited her!** [At 26:00 mins Spiv explains that the police were so worried that he was without his diabetes medication that they insisted on going back to his house to collect it for him. Whilst there they told Stacey that her dad would be interviewed at 9 o'clock.]

The STORY just keeps changing and getting barmier by the day!

Oh! what a tangled web we weave. When first we practise to deceive!

I'm curious as to when Spivvy did the sham radio interview, as it is clear that Clay is back with him [you can hear him in the background, screaming], so I wonder at what point it was deemed safe for either Clay or his mum to set foot back in his house; and on what basis has the 'threat' to them subsided.

Another thing I have to point out; have you noticed that Spiv can talk for ages, and he pretty much repeats himself on other so-called 'interviews' that he does. But what does he actually say regarding his own soap opera? Not a lot. That's another dead giveaway sign that someone is a fake. Genuine victims give a detailed consistent account, they name names and they have lots of verifiable evidence.

As for the radio hosts, anyone giving Christopher Shill air time is either a shill as well or incredibly naive. If any of them are genuine they'd be wise to do the research, realise they've been duped and put the record straight.

The bit Spivey does show us of the bail sheet states that the conditions are that he is :-

[1] Not to contact any family members of the deceased soldier Lee Rigby either directly or indirectly. The reason is to prevent further offences and interfere with justice.

[2] Not to contact directly or indirectly Gavin Vitler or Christopher Amos. The reason is to prevent further offences.

[3] Not to publish any communication via any website or through any social media with regards to Lee Rigby or his family. The reason is to prevent further offences.

Well, this suggests that he *has* committed at least one offence. It looks like he *has* been harassing the Rigby family in some way [and Vitler and Amos]. As said until we have the full facts of the matter and the official documentation, rather than partial truth and Spivey spin, we cannot know whether or not his arrest was unlawful. Spivey has certainly not proved it and we cannot just blindly go along with what the arrogant twat says.

Of course we're never going to see anything of any importance in the way of official documentation. Spiv and his merry little band of jokers have already got that covered [literally!] He says: "Never the less, it is little wonder that the bail sheet says *Restricted When Complete* across the top because the sneaky cunts don't want people being allowed to know what they get up to." There's no evidence that the sheet says that cos he doesn't publish the top bit. Clever little bastard. The only person being a "sneaky cunt" is HIM. In any case, isn't he supposed to be this fearless warrior; scared of no-one? So if that's the case, shouldn't he be sticking 2 fingers up at any 'restricted' warning and boldly publish, in the public interest, for the purpose of "preventing or detecting crime"?

During the same post, the great pretender asks whether the officers who allegedly searched his house were planting a bug. Strewth, give me strength. They were not planting anything. I'd be surprised if any police officer was even in his house; there's certainly no evidence for it. In any case no-one needs to come into your home and bug you. This is not James Bond stuff. The **funny handshake brigade** know *everything* the genuine exposers of corruption are doing. Any *real* opposition to the PTB are monitored **very closely** by the dirty brigade [high level freemasons].

He goes on to remark: "You also need to ask yourself how 3 national newspapers got wind of the arrest so quickly." He knows why. It's all part of the pantomime. None of the MSM would breathe his name if it wasn't sanctioned from high up Masons within the newspaper industry. They're all in it together.

He then says: “Moreover, I have not been ordered to remove ANY of the articles that I have written, let alone those on the Woolwich hoax and as such, they cannot be the cause of the harassment or else I would be guilty of continuing to do so.” What was he saying back on 1st August? “Now, the police asked me to take certain articles down.”

Stop playing games Spiv. Just give us **plain facts and provide the proof**. Woops I forgot, you skills only deal in lies and obfuscation. What lofty level in the lodge have you been promised for your loyal servitude to Satan? May you and your fellow freemasonic brothers-in-arms rot in hell.

Spivey claims that “whilst the Main Stream Media were doing their best to make me out as a madman, the **Alternative Media** were reporting the real story: Shying away from arresting him for libel or slander, Stephen Kavanagh, the Ch Constable of Essex police was forced to scrape the bottom of the barrel and come up with harassment as the means to an end. While **Chris will no doubt walk free due to the fact he was “preventing and detecting crime”, which is the exception to the rule of the Harassment Law**, the incident will be viewed the ‘the powers that be’ as a job well done; having given themselves the opportunity to enter his home and look through his computer.” That last bit’s just laughable. Who the f*ck wants to have a nosey in Chris’ computer? NO-ONE; least of all his masonic police brethren. They really must do better. As for ‘The Alternative Media’, Spivey tries to make out that others are speaking out in support of him *independently*. The only people supporting him are his fellow skills who make up the ‘Alternative Media’ and duped individuals. Finally, note the way Spiv and co-horts are hinting at the grounds for which he’ll be let off.

On his “I am Spartacus” [tee-hee] post he says:

1. A woman I know of, who is investigating child trafficking was arrested 3 days after me by the Abingdon police on behalf of the Metropolitan police. I had at that time never so much as spoken to the woman although she had added me as a friend on Facebook a month or so earlier and had republished a couple of my articles on her website. However, when the Met CID interviewed her they specifically asked her if she knew me and why she was publishing my articles on her website. Now, why would the Met be so interested in me when I have never been in trouble with them and indeed, it was Greater Manchester Police who had requested Essex police to arrest me for the harassment misdemeanor?

Spiv, you don't half tell a load of porkies. The Met CID did no such thing. You and they are on the same page. Name the woman and her website.

He continues: "I appeared on Jim Hussell's *'The Rock Show'* on the Monday following my arrest ... two Cardiff Special Branch coppers knocked on Jim's door ... Cardiff police are based an hour and a half drive from his ... The **two SB officers told Jim that if he valued his reputation then he would have nothing more to do with me. They also told him not to tell me that they had spoken to him** – which he obviously ignored. So there is **proof that 3 major police forces** – Greater Manchester, Metropolitan and Cardiff are all actively taking a hands on interest in my activities and prepared to go as far as to **illegally arrest me, steal my stuff and try get my family split up**, all on the basis of a suspicion of a misdemeanour – which they had not even bothered to **follow standard procedure on – and without so much as a shred of evidence to warrant the arrest.**" Lying w*inker. Spiv, seriously, who's telling you to write this shite? Where's your self-respect? Grow some balls and tell your Masonic handlers to go do one. Give the same message to your mate Jimmy Hustler too.

He continues: "The **issue is about free speech and if they are allowed to silence me, then you are all truly fucked.**" The issue certainly is about free speech and Spivey, the traitor, is doing a fantastic job of **speeding up internet censorship**. The sooner someone silences Spivey's **lying** mouth, the better.

He adds the following:

1. Now, I wasn't going to mention this last one, but I think that it is only right that you should know what I am up against here. You see, I have been contacted by someone working for the government who warned me that the sniffenpissin monsters are trying to shut me down by hacking into my accounts... I kid you fucking not. I will also point out that apart from some of my team on here, no one else knows that I was hacked, until now of course, which gives added credibility to the info. I was also warned by this person to be very careful because I am being constantly watched. However, rather than show the whole strength of my hand, I have sent the evidence of this conversation to Wolfie, who in turn has sent it on again for safe keeping – just in case something should happen to me.

Now, they are the TRUE facts

TRUE LIES, you mean. Spiv, you are up against your own miserable filthy lies ONLY. Tell the truth and it will set you free. But you WILL need balls of steel. Who is this government snitch? Shills do not get hacked. Neither do they get 'shut down'. **Real** truth soldiers do. You have no credibility. You are being watched by me and other Cointelpro exposers; not 'them'. You and Wolfie [appropriate name for a shill] need to escape the wolves. Find Jesus and ask him for the strength to SPEAK THE TRUTH. What's Wolfie's real name? Who is he so scared of that he dare not reveal his identity?

One thing is clear, as regards Spiv's arrest, we are not being given the facts, there is no evidence that his story – which is riddled with inconsistencies - is true and he has NOT proved any corruption within the police, social services or any government agency or court.

Another thing that's clear is that the public are waking up to the fact that the lying hypocrite Chris Spivey is just another **fully paid up rat shill**. It will not be long before he is fully exposed and takes his place in the hall of shame, together with his mates, the thoroughly discredited and debunked super shill David Icke and the now disgraced slimy shill Brian Gerrish.