

The following are my comments in response to Tom's video re Spivey's 2<sup>nd</sup> 'illegal' arrest [6/10/14] and also in response to Tom's comments.  
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9JRFhbbKawl>

Please note Spiv's 'illegal' arrests are psy-ops and therefore difficult to decipher. It wasn't until 3<sup>rd</sup> July 2015 whereby Spiv publishes his – albeit heavily censored – police interview re his 2<sup>nd</sup> arrest that it became evident that it was not a staged event, as was seemingly the case, after all. Please take a swift look at my comments re his 3/7/15 post before reading any further

[http://sharonkilby.co.uk/site/media/My response to Spivs 3rd July 2015 article.pdf](http://sharonkilby.co.uk/site/media/My_response_to_Spivs_3rd_July_2015_article.pdf)

It is not easy trying to figure out what is *really* going on when tasked with trying to unravel a psy-op, as the people behind it are very high level freemasons. And freemasonry, remember, in connection with other secret societies *controls every body of authority* on earth, and *all the cointelpro agents*. Spivey and his team [he tells us he has 8 unidentified helpers] are amongst the brightest spooks in the UK – they have to be; these people are responsible for keeping the lid on the scandal of widespread child abuse by very powerful paedophiles in prominent positions in society and the massive cover up.

As with any psy-op we have to play a lot of guessing games, as the psy-op specialists are experts in trickery and contextomy. One of their tactics is to release official documentation in dribs and drabs ... and in bits; and to hide it amongst very long articles that often have no relevance to the subject in hand. Throughout this pdf I show how the game is played.

The Spiveyite troll team – in collaboration with other cointelpros – have to keep the general public contained in the Masonic matrix if the NWO is to materialise.

The matrix is a beast comprising **multiple layers of deception.**

Now onto the comments:

So, what to make of Spiv's, ahem, second arrest. Is this a ruse by team Spiv to divert attention from the first one?

At least this time it *looks* like the Masonic conspirators are making *some* effort to make it look real! Spiv has Stacey recording, which shows him

refusing to open the door, shouting, “You are entering illegally; you have no arrest warrant; you have no search warrant.”

He shows us *photos*, one of a broken window; incidentally why only one? Gallows told us on 6/10/14 that the police went about breaking in the front door and back windows to get to him. Why didn't Stacey record them smashing their way in? Why didn't she record *them*? In any case why would they need to smash the window when they were able to gain entry after they'd booted the front door down? Or does the *storyline* say that one cop went through the door, the other through the window? [Can you imagine anyone coming through that window; they would have been cut to ribbons.] This storyline is more farcical than the one for the first arrest.

In the 7<sup>th</sup> October comments section Spiv's sycophant Wolfie [too timid/sheepish to reveal his real name] tries to convince us that “The reason Stacey stopped recording was if you recall they threatened to take her mobile last time and not having any other means of contact what would you do , someone else videoed the entire thing although there has been pressure put on them by the pigs not to release it , as it doesn't show them in a good light as the person recording it was threatened by them.” Well after his last, ahem, arrest, you'd think Spartacus Spiv would've made sure Stacey had a spare mobile. If he was any kind of decent protective father, Stacey would have been out of the way and he would have been doing his own recording, on his *own* mobile. In fact you'd think that since his first 'arrest' generated such scepticism, Spiv would have installed a sophisticated recording system in his flat, that would have picked up everything those police officers did. As it stands there is no evidence that any police officer broke in, arrested him [illegally or otherwise] and carted him off in handcuffs. All we know is that an unknown bloke and a bird were outside the door wanting to come in. And dogs don't lie. If Spiv and Stacey genuinely felt threatened of the people behind that door, those dogs would have sensed it and would have been growling and snarling, not calmly walking around with waggy tails.

So *someone else* videoed the entire thing. Yeah ok. This person is supposedly too scared of the pigs to release it; and too scared to reveal his/her identity too. I'm laughing my socks off now. The crap Spiv and his revolting gang are asking us to believe knows no bounds. Will someone tell poor Spiv that if he's going to act the starring role in another staged police ambush, he needs some soldiers with spunk. Anyway, isn't it a bit of a coincidence that *someone else* just happened

to be there, recording equipment at the ready, when the police turned up. Woops, silly me, of course said person was just passing by and happened upon all the commotion, whipped out his mobile and recorded the whole thing ... then scampered off when the police made their displeasure known.

Stacey's knight in shining armour Dogman [another cowardly lying weasel; too scared or ashamed to reveal his identity] says, "I spoke to Stacey a couple of times last night and the plan was for me to snatch 3 hrs kip and get down there about 7ish this morning, so that she wouldn't be there on her own. She was upset and scared, which is understandable. I got a call sometime after 1, to say that Chris was home. We had somebody in constant contact until that point and without their help and phonecall, I would have set off at 4am, and as I have no mobile, there would be no way of letting me know. Or am I lying? Everybody is a wrong un to these fuckwits and they are trying to undermine the entire AM movement in the UK. Mind you, they have a tiny audience that their behaviour has created."

Yes Dogman, you are a liar. What are you talking about "AM movement"? There is no such thing. All media – Mainstream and so-called 'alternative' have become little more than receptacles for deliberate obfuscation and insidious ambiguity. What might have started off as a genuine AM is now completely co-opted by evil subversives like you.

Raine says "**OCT 07, 2014 @ 21:41:38**

"Hi Jay. It can be a terrifying experience when old bill are smashing down your door and kicking in your windows. If someone is used to this kind of life, then it is water off a duck's back, but Stacey (Chris's daughter) is NOT used to it, and remember she is only a kid of 18. Would YOU remain calm in such a situation. Not having a go at you mate, but just tryin' to explain, tc, lorraine, xx"

Ok, so Stacey is too terrified to do the job. Fair enough; I think we got that message from the first 'arrest'. So why the hell is she happy to be living in such a dangerous house? Why is Spiv happy for her to be there? I thought he'd moved her and Clay out to his parents' for their safety; so why isn't she still with them? When is she going to move back out again?

The truth is Stacey is NOT afraid; this is all staged and she is part of it. Wonder how much Spiv pays her to go along with such a disgusting charade. She is a mother and is old enough to know better.

As for the so-called notice that the police left Stacey which states no damage was done, why doesn't Spivey publish it?

Spiv says the Social Services are quite happy for the Police to do this to people's homes where a very young child resides. Where does he get the idea that social services are happy for anyone's home to be trashed, regardless of who trashed it?

So Spivey came home within 24 hours. He's slow to tell us why he was arrested ... if he's been charged/bailed. I guess he's reluctant to fill us in on the details lest we pesky people should start taking the piss again. Do you suppose we'll ever see the police interview he would have done [if he'd *really* been arrested] down at the nick? Pigs will fly first. [No pun intended].

When I realised Spiv had been [cough] re-arrested, I did flirt with the idea that Spiv's handlers planned to have him swiftly retired to some foreign pastures, complete with hefty payout to keep him sweet – out of sight, out of mind; meanwhile the Masonic scripted pantomime would see superman Spiv meet his untimely death in custody at the hands of some psycho pigs ... perhaps with a staged beheading! This would be followed by a police cover-up ...

Slightly off topic, but still Spiv related. Take a look at his 2<sup>nd</sup> October post re Princess Diana. Spiv says: "Now, what makes me suspicious is the fact that her account of that phone call – which I have republished in full lower down the page\* in case it should disappear – conveniently clears up every single 'myth' surrounding Diana's death, completely absolves the royal parasites of any involvement and is more or less an exact copy of the official line given to us in the press". Spiv the snake is doing his master's bidding. He says he is suspicious. He is pretending. Any genuine reporter of truth would not have given that email [*if it actually exists*] a second glance and would certainly NOT have published it. He did so to put the seeds of doubt into people's minds. He is asking us to believe that it is *possible* that Di was not murdered, that it was just a tragic accident after all. He says: "And since that 50,000 + word article is on one of the two computers of mine stolen by the police on the 31st of July 2014, to my way of thinking, that kinda makes this woman's e-mail all the more suspect. Moreover, whilst there can be no denying that the account you are about to read was written by someone with a great deal of knowledge about the facts surrounding the build up and death of the princess, I should just mention that I do know

an awful lot more about the described events. However, having said that, **do read her account with an open mind and see what you think.**" Spiv continues: "Course, I am sure that many of you now, already have a number of questions forming in your heads, such as: Why was the tape recording not released to dispel all of the 'conspiracy theories' that have been aired in print at one time or another.

However, the answer to that and other questions **are all taken care of in the woman's account.**"

Here is part of her account: **"The Windsors would never dream of doing that Adam said defiantly, fighting their corner. But what about the white Fiat Uno I asked confused and the motorcyclists? Adam said it was the pursuing paparazzi and an ordinary citizen called Le Van Thanh who was driving the white Fiat Uno he repainted red, hours after the crash and replaced the rear tail light of his car but because electricity supplies were cut off due to maintenance work, the traffic cameras were not working and Le Van Thanh got away with it but it was an accident and not an assassination plot.**

**The truth is Adam told me frankly Muhammed Al-Fayed does not want to accept his son Dodi, who concocted the crazy idea to evade the paparazzi and leave from the rear exit of The Ritz Hotel, which by the way Mr Al-Fayed himself allegedly approved of in a telephone conversation he had with his son, plus his employees may have been responsible for the death of princess Diana had been drinking that night and tipping off the paparazzi as he had cash stuffed in his pocket which in all likelihood had probably being given to him by them, was driving at a reckless and excessive speed to evade the paparazzi that led to the tragic and unfortunate crash on August 31, 1997 in Paris."**

Spivey knows that Dodi and Di were both controlled by freemasonry or some other secret society. That last journey was planned and authorised by very high up Masons, NOT BY DODI.

Diana was murdered because she wouldn't toe the line as she was not 'one of them'; she was smart, she had morals, she could think for herself and she was mentally tough, despite what the spin machine would have us believe [she had to be to survive what she did, such that they had to

kill her in the end]. She also carried clout cos of her popularity with the public. She was a 'loose cannon' – a major threat to the PTB.

One last point I'd like to make before Spiv's trolls join us, I'd like to just let the 'Outlaw' Jimmy Shill [who trolls all Tom's videos] know that I'm looking into his socks, and uncovering some surprising connections to him and his filthy little gang. However, this latest project is taking longer than expected due to the amount of individuals involved and because I can't give it the time it needs due to other constraints. It needs full concentration; not something you can multi-task with. Anyway Jimmy, or is it Jane can be assured that there will be a number of his co-conspiratorial scum subversives joining him in the sewer; hopefully before Christmas.

Spivey's stuck in the u-bend right now. Keep pulling the chain Tom.

My response to Spiv's next couple of videos:

Oh my, what an act. Stacey in particular put on quite a performance. Seriously this is cringeworthy stuff. Desperate measures or what.

This lot really are trying to stop me digging into Jimmy's socks! Now I've got to waste more time on this bunch of comedians and their cartoon script. Ok, let's go back to the first video - there is a man and a woman [police officers, we're told] asking to come in. Spiv is saying "No, no." The vid runs for 49 seconds and then just cuts out. So did Stacey drop the phone or something? Why did she stop recording?

So then she starts recording again and we see that the door is being booted in. The big man Spiv seems to be attempting to keep it closed with one hand! [He's got his right hand against it whilst his left hand is stretched out to his left, touching a wall.] Shouldn't he have been getting his weight behind it? He'd have been better off wedging a broom behind the door. If the lock on the door wasn't going to keep the cops out, Spivey and his boxes weren't going to do it! At 20 secs we see that the door is being walloped with such force that the door frame is moving and the door [even though it remains shut] actually propels a box a couple of feet to land by Spiv's foot. How the heck was that possible? And did Spiv think that such a flimsy box would keep anything out? The poor man really is losing it. And what's the idea of the flimsy stick that falls between his legs?

Stacey says [36 seconds into the video 'Chris arrest 3'] that she's going to stop the recording cos she's worried the cops will steal her phone. [She's learnt her lines well – she did have quite a few hours to rehearse though. Wolfie and his wife-to-be have coached her well. BTW Lorraine why don't you tell your cowardly boyfriend to use his real name.] Spiv tells her to continue recording or he's "fucked".

At 48 secs Spiv moves away towards the camera and we see the door opening, yet the 'cop' is still walloping it! And after nearly a minute of such heavy pounding, the door has barely a scratch on it! And notice the door frame is still intact. But look at the photo that Spiv shows of the damaged door [not that we can see the door] – there's no door frame!

Meanwhile the WPC has moved to the window and is smashing the glass. Why wasn't that recorded? Why was it necessary to break a window when the male 'officer' was just about to walk in?

The 'policeman' lets himself in and the dog gives him a nice show of affection. Obviously that man is very familiar to the dog. I know how our dogs react to people they know and people they don't! Folks, a dog's natural reaction is to at least bark when a stranger comes to the door; now what do you think a dog would naturally do when someone is forcing their way in and the dog's owners are cowering, petrified, yelling and screaming?

We then get a glimpse of someone wearing pink [presumably a woman]. [1:07] Who's that? A stagehand? [Stacey is filming and Spiv and his pal the policeman are walking around each other. The caring neighbour from upstairs – Bree – doesn't show up until further on when Stacey calls her ...]

So Bree then consoles Stacey and removes Clay to safety. The scriptwriters forgot to tell Bree the names of the dogs! She says "dog get down". Later on she even forgets Clay's name [she has to have it whispered in her ear!] [Chris vid 2] At 1:20 Stacey fluffs her lines - she says: "please don't take him upstairs" and quickly corrects herself and says: "please leave him upstairs." Oops.

Then we are shown a video [Chris vid 2] of the male 'officer', who is not in uniform, talking to Spiv. Since when do police officers show up to arrest someone in plain clothes? Why wasn't the female officer filmed? She just fell off the planet. At 1:29 the 'officer' tells Spiv that "my colleague explained to you through the door that you were to be arrested

..." Spiv butts in saying "no,no,no,no,no, HE said HE wanted to speak to me ... and can HE come in" [the other officer was female!]. The 'cop' cocks it up too by referring to the WPC as HE, saying "yes HE did, yes HE did". Oh dear. At [2:24](#) the actor posing as a cop recites his lines well, saying that he is authorised to carry out a search under section 32 of PACE...

Why weren't all three videos uploaded at the same time? Because the filming of videos 2 and 3 was done the following day - after a few of us had been taking the piss - in an attempt to convince people that the whole thing was not staged.

This gets more ridiculous by the minute. Spiv and his gang really are clutching at straws. Look Spiv we don't want to see any more of your acting skills, and you shouldn't be putting your daughter through such humiliation; just show us the recording/transcript of your police interview and also for the other 'arrest'...

As for the little kiddie Clayton, here's a message to his mum: Stacey do yourself a favour, save yourself and your little boy - grow a spine, grass up your dad, then get the hell away from him and don't look back. Spivey and his sycophants [look it up] will one day get their comeuppance for what they do. Don't be dragged down with them. Get out now while you can. What happened to your mum? Did your dad bully her?

The Echo can be relied on not to rock the boat. Their 'reporter' David Trayner is toeing the official line: [http://www.echo-news.co.uk/news/11522369.Controversial\\_blogger\\_arrested\\_for\\_second\\_time/?ref=rss](http://www.echo-news.co.uk/news/11522369.Controversial_blogger_arrested_for_second_time/?ref=rss) He says Spiv was unavailable for comment. That'll be because he was busy with his team rehearsing for videos 2 and 3. Someone needs to tell David Trayner to visit this forum for a bit of truth. One thing he reported is true – Spiv is referred to as a 'conspiracy THEORIST'; we who speak the truth report conspiracy FACT. Big difference.

And who are Wolfie & Co trying to kid with the pretend slagging off of their troll FRIEND Jimmy and his alter ego Jane? What a pathetic lot. Have you noticed that spiv and his gang of little helpers need an army of fake personas in order to function. God's army is tiny; but by heck have we got Satan's soldiers on the back foot.

WEAK MEN don't realise that they are used of Satan to fight against

God's kingdom. Hear their pain. We must love them enough to stand against their blindness, their Satanic deception and their malice towards us for teaching truth. And when they persecute us [as they surely will] we must love them enough to forgive them. Evangelize the sinners. Jesus is the only way to salvation. Freemasons claim to know God, yet they walk in darkness. God is light. He does not lie. He is not mocked. He knows and sees everything. Don't underestimate the power of the Holy Spirit.

SATAN'S FOLLOWERS WILL AWAKEN TO SHAME AND EVERLASTING CONTEMPT.

Reply to Tom's comment:

Hi Tom,

Well the plot is thickening, isn't it. I'll just point out a few more contradictions and changes to the story from his 10/10/14 post and will go into more detail when I get round to updating the site.

JJ, Darren Lavatory, Will Black and more are Spiv's little helpers. They're all working together to neutralise the voices of genuine CSA [child sex abuse] victims and anyone who reports the truth, such as thee and me. Charlie Foulkes [I presume he means her when he's referring to Charlie Fucks] is just another scum subversive. I've unmasked her already, but there's more to come where she's concerned. She is indirectly connected to Jimmy Jones and gang.

Spiv says: "Although the schoolboy on his work experience seemed to think that I was arrested under section 32, as evidenced in the video below." Actually the 'police officer' says he was authorised to carry out a search under section 32 [not an arrest]. He also says: "Now, those heavy handed tactics were employed after I had told the trio of plain clothed orificers to fuck off being as they neither had an arrest warrant or a search warrant." Jeesh, this gets barmier by the day! So there were THREE police officers – all in plain clothes. So Spiv, what are the names of these three and what are their police identity numbers and why didn't you get all 3 on film?

He shows us some new photos. Wonder why he didn't publish all these photos at the same time i.e. on 7<sup>th</sup> Oct! Have a look at the second photo down [the one he publishes on 7<sup>th</sup> Oct] where you see the light switch and someone in the background. There's no door and no frame. Scroll down a bit, you can see that the door is attached to the frame which has

come away from the wall. Take a look at the photo of the door from the outside, you can clearly see that the whole frame has come away [apart from the side where it is hinged on.] On the videos we see the door frame is still intact. [We actually see the door opening and yet the 'officer' is still walloping it!]

He now publishes a document relating to his first arrest, showing items that were taken during the search. Why did it take him 2 ½ months almost to publish this??? So it is clear now that there was a search done on the 30/7/14. We now await the evidence that it was an ILLEGAL arrest and search. When is he going to answer the questions and address the points made re that arrest? He could start by showing us the recording of the police interview ... especially the bit where Spiv gets informed that it was Greater Manchester Police who had requested Essex police to arrest him for the harassment misdemeanour.

He publishes 'SEARCH OF PREMISES' documents which include the damage report which was allegedly handed to Stacey on the day of the arrest [6/10/14] but not published until the 10/10/14, probably as a result of the "prove it" pressure he was under. The image is NOT CLEAR; for example you **can't make out the date and the name of the arresting officer is not printed**. A signature only is not helpful. The **date is very important**. Why doesn't he show us clear images? For example why didn't he scan them, rather than photographing them? Why wasn't the signing of these documents by the 'officer' filmed? Why wasn't the handcuffing filmed? Why wasn't ALL of the interaction between Spiv and PC plod filmed? Why was it necessary to have 2 breaks in the recording?

It looks like there was an arrest - the documents that Spiv publishes do look genuine; albeit difficult to read. However Spiv has certainly not proven HIS version of events. He has shown evidence that at least one officer visited him and searched his home. That could have been done prior to his STAGED arrest. If that is what happened the officer would have been correct to state "NO DAMAGE".

One thing is certain Spiv has not shown any evidence that any police officer caused the damage to his door and window. Or that any police officer [or social worker or any official] has behaved improperly. We might actually get to some truth if he shows us the recording of his police interview.

He says he's been arrested loads of times and had his door kicked down before and that the same 'trio' "did the same to another fella from Southend... they beat him up in the back of the car" but of course there is no evidence of any of that.

Spiv doesn't deal in facts. He deals in LIES, SPIN and OBFUSCATION. He portrays EVERYONE else as being liars, incompetent, idiots, bent ... He says of social worker Nicole Miles [more on social services below]: "And, as to her answer as to why I had no bail conditions set, well, the properly trained professional looked me squarely in the eye and point blank, lied through her back teeth: "*The police can't set bail conditions if you haven't been charged*"." I wouldn't call that lying. Confused maybe. And who can blame her; having to deal with Spivey's bullshit Masonic speak. SPIVEY WAS CHARGED. He says: "So, what was the charge? *Indecent images on my stolen computers. Worse still, indecent images of little girls, little boys and bestiality.*" [The police allegedly found images of little boys having sex on his computer which he says were planted by them.]

According to his Police Bail Notice, under 'Alleged Offence[s]': "*Possess a prohibited image of a child. Possess indecent photograph/pseudo-photograph of a child. Possess extreme pornographic image portraying an act of intercourse/oral sex with a dead/alive animal.*" Wow. He professes his innocence and the Spivey spin machine swings into action:

"Suffice to say that I have never seen the sick fuck photos before in my life, that according to Stan Laurel were supposedly downloaded via Firefox onto my computer and put into a "hidden file" on the 29th of July, 2014 at around 3.30 AM – or put another way, exactly 24 hours prior to four thug coppers spending over an hour and a half searching my living room that I nor anyone else was allowed to witness.

Now the giveaways of a stitch up are:

I don't ever use Firefox on either of those computers. One of the computers had not been connected to the internet for at least a week prior to the 30<sup>th</sup>. This sites logs prove that I was working on here at that time on an extremely complicated Woolwich article. I never break off from writing without saving the content as anything could happen and I could lose hours of work, yet there is no save registered anywhere near 3.30AM (see photos below)

I never hardly ever clear my browsing history and the computers were stolen 24 hours after the sick photos were downloaded, yet never once did the two mugs refer to the browsing history.

I have been writing about child porn long enough to know that you can't type into your browser something like "little boys shagging" and expect to get access to hundreds of free child porn sites. Indeed, the MP's caught up in Operation Ore, along with all the other nonce cunts involved were all caught by their credit cards. Indeed, at the very least you would need your log in details and a code or something to access this filth yet no credit card or log in details were so much as touched upon by Stan & Ollie, the corrupt arse clinkers.

I wouldn't know how to make or activate a "hidden file" if my life depended on it. Indeed, since the comedy double act never mentioned any other "secret files", I will take it as read that the little boy file was the only one found – which had miraculously been created exactly 24 hours before the computers were stolen – and which at the time I must have obviously thought that I would have a 5 minute break from work to find some hardcore little boy porn, download it, knock a quick one out over the keyboard and then store it in a secret file. Stan Laurel innocently asked me how they or the security services could possibly have planted the photos on my computer especially since the download date was given."

If he shows us the police interview and the court transcript [if it gets to court], hopefully the truth will emerge. In the meantime I'll point out a couple of things:

I don't know whether or not he is computer savvy enough to know how to make/activate a 'hidden file'. I would be most surprised if he's not. He is a highly intelligent bloke.

If you take a look at his 'Revisions' document that follows on his site, he states: "No save made anywhere near 3.30 AM yet I supposedly broke off at that time risking losing 7 hours worth of work." Looking at the bit he's circled there was no save made for 8 ½ hours. So is he saying he can go for that length of time without a break, such that he doesn't need to save his work ... no visit the loo or stop for a brew/snack or even just stretch his legs?

In any case he could have just minimized his 'sitebuilder' window whilst downloading his indecent images of little boys at the same time.

He says: “However, my computers were stolen supposedly on the basis that the bent thugs were looking for evidence of a crime that was in relation to another crime that was committed on the 22nd of May 2013, yet those photos were uploaded to this site on December 1st 2012”.

This is new! Note the usual vagueness – a crime in relation to another crime. Details please Spiv.

He then mentions a letter from temp Det Ch Insp Gary Biddle. However it is undated, so, again we need to hear the police interview to get clarity. Spiv is being asked to meet and discuss the ‘terrible images’ he has on his site, with a view to removing them. No big deal. All spiv had to do was meet up and explain [as he does in his post] why the images on his site are perfectly acceptable. He uses this letter to back up his assertion that the 2 CID interviewing officers are a “clueless pair” because they didn’t know about the letter. Show us the interview Spiv so that we can be the judge of whether these officers were incompetent or not.

He continues his tactic of denigrating the officers, saying: “Never the less, Laurel & Hardy continued with their investigation by producing photos of two ex-girlfriends of mine, one in the bath with her face and tits on display and the other one, a full body shot taken standing up, in a mirror without her head on display.

Now, as far as I can see the only point in producing these photos – both of which were sent to me by the ladies in question – was for some kind of cheap thrill.

I mean, admittedly they both had small tits and my ex in the bath looked quite young without her make-up on, but you couldn’t even see my other ex’s face in the photo for crying out loud so she could have been 70 years old for all they knew.

In the event, they are both mothers and over 21 years of age. Yet still the dynamic duo persisted by insisting that I give them my ex’s names, to which I politely told them to fuck off and that I would not be a party to embarrassing them by doing so. I then told them that they were the policemen, so they could go out and find them for their selves... I kid you not, its all on the etc, etc, etc.”

Look how the slimy git tries to put himself in a good light saying he would not embarrass them ... Publish the police interview Spiv, whereby we will know what you actually said. Why do you keep nude photos of your ex-girlfriends? Why were they on your computer? Gentlemen don’t do that.

He explains that he can't possibly be guilty, saying: "Now, as incredible as it may seem, in one way, the dozy twats have messed up good and proper by planting the hard core images of young boys on my computer. You see, anyone who knows me personally – which is pretty much everyone around where I live, being as I have spent 20 years as one of those rare breeds known as a tattoo artist – will never believe it.

Indeed, up until I started writing for a living, I was known to be for want of a better word: A womaniser.

Course, the bent bastards needed some other photos on the computers in order to give the little boy child porn credibility.

Never the less, from that surreal part of the interview we moved on to the final section which involved "prohibited" photos rather than "indecent" photos i.e. photos of Bestiality.

Now, I have to tell you that this section made no sense to me whatsoever. You see, as far as I can gather, the sick photos that they showed me, which included women getting fucked by horses and women giving dogs blow jobs, were not actually on my computer... Least that is what I think he said."

Not on his computer? That's what he THINKS was said? The police interview will reveal what was actually said Spiv! In any case you've been bailed for such so the police believe they found such images on your computer; as to how they got there is another matter.

Spiv continues to convince us that the "bent bastards" are to blame; that he's innocent:

"Moreover, these photos that I am still confused as to whether the bent bastards said they were on the computer or whether they were saying that they were not, were apparently downloaded in June 2011.

Now, in truth I am not even sure that I had the computer in 2011, which is of course a whole two years prior to any evidence gathering that the bent bastards were supposed to be looking for.

Indeed, ever since I first got the second hand computer – which was given to me free of charge by my very good friend Adam who works for a major computer company based at Canary Wharf – an untold number of

people have had access to it, including at least half a dozen of my ex-girlfriends, 4 of my children and a couple of their partners, An untold number of Stacey's friends and boyfriends, an untold number of my friends and even on occasion a number of customers whilst they have been waiting for tattoos."

Etc etc etc. Spivey does NOT get confused that easily. He has a very sharp mind.

This leads on to the social services. Spiv says: "Indeed, my tech guy Wolfie knows exactly how easy it is to plant anything on someone's computer including a fake date. He also knows how easy it is to detect something that has been planted and indeed, I will exercise my right to have the hard drive independently checked by an expert that I nominate, because I know that I am not responsible for the little boy child porn and I am just as sure that no one I know is responsible either."

But then again, the object of putting the little boy child porn on the computer was never about charging me with the crime. I first realised that fact when Detective Laurel took great delight in telling me that the social services would be round "first thing in the morning and it is in my best interest to co-operate with them".

Now, notwithstanding the fact that it is only 2 weeks ago that the Social Services declined to investigate further the fabricated, malicious allegations made by the 4 thug monkeys on the 30th of July, once they knew that I intended to film the visiting social worker – they have now created a real opportunity to snatch Clayton, because after finding little boy child porn, 'I am now obviously a danger to little boys.'

As for an 'independent' check by someone who he nominates, that's Spiv's way of saying he's hoping he can get a brother mason to help him out.

Re SS, the letter he refers to was dated 27<sup>th</sup> August '14, so not "2 weeks ago". And Spiv accuses Social Worker Nicole Miles of incompetence and of lying, and he continuously sneers that he has captured it all on film! For example, he says: "the Social Worker, Nicole Miles (the one I sent packing a few weeks ago) and her 'assistant', Kelsey Wicks attended as arranged and almost immediately she began with a pack of lies."

For instance when I mentioned that it was only 2 weeks ago that we had received a letter (the one shown further up this page) from her department telling us that the Social Services were taking no further action – which was good of them seeing as their investigation was started on malicious and proven false police allegations – Miles claimed that the reason for that was that they couldn't get a policeman to accompany them... I do have the film evidence stashed safely away Nicole.”

He then says that he embarrassed her over the Biddle letter. He continues his derogatory tone, claiming that she said that they would have been here earlier but “the department didn't have a social worker available”. And: “Well, that is to say he is in no danger whatsoever from anyone apart from the police, the security services and the social services.

Unfortunately, Ms Miles who has obviously been set a mandate, had no credible answer... But its okay Nicole, I have it all on film.

I then invited Miles to look around the flat, filming her as we did whilst making sure to ask her in every room that we went in if everything was okay and not below standard, to which she replied to the camera that it was.”

When are you going to show us the evidence of all this Spiv? When are you going to upload your film evidence??? When are you going to show us your evidence that social services are “twisted”, that social workers are “psychopaths” or that “child stealing is an everyday occurrence”? These are the wild claims that all shills make. I'll go into more detail when I get a chance to update the site re Jimmy and his gang.

Spiv can't/won't produce anything of vital importance, yet when it comes to something of minor importance he's happy to publish documents; which are as clear as a bell! For example he publishes an email exchange between him and Mr Paul Ahmed, Temp Inspector of Essex police [Ahmed made an error by saying that Spiv's next court date is 19/11/14; he should have referred to Spiv's bail being on that date.] At least Ahmed corrected his mistake straightaway and apologised. If you are a genuine victim of Masonic mischief like me, you often have to wait weeks/months for something to be sorted out and that only happens after I've been forced to repeatedly write/phone for information. The point is people do make mistakes. Spiv has not proved that anyone,

except from himself, is a liar, he hasn't proved any corruption and he hasn't proved that any police officer smashed his door down or his window in.

The fact is Clay is not in any danger from police, security services or SS. He IS in danger from his grandfather Chris Spivey. If Stacey doesn't remove herself and her child to safety soon, Social Services could and should take her child. How can he be safe with a schizo grandfather who authorises actors to kick his door in and smash his window?

Spivey will self destruct in the end. His type always do. My ex did. The bottle got him in the end. He was found a few months ago in his squalid house. It is unclear how long he'd been lying there.

The following is a brief exchange between Tom and me:

Tom: I didn't get a chance to re-read this and do it justice, but I'm liking it so far. A lot of work, but if you had the time, you seem to have excelled yourself, and a lot of us just **can't** be bothered with him any more, with his frankly irrelevant stories---*irrelevant as he's been caught out lying so many times.*

Me: Thanks Tom, it's nice to get positive feedback from someone who is genuinely trying to make a difference and taking the flak for it. If I've made any mistakes, let me know. Wish more people would get on board this fight as this is the real one. Once the cointelpro fall, the rest is easy.

People have to be prepared to stick their neck out though. Sadly there's not enough of us doing it, which puts us under so much pressure. Most people are either evil, idiots, lazy or cowards. That's why I have a lot of respect for Jon and the Hollie Hoax group.

Have you read my comments on the link below? I burnt the midnight oil trying to get it done. Got about 2 hours sleep before having to get up at just before 5am for work. Am shattered now and falling asleep trying to research Jimmy and Co. I'll get there eventually though. Problem is there's so many distractions; just as I'm really getting my head around it all, I have to break off to go and deal with something family or work related ...

And in reply to his next comment where he asks me to explain why I say JJ and Charlie F are shills: You're going to have to be patient. Just read the stuff I have on my site so far re JJ and Charlie.

There's a hell of a lot of work involved in all this researching and cross checking and I can't afford to mess up.

You can't rush this type of work. If this was my full time job I'd have it done and dusted within a couple of weeks. But it isn't. One has to earn a crust and I have family who are still very dependent on me ...

Just trust me; that's all I can say for now.

And in reply to his next comment where he says he's been threatened he'll be sectioned: They try to put the frighteners on anyone who is a real threat. They also send their best agents out to waste your time and mess with your head ... divide and conquer. They're very clever bastards, as well you know.

I've told my kids that if ever I should get 'suicided' to never believe anything they're told by officialdom. Actually I do think that if it ever got to the stage where 'they' felt the need to get rid of me, I know how they'd do it - someone would run me over whilst I'm cycling to work at 5 in the morning when there is no-one around and it is dark ... Just hope they do the job properly ... I don't want to go splat!

Right, back to work.

Hello Tom and all,

I'm still digging into Spiv's troll team; my research has taken me to places I didn't expect! Anyway will carry on uncovering. In the meantime,

A swift update:

First of all, yet more of Spiv's contradictions. On 14<sup>th</sup> October 2014 he says: ["and I was released by the police with no charges being brought and no bail conditions given whatsoever – despite that fact being highly unusual given the allegation."](#)

[Nicole Miles answered that the police could not set bail conditions since I had not been charged meaning that she is an out-and-out liar or a totally incompetent social worker"](#)

During his conversation with Brian Gerrish on UK Column on October 16th he gets confused as to whether he was or wasn't charged for the

indecent images. Gerrish says, “no charges?” Spiv says; “[no charges, no bail conditions, nothing ... when they was charging me ...](#)”

Now rewind back to his 10<sup>th</sup> October post and read what he says: “[So, what was the charge? Indecent images on my stolen computers apparently. Worse still, indecent images of little girls, little boys and bestiality](#)”

Also, Spiv reckons he and JJ have fallen out. If that was true JJ would certainly NOT be allowed to comment on Spiv’s boards. Tom and I have been banned. JJ comments on the 10/10/14 post:

**Jimmy Jones**

**OCT 11, 2014 @ 03:26:38**

“I like wearing womens underwear”

I haven’t paid any attention to the comments section since then, so don’t know if he’s commented again.

On 14/10/14 Spiv is asking people to write letters of complaint on his behalf. That’s just an exercise to find out how many gullible fools out there actually buy his shite and to check whether the donations are likely to keep flowing.

As for the bullshit about the post office. First he says the postman told Stacey that he wasn’t allowed to deliver post to the flat. Spiv says he spent 3 days ringing the post office to find that out. He says he requested written confirmation. He then tells us on 20/10/14 that “[surprise, surprise, it now turns out that he had his wires crossed and it was “someone else” that the plod had told them to deprive of their post](#)”. Yes Spiv, how very fucking convenient. DID YOU GET THE PHONE CONVERSATIONS WITH THE POST OFFICE STAFF RECORDED? JUST SO’S WE KNOW **YOU’RE** NOT THE ONE TELLING PORKY PIES.

On 10/10/’14 Spiv is happy to show us clear images of his 30/7/’14 ‘Authority to search’ and ‘Search of premises’ documents [although why it took him nearly 2 ½ months to publish them is anyone’s guess!] whereby we can *clearly see the date*. Why is the date on his 6/10/’14 ‘Authority to search’ and ‘Search of premises’ documents **blurred and unreadable**??? Also why does he keep telling us that the police didn’t have authority to search, when clearly they did.

CHRIS SPIVEY IT'S TIME TO COME CLEAN AND **PROVE** WHAT YOU SAY IS TRUE. SHOW US CLEAR IMAGES OF THE 'AUTHORITY TO SEARCH' AND 'SEARCH OF PREMISES' DOCUMENTS WHEREBY WE CAN CLEARLY READ THE DATE.

GIVE THE NAMES OF THE **3** OFFICERS WHO ARRESTED YOU ON 6/10/14 AND THEIR POLICE I.D. NUMBERS.

Why didn't you get all 3 on camera? And BTW was it you or one of your team who later inserted into the script that there were 3 officers? [After I'd pointed out in my comment that both you and your pal the actor had slipped up.]

ALSO GIVE THE NAMES OF THE 4 OFFICERS AND THEIR I.D NUMBERS WHO ARRESTED YOU ON 30/7/14.

GIVE THE NAMES OF THE C.I.D OFFICERS WHO INTERVIEWED YOU AFTER BOTH ARRESTS.

During your interview with Gerrish you said that health visitors and *everyone* was agreeing and declaring that the police allegations from your first arrest were malicious and unfounded. PRODUCE THE WRITTEN DOCUMENTATION THAT CONFIRMS THIS.

You say that the police are meant to be looking at a period between 22/5/13 and 30/7/14:

["However, my computers were stolen supposedly on the basis that the bent thugs were looking for evidence of a crime that was in relation to another crime that was committed on the 22nd of May 2013, yet those photos were uploaded to this site on December 1st 2012"](#). GIVE DETAILS OF THE CRIME THAT WAS COMMITTED ON 22/5/13.

Spiv, you're going to get yourself sectioned. You need to stop confusing yourself with all this talk of section x,y,z [was it 17, 19, 23, 32 ...] and talk of PACE. I pulled you up on that during my pdf - arrest debunkathon and during my previous comments. You constantly contradict yourself on that point alone. I'll say it again since you brought it up again on 19/10/14: ["Indeed, as you can hear in the video, the youthful looking thug is under the impression that he arrested me under Section 32 of PACE."](#) The so-called 'officer' [the man we saw on the video was an actor, not a police officer] clearly says he did the **search** under section 32 [not the arrest].

On 19/10/14 Spiv publishes a transcript and shows us a recording of a man called Daniel Ballard [allegedly]. This is the bloke Spiv says was also the victim of the same 3 police officers who had arrested him. Spiv says: "Mind you, the trio were not all that bright because just a few weeks earlier they had been caught on camera trying to strangle a fella in the back of their car whilst telling their victim that they were going to kill him."

Isn't it odd that Daniel walks calmly with the 'officers' and doesn't try to make off until he is about to get into the car! Also why does Spiv say [14/10/14]: "whilst his 2 fellow thugs tried to shield the assault from the witness's camera." They didn't! Also plain clothes police? Unmarked cop car? [The same car that Spiv was carted off in – allegedly.] Well, I'm not convinced. Knowing how Spiv likes to stage police events he probably staged this too. When a shill [especially a supershill like Spivey] tells you a *story* you can take it with a HUGE pinch of salt. SPIV, WHY DON'T YOU ASK YOUR FRIEND TO PROVIDE SOME OFFICIAL DOCUMENTATION?

Also on the same day he hints that there will be a 3rd arrest. If that happens, the real reason will be to muddy the waters even more [if that is at all possible], so that me and Tom and any other spook watcher have an even harder task of convincing Joe Public that Spiv is a filthy paedo protector [if not a paedo himself, which he almost certainly is.] It is clear that the tactics of team Spiv is, using cryptics and obfuscation, to keep on spinning this story until we eventually get sick of trying to make sense of it, give up and go back to sleep. His warped logic is that if he tells the lie often enough, people will see it as the truth.

Wolfie says on 24/10/14:

"I know for a fact , that one of the last things that the social worker said to Stacey on their visit last Wednesday the 15th of October was that, if she met with Clayton at the clinic (so as his health visitor could weigh him and what not), that that should be the end of the matter. I know that for a fact because I was the one who filmed the meeting." **SHOW US THE RECORDING.**

He also says:

"after which the pigs then made several false allegations about the level of care being given to Clayton" **STATE EXACTLY WHAT ALLEGATIONS THE POLICE HAVE MADE RE THE CARE OF CLAYTON AND SHOW US THE REPORT THEY FILED TO SOCIAL SERVICES.**

“Because of that the social services wrote to Stacey TWO WEEKS before Chris’s second illegal arrest stating that they would be taking no further action.” WHY DO YOU KEEP SAYING IT WAS 2 WEEKS BEFORE THE 2<sup>ND</sup> ARREST? SPIV WAS ARRESTED ON 6/10/14, THAT LETTER WAS DATED 27/8/14. THAT WOULD BE NEARLY 6 WEEKS BEFORE HIS 2<sup>ND</sup> ARREST.

As for his 27/10/14 post, notice how Spiv compares himself and his situation to other people, especially celebs. This is a typical shill tactic. As for MPs, they’re not going to embarrass themselves giving the floundering Spiv any backing, as they know one more flush and he’s in the sewer.

Re his 4/11/14 post, at least it is now confirmed that the police did visit him *during the early hours of the morning* of 30/7/14.

Spivey has a readership of between 4-7 MILLION [allegedly]. He is the UK’s ‘leading on-line researcher’ [allegedly – and God help us if he is cos we really are f\*cked if that’s the case.] He is also this fearless warrior against the NWO [allegedly]. So that being the assumption he has a DUTY to PROVE corrupt practices within the authorities in his own case. He has to PROVE that he was illegally arrested [twice] and that his place was illegally searched [twice]. He has to PROVE that social services are ‘out to get’ Clayton.

**SO SPIVEY, SHOW US THE RECORDINGS OF YOUR POLICE INTERVIEWS FOR BOTH ARRESTS.**

**SHOW US ALSO THE RECORDINGS THAT YOU SAID YOU MADE OF SOCIAL WORKER NICOLE MILES AND THE ONE YOU MADE OF NICOLE AND A SENIOR SOCIAL WORKER [WHICH WE ARE TOLD ON 24/10/14 THAT BOTH THOSE RECORDINGS HAD BEEN DONE BY WOLFIE]. WE NEED TO SEE THE PROOF THAT YOU WERE ASKED TO LEAVE, WHEREBY IN YOUR ABSENCE STACEY WAS AT THE MERCY OF THE 2 SOCIAL WORKERS WHO WERE TRYING TO TRICK HER INTO TALKING ABOUT NUDE PICTURES OF YOUNG BOYS. WE NEED TO SEE THE EVIDENCE THAT THE SOCIAL WORKERS SAID THEY COULDN’T GET A POLICEMAN TO ACCOMPANY THEM, THAT NICOLE WAS EMBARRASSED OVER THE BIDDLE LETTER, THAT NICOLE SAID SHE AND HER COLLEAGUE COULDN’T GET THERE ANY EARLIER AS THE DEPARTMENT DIDN’T HAVE A SOCIAL WORKER AVAILABLE, THAT**

## NICOLE WAS FILMED GOING IN VARIOUS ROOMS AND CONFIRMING THAT EVERYTHING WAS FINE ...

The police interview recordings [one or the other or both] will reveal: who had made the complaint which led to Greater Manchester police requesting Essex police to arrest you for harassment; exactly why you were arrested the first time and bailed with conditions; whether the police felt those bestiality photos may not have been on your computer, but came from the download of something else [and relate to a time when you may not even have owned the computers]; if what you told Gerrish is true - that the 2 Southend C.I.D officers asked you whether you belong to any religious groups/whether you are a muslim; what photos the C.I.D officers showed you, such as the one with the little girl weeing on another little girl who is naked with her legs splayed; that C.I.D brought up the data protection act for publishing the Rigby's home address. The second recording will confirm that you can be heard stating during your interview that the police officer who arrested you the second time forgot to read you your rights. The second recording [if it's a video recording] will confirm that one of the detective's hands was shaking and that he claimed that the photos of your naked ex girlfriends show that they were underage. The recording will show whether or not the police have your browser history of little boys having sex. Also, it will show what exactly was said re the Biddle letter and whether or not the interviewing officers were incompetent or not. The recording will show that the officers showed you photos of women getting fucked by horses and women giving dogs blow jobs and it'll show whether or not the police told you they found those photos on your computer. The recordings will confirm whether or not you've been charged – for harassment and/or indecent images.

And please don't say you can't publicise the recordings, due to the police's ongoing investigation or some other excuse, as you're supposed to be publicising everything for the purpose of "preventing or detecting crime". You never know those tapes may earn you some sympathy from the MPs.

**BTW YOU STILL HAVEN'T EXPLAINED WHY IT WAS NECESSARY TO HAVE 2 BREAKS IN YOUR RECORDING OF YOUR 2<sup>ND</sup> ARREST, WHY YOU DIDN'T RECORD THE OTHER 2 'COPS', WHY YOU DIDN'T RECORD THE 'OFFICER' SIGNING THE DOCUMENTS AND HANDCUFFING YOU. ALSO THERE IS APPARANTLY ANOTHER RECORDING THAT WAS DONE ON THE DAY OF THE ARREST...**

Written by Spacey [under guidance from dad] on 13/10/14:

“Some don’t believe my dad was arrested which is stupid and you will feel stupid when I’ve finished chasing the boys upstairs for the video they took, which as some people with a heart should understand that video is the last of my worries at the moment as I have enough going on.” WHEN ARE YOU GOING TO UPLOAD THAT???

Oh and one more thing, you say I can’t stand rejection. I think you mean I can’t stand traitors.

If you are unable/unwilling to at least show us the recordings of both police interviews I am sure that your readers will agree with me that you should close down your site forthwith and slither off down the nearest drain to join the other rats.

Oh my, Spivey the desperate divvy really is losing it. He’s told so many lies he can’t remember the storyline. He’s now forgotten the date he was arrested! Will someone tell the dozy bastard that he was arrested during the early hours of 30<sup>th</sup> July, NOT 31<sup>st</sup> July as he told his MP James Duddridge in his ‘Dear Jim’ letter [7/11/14 post]. Course if the pathological liar has now decided that it was the 31<sup>st</sup> and NOT 30<sup>th</sup>, as he’s been saying all along, will someone please tell him that he needs to get the STORY straight with his Police brethren down at the lodge, as they told MP Chi Onwarah [letter dated 27/10/14] that he was arrested in the early hours of WEDNESDAY JULY 30<sup>TH</sup> [The ‘Authority to search’ is also dated 30/7/14].

Also in his ‘Dear Jim’ letter he says: “On September 6th, the day that I was due to answer my bail at 10 AM, two police officers tried to barge into my home at 9 AM” Actually it was September 5<sup>th</sup>!  
<http://chrisspivey.org/the-knobby-knockers/>

He also says: “the paperwork clearly states that I was arrested under section 17.” What paperwork? He hasn’t shown us that. He only publishes ‘Authority to search’ and ‘Search of premises’ documents. Also in his ‘Dear Jim’ letter, he says “To this day I have not been told who made the complaint – without evidence – although according to the SUN newspaper it was Lyn Rigby. This begs the question; why have the Rigby’s not sued me if I am wrong in what I have written about them?”

Notice how the pathetic slimy Spivey AGAIN uses the favourite skill tactic of saying ‘sue me’, to try and hoodwink the public. I pointed this out in my ‘debunkathon’. See my write up – why shills say sue me

[http://sharonkilby.co.uk/site/Why\\_Shills\\_say\\_sue\\_me.php](http://sharonkilby.co.uk/site/Why_Shills_say_sue_me.php) Also, as I pointed out in my 'debunkathon' [http://sharonkilby.co.uk/site/media/Spiveys\\_arrest\\_30th\\_July\\_2014.pdf](http://sharonkilby.co.uk/site/media/Spiveys_arrest_30th_July_2014.pdf) "On the sham freedom radio podcast at 29:30 mins he says again that **the police didn't tell him who had made the complaint**, but adds that the Sun newspaper say it was from the Rigby family." I asked then, "where does it say that?" Now he's saying it was LYN RIGBY. The Sun article does not say that, it only states: "A family spokesman called the rants "vile nonsense"." **SPIV, YOU KEEP GETTING YOUR FACTS WRONG. MAY I SUGGEST THAT YOU'RE GETTING YOURSELF ALL CONFUSED COS YOU KEEP MAKING THINGS UP. THE POLICE INTERVIEW WILL THROW SOME LIGHT ON IT ALL. WHY DON'T YOU PUBLICISE IT? WE CAN THEN SEE FOR OURSELVES WHO EXACTLY MADE THE COMPLAINT. ALSO FOR THE SAKE OF CLARITY, WHY DON'T YOU PUBLICISE YOUR POLICE INTERVIEW OF YOUR SECOND ARREST? WHILE YOU'RE AT IT, WHY DON'T YOU PUBLICISE ALL THREE TAPE RECORDINGS YOU SAY YOU HAVE MADE OF THE SOCIAL WORKERS? WHY DON'T YOU UPLOAD THE OTHER VIDEO RECORDING THAT, ACCORDING TO STACEY, THE "BOYS UPSTAIRS TOOK"? WHY DON'T YOU PUBLICISE ALL THE DOCUMENTATION I ASKED YOU FOR IN MY LAST POST??? YOUR REFUSAL INCRIMINATES YOU.**

The freemasons within the police and newspaper industry really should have got this story straight with Spivey before trying to sell it to the public.

A quick update, mainly in response to Spiv's 21/11/14 post – Essex Police.

Spiv has gone to a lot of trouble to bring us lots of ALLEGATIONS of police corruption, especially within Essex police. He wants to convince us that Essex police are completely corrupt and that he is now a victim of their corrupt practices. Nice try Spiv, but we're not buying it. If you want to convince us you need to SHOW US THE EVIDENCE. You need to PROVE the police booted your door in and smashed your window...

Even if the stories Spiv refers to were true, which they're not - and I'll come to that in a minute - they have nothing whatsoever to do with Spivey and his dealings with the police. He is supposed to be an INDEPENDENT ALTERNATIVE MEDIA RESEARCHER, PROVING police corruption. How best to do that? By PROVING police corruption in his own case.

Spiv you can do that by SHOWING US THE OFFICIAL DOCUMENTATION AND RECORDINGS that I keep asking you for. Oh and since you've just hinted that you will be feeding us more fairy tales of SS, why don't you show us the FOUR video recordings that you now say you have of the social workers. [Just to remind you - lest you should feel the need to change the storyline again - you said in your 7/11/14 post that you had 3 meetings with them filmed and on 13/11/14 you said Wolfie had filmed another meeting with them.]

Also on the subject of SS you said in your 9/11/14 post: “Just over a fortnight ago – Miles and her boss were holding meetings behind our backs with the Southend plod, with a view to making Clayton a Section 47 protection case. Indeed, it was only when the plod advised against it that the Social Workers backed down.”

If those meetings were clandestine how do you know about them? How do you know the plod advised the social workers? In any case the decision to order a Section 47 is made at a case conference, whereby there would be other officials present, not just police, and you and Stacey would be included too. So please stop telling porky pies and provide EVIDENCE.

Whilst we wait for Spiv to provide the PROOF of his claims ... STOP LAUGHING ... let's take a look at his sources of information. He starts by providing a link to the Daily Mail. You gotta laugh at that. He rightly slags off the 'Daily Chimp' on a regular basis for its propagandizing, unproven stories and plain lies, so how can he use them as a reliable source of information about anything, least of all corruption? We all know that the mainstream cannot be trusted to bring us the truth and yet Spiv links to various mainstream news articles as his sources of info. He also links to various sites which are almost certainly shill sites. Some research is necessary to determine if any of his links to sources are written by independent and honest researchers. It is highly unlikely. In fact I'd be most surprised if Spiv knows anyone who has an honest bone in them. It is therefore impossible to know which stories are true and which are completely fabricated. I'll give a couple of examples. Spiv says: “At least 944 serving officers and police community support officers (PCSOs) have a conviction. Most are for traffic offences such as speeding and drink-driving, but there are also offences of dishonesty and fraud.” And he links to <http://www.exposedpolice.com/1000-officers-with-convictions-from-drug-dealing-to-perverting-justice-are-still-in-the-police/> That claim could have been plucked out of thin air. There is no

link to the source of that information. A lot of articles on the 'exposed police' site have links to the MSM as the source. There is no information on the author of the site. Under 'Our friends' are links to Sabine McNeill's 'Victims Unite' and to Ian Puddick and Michael Doherty <http://www.cityoflondonpolicecorruption.co.uk/> Nuff said already.

Incidentally, Tom, are you aware of this site <https://thedailyagenda.wordpress.com/tag/ian-puddick/> re Puddick/Doherty.

Here's another one Spiv likes to link to [http://www.bentlawyersandcops.com/featured\\_cops.htm](http://www.bentlawyersandcops.com/featured_cops.htm) Again there is nothing about the author. For all we know this site – 'Bent Lawyers and Cops' and all the anonymous shill sites Spiv links to could have been written by Spiv himself or one of his trolls – Woolfie, Dogman, Jimmy Jones ... It is written in association with Wikileaks, which is very telling. There is a fantastic amount of police corruption claims on this one page. It is impossible to say which stories, if any, are true, which are only partially true i.e spun and which are complete fabrications, as no supporting evidence is provided and there are no links to sources, except for two stories which have links, one of which is to the shill site Indymedia – nuff said.

The ruling elite can only control the populace if they can control information. They have complete control over the mainstream media and are using increasingly sophisticated ways to control information via the internet. Cyberspace is now saturated with a variety of NWO gatekeepers [shills, trolls, fake 'victims'], many claiming to expose corruption, spouting a mixture of truth, spin, lies and fabrications; that it becomes increasingly difficult to discern fact from fiction and truth from lies.

Freemasonry is the puppeteer which controls the gatekeepers and the Establishment and is therefore responsible for all corruption. Spivey is not a victim; he serves the Masonic higher powers and is almost certainly a high level freemason himself.

Spivey, nor any shill will ever tell you that the **ONLY** way the NWO can be stopped and the criminals behind it and those aiding it exposed and brought to justice is to expose the fact that there is an **illegal** shadow *authority* in the form of freemasonry and other various *secret societies* that runs through EVERY society in every country worldwide. If they do mention freemasonry it will be done half heartedly, for example by

saying some freemasons or certain groups are to blame/are corrupt ... that not all freemasons are bad ... i.e. they avoid talking about the purpose of freemasonry. Also no shill will ever tell you that anyone who is a mason, no matter what level, or who benefits from freemasonry or any other secret society, is a follower of Lucifer the devil. There is no such thing as a 'good' mason, as all masons are loyal to masonry. Anyone who thinks otherwise is a pretender. All pretenders are Satan's servants.

Nor will any shill ever tell you that if you want freedom you have to literally follow God into battle [and by joves if you remain **totally** loyal to God, **you will suffer for it**]. It is not ok to remain neutral. You have to choose a master. If you do not choose God and live your life according to His teaching, with 100% commitment – there are no half measures - you are serving Satan.

The task of the genuine freedom fighter is to expose the gatekeepers. Once these people are dealt with, the Global Establishment corruption will be exposed and can then be addressed. It will naturally follow on and it will be an easier battle. The toughest battle is against the gatekeepers. They are a most formidable force. Their soldiers the most powerful. They have to be. They appear in a variety of forms and there are a staggering number of them [and growing]. However they can and will be beaten because Satan's army [despite its size] is weaker than God's.

But be prepared for the Masonic onslaught that will come your way if you do decide to serve God. Be also aware that when the Masonic mafia realise they can't get to you directly, they will target you via your loved ones; especially the weaker members of the family – the children usually.

Listen up Spivey and all you other shills and all Satan's little helpers, your giant egos prevent you seeing that the illusion you help create is unsustainable. One day soon i.e. when the full awakening occurs, you lot will awaken to find that your little worlds have imploded with spectacular effect. There will be no turning back then. You will all be shackled forever. And it is forever. Make no mistake.

**In response to Spiv's 2/12/14 post.** He says: ["it has now become blatantly obvious to me that I have been right along and the SS have an agenda to steal Clayton, despite me having them on film repeatedly](#)

stating that they are not after taking Clayton into care...”

He refers to his 27/11/14 post whereby he says: “Once again, the SS department manager Julie Robinson arrived with Nicole Miles and another bird... Strange how we get the top dog, don't cha think.

Never the less, whether she liked it or not Julie Robinson was filmed as was my absolute right to do so. Moreover, she is on film stating that if I am bailed again then as far as she is concerned they will drop the matter as long as Stacey attends 6 one hour sessions with this other bird who came.

Course, I am not allowed to attend these sessions which are to be held away from home, meaning that I cannot keep an eye on proceedings to make sure that this bird is acting with the utmost integrity.

As for me bringing up the fact that Nicole Miles has blatantly lied to me in previous meetings – all safely stored on film – it was telling that Jane Robinson stated – on film – that, “that is a matter” for me and her “to discuss away from here in private”.

**SO HE NOW HAS 5 VIDEO RECORDINGS OF THESE SOCIAL WORKERS WHICH HE SAYS PROVE HE AND STACEY ARE AT THE MERCY OF THESE “LYING UNSCRUPULOUS CORRUPT BASTARDS”. WHEN IS HE GOING TO SHOW US THIS EVIDENCE?**

He refers to the third social worker as a ‘bird’. Did he not think to ask for her name, on camera? As for his worry that he will not be able to “keep an eye on proceedings” why can't Stacey take a friend as a witness/to record the sessions? OR she could do her own filming - we see by the telephone conversations she has with her social worker that she is capable enough.

Spiv references the 9 page SS assessment, written by Nicole Miles and lists 11 points that he and Stacey are not happy with. The problem we have is that he doesn't publish the whole report, so we have no way of knowing what SS are saying. He takes things out of context, thus showing that HE is the dishonest one, not the social workers. And in typical Spiv style he spins and twists Nicole's words to paint a picture of SS involvement which is far removed from the actual truth. Despite his sneaky efforts his points are adequately addressed by Nicole who is being recorded by Stacey during their telephone conversations: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TLKak3aqVBc> and

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vkliWDkOjkc> I will expand:

In his Point 1 he shows us a tiny section of the report which shows that it is dated 20/11/14, has a start date 30/7/14 and states: “Reason for assessment and overview – A referral was received from Essex Police due to allegations of Chris possessing indecent images of children which have been found on his computer. Concerns raised due to Clayton residing in the same property as his grandfather.”

Spiv says: “Therefore, you have to ask yourselves why Miles chose to finish her assessment on the 20th whilst being fully aware that important information pertaining to the police investigation could have cropped up on the 24th, and consequently made a potential massive difference to our meeting with Miles on the 26th?”

Throughout his post Spiv makes a big deal of the date of Nicole’s report; he puts his spin on it to try and convince his readership of Nicole’s ‘underhandedness’. Nicole explains during the telephone conversation that she had to write up her report by 20/11/14. She says that it is stated in her report that a review strategy meeting was planned for the 24th November and that on the 26th November she would be visiting with Stacey to fill her in on that meeting. She also stressed that the SS assessment is ongoing. [Spiv seems to think that Nicole’s 20/11/14 report would be the only one from Nicole and that would be the end of SS involvement.]

Spiv continues: “Now, as I wrote a moment or two ago, you will have notice that I have highlighted the “start date” for the assessment and since that “start date” is the 30th of July, I find it extremely concerning that Miles could begin an assessment on the day BEFORE I was even arrested for the first time on the 31st of July, which was for the misdemeanor of harassment – well suspicion of actually – and not as is clearly stated on the page, that a referral had been made because I was allegedly found to have “indecent images” on my computers, which were some fucking how discovered by the investigating police... A day before they had even stolen the bastard things to examine.

Now fuck me, that is brilliant work by anyone’s standard of police investigating.

After all, since the police didn’t steal my computers until a day later, they then could not have possibly known what was on them and despite what Miles is implying, I wasn’t actually arrested for the alleged “indecent

images” until the 6th of October – over two months after my trumped up, illegal arrest for suspicion of harassment on the 31st of July... Very, very suspect indeed, would you not agree?”

Spiv also says: “Indeed, the referral to the SS was due to the plod alleging that my place was untidy and Clayton had dirty sheets on his cot... Which again, they somehow spookily knew on the day before they had so much as clapped eyes on the inside of my home and in particular, the current state at the time of Clayton’s cot bedding... It’s a fucking good job that I am not paranoid!”

**SPIV IS THE ONE GETTING HIS FACTS WRONG. THE IDIOT WAS ARRESTED ON 30TH JULY!!! HE SAID SO HIMSELF; AND THAT WAS THE CASE ALL ALONG UNTIL HIS ‘DEAR JIM’ LETTER ON 7/11/14, WHEREBY HE SLIPPED UP AND STATED IT WAS THE 31ST JULY. SINCE THEN HE HAS BEEN SAYING THAT HE WAS ARRESTED ON 31ST JULY. THE POLICE AND SS HAVE IT DOCUMENTED AS 30TH JULY.**

**UNDER GUIDANCE [ORDERS] FROM SPIV, STACEY STRESSES TO NICOLE ON THE PHONE THAT SPIV WAS ARRESTED ON 31ST JULY. NICOLE EXPLAINS THAT IT WAS SHOWING ON THE SS SYSTEM THAT THE REFERRAL WAS RECEIVED ON 30/7/14. SHE SAYS THAT SS HAD RECEIVED AN EMAIL FROM THE POLICE SAYING THEY’D ATTENDED EARLY THAT MORNING. JUST BECAUSE NICOLE COMMENTS THAT THE DATE IS GENERATED; THAT SHE DIDN’T INPUT THAT DATE [and why would she have done when, as she says, she DIDN’T GET THE REFERRAL UNTIL 1/8/14?] SPIV JUMPS ON THAT TO ACCUSE HER OF TRYING TO SHIFT THE BLAME FOR HER ‘MISTAKE’ ELSEWHERE. More on this below.**

As for his comment that Miles is implying that his first arrest was for the indecent images, she doesn’t imply anything and he has not shown that she does. **HE IS THE ONE MAKING IMPLICATIONS.** Of course without being able to see the whole report we are having to resort to guessing games. From the documentation he has shown us it is clear that a referral was made to SS on 30/7/14 after his first arrest, SS’ involvement terminated on 27/8/14 and was then reinstated after his second arrest. We hear Nicole explaining clearly to Stacey on the phone that their current involvement is in connection with the indecent images allegation [which BTW we learn from Spiv’s 26/11/14 post are graded at levels 1-3 on a scale of 5 with 5 being the worst] and nothing to do with the harassment claims.

Look how the thoroughly disgusting filthy lying scumbag Spiv tries to twist Nicole's report to try and paint her in a bad light. Here's more. He says: "I mean, surely if my arrest for the indecent images on the 6th of October was the reason for the assessment – which Miles insists it was as you will hear her clearly state in the recorded phone calls – then surely the start date should have been stated as the 6th of October?"

Nicole almost certainly does state that SS received another referral from the police on 6/10/14. Since we are prevented from seeing the whole report, we are severely disadvantaged and unable to judge definitively on whether or not Nicole, Julie Robinson or any other social worker are up to the job/guilty of any wrongdoing. Spiv has absolutely NOT proved anything of the sort. The evidence is that HE is the one behaving despicably.

**IN ORDER FOR SPIV TO PROVE HIS ALLEGATIONS AGAINST SS HE NEEDS TO PUBLISH THE FULL 9 PAGE REPORT. WHY DOESN'T HE? WHAT IS HE TRYING TO HIDE?**

If he's going to have a public pop at the social workers, he can't expect public sympathy and support if he's going to be selective about which parts of their report he allows us to see. It is only right and proper that he publish the full report, as we need to see the full picture. Otherwise it's a one-sided war. Only bullies engage in such wars.

In his Point 2 he again shows us a tiny part of a page where it is stated: "Chris refused to allow Stacey to sign the agreement to share information form. When spoken to about her consent Stacey shared Clayton has never been to the GP or hospital and the H/V does not have any concerns re Clayton."

Spiv responds: "It is however correct that Stacey did not give her consent for Miles to contact other agencies. After all, what the sly bitches wanted to do was to look at Stacey and Clayton's medical records to see if they could twist anything contained within them to their advantage..."

As for the part that I have highlighted in the comment, well it deliberately reads like I am dominating Stacey – something which, if you know Stacey you will know straight away to be total, total bollocks. **NOBODY BUT NOBODY** dominates Stacey... **FACT.**

It is however true that I told her not to sign the consent forms allowing the SS to snoop, but if Stacey had disagreed with me she would have had no qualms whatsoever in telling me to “fuck right off” and signed the form anyway.

However, this is a common tactic used by the SS. You see, they use the old ‘dominated by another’ ploy as a reason to cast doubt on someone’s ability to care for their child... Fucking snide arse-holes.”

It’s a bit rich for him to accuse SS of twisting, of using tactics and of being snide! As for Spiv dominating Spacey, that is absolutely 100% provably true. You can even hear him in the background of the shorter phone conversation getting his oar in when she is talking to her social worker. The funny thing is the egomaniac Spiv actually believes those taped phone calls support his bullshit. Well to anyone who is not paying attention and is easily fooled, they probably do. But to anyone who has some experience of Masonic speak, they’ve actually achieved the opposite and further exposed him as the all-controlling self-centred bully he is. One thing I picked up on is that Stacey makes a point of saying “I believe”. It doesn’t sound natural, which suggests Spiv has told her to say that to make it appear that what she says is coming from her. She’s not a bad actress, but she’s not that good. I can’t imagine the damage Spiv is doing to her mental health; poor girl. It is clear from Spiv’s heavy prompting in the background who is in the driving seat.

Another obvious sign that he is totally dominating is that Stacey makes a point of saying she “wants it in writing” when there are to be meetings with others, such as her College, Health Visitor... She asks why no-one had written to her already re these meetings. It’s obvious Spiv is telling her to say all this and that there was no reason for her to say it as she was already getting notified in writing. This is confirmed, ironically, in his other ‘points’ whereby we see that Stacey was already getting notifications and letters but that she was non-contactable. If she chooses to ignore her phone messages or if she wants to use a faulty phone [we later learn that she was unable to retrieve her voicemails ...] that’s her look out.

As for consent, read on.

Spiv shows us another part page image and says: “And straight away you will have noticed that it states that the date of the plod’s referral is the 1st of October.”

Again, he is deliberately misleading as he has photographed the section in such a way as to appear to support his assertion. Without having access to the full report, or even that page, we cannot know what Nicole Miles has typed up in connection with the 1st October date. It does not state that the date of the referral to SS is 1/10/14. This point wasn't raised by Stacey over the phone.

Spiv also says: "However, despite Miles listing the 2nd referral as starting on the 1st of October – although as you will hear on the recorded conversations below, she tries to imply that someone else is responsible for the mistake in HER assessment – I wasn't in fact actually arrested for the 2nd time until the 6th of October .

Now does anyone here still think that this is not a joint stitch up on behalf of the SS and the plod, carried out with a view to stealing a perfectly happy, healthy and much-loved little boy from his mother and grandfather, just so as he can be farmed out and faced with unimaginable horrors solely because his grandad has exposed beyond all doubt the fact that the British government are nothing more than a bunch of perverted, sadistic, murdering gangsters whom without a shadow of doubt were instrumental in faking the murder of Lee Rigby?"

As for his accusation that Nicole tries to imply someone else is responsible for the 'mistake', he is presumably referring to the part of the recording [around 11 mins] where Nicole is confused about Spiv's assertion that he was arrested on 31/7/14. That point I've covered already.

Spiv also says: "whilst then slyly stating that the reason for Clay not having had his jabs is because: "Stacey does not think that children need immunisations", thus making her sound at best backwards or semi-retarded.

Yet once again this is a DELIBERATELY misleading distortion of the truth, which can easily be proved by watching the film footage of our meetings with the SS all done so quite openly by Wolfie."

The social worker simply states: "Clayton has not had any immunisations, this has been explored with Stacey who does not think children need immunisations." What on earth is wrong with that? What else could she write? It is absolutely not a deliberate misleading distortion of the truth.

**CHRIS SPIVEY, THERE'S NO POINT YOU CONTINUALLY BRAGGING ABOUT ALL THIS RED HOT FILM FOOTAGE YOU HAVE; SHOW IT TO US. PROVE YOU ARE NOT A SCHEMING DEVIOUS UTTER SCUM-OF-THE-EARTH LIAR OF THE HIGHEST ORDER.**

He continues with his ridiculous nitpicking and efforts to distort saying: "Now remember, Stacey hadn't granted permission for Miles to speak with our doctors. Never the less, Miles then writes... Well you can read what she writes for yourself in the photo above, with the implication being that Stacey had said that Clayton had never been to the doctors, with Miles hoping to get away with the blatant lie by stating immediately prior to this untruth that Stacey had said that Clayton had never been to the hospital – which was indeed true because he hasn't – in the hope that no one would make the distinction in difference between a hospital and a doctors surgery... Do you see how these insidious, scheming, cunning, very useful idiots work now?"

What had Nicole Miles actually reported? "Stacey has shared Clayton has never been to the hospital and therefore is a healthy child. Information has been gathered from the GP on 28 October 2014 who shared the following information ... [normal childhood illness]." WTF is Spiv complaining about?

Take a look at the absolute tommy rot he comes out with next: "Therefore, unless any judge reading this tissue of lies had been privy to the conversation regarding Clays medical history, or had read the assessment extremely carefully, he or she could very easily mistake Miles's wording as implying that Stacey had lied about Clayton's health." If you read what Nicole states you will see that is an outrageous perversion of truth. Chris Spivey shame on you.

**WHY DON'T YOU PUBLISH THE FULL REPORT CHRIS SPIVEY SO THAT WE CAN SEE WHAT THE SOCIAL WORKERS KNOW ABOUT YOU THAT CONCERNS THEM.**

He continues: "And even then Miles isn't done with laying the groundwork for Clayton to be snatched at a later date, since as you can see for yourselves, she then brings up Clayton's health visitor, who I have stated many times in the past gets on very, very well with me.

However, instead of writing that Evelyn (the health visitor) was full of praise for Myself and Stacey and had no concerns whatsoever about Clayton – which is the reason that she told me on the phone that she

had refused to take part in this stitch up and as such refused to accompany Nicole Miles on a home visit to ours after which the case was closed as evidenced in the letter above and whom we are now told has rather suspiciously moved jobs – Miles instead focuses on smoking, which she then stokes the flames further by stating that the smoking issue was addressed by herself yet which is in reality totally fucking irrelevant, since the last time that I looked it was not against the law to even smoke whilst reading your child a bedtime story if you are that way inclined.”

Well there is no evidence that Evelyn refers to it as a ‘stitch up’ and Miles is duty bound to report on what she has been told by other professionals, such as the H/V. She reports: “Evelyn has spoken to Stacey and Chris regarding smoking in the property and the health risks to Clayton, they informed Evelyn they smoked outside the property ... The amount of smoking in the property was addressed again with Stacey and Chris.” It would appear that Spivey is lying when he says he doesn’t smoke in the house. Clearly he does - to such an extent that it is obvious and is a concern to the child protection team.

Spiv also says: “And the final point that I want to make here is the fact that Clayton’s dad is not called Aaron. It never has been and never will be. Neither is that the only name that Miles has got wrong, since she refers to Stacey’s boyfriend in her assessment as having the surname of ‘Slow’.

Yet whilst that may very well be a character trait in Bradley, it certainly is not his surname... Although I best point out to Bradley that I am only joking.

Course in all seriousness, accuracy does not figure highly in these monumentally important, SS assessments which have the potential to heap abject life-changing misery on both parents and child.”

Again, we only have the words of Chris LieAlot Spivey to go on re whether or not Nicole made mistakes with names. However to criticise SS about accuracy when I have pointed out umpteen inaccuracies of Spiv’s is just jaw-dropping.

In his Point 3 he publishes a snippet of info under ‘Child Protection’. The question asked is: “Is this assessment being undertaken as part of a S47 investigation?” The answer is “Yes”.

Spiv says: “Now I will remind you that every single meeting that we have had with the SS has been filmed by Wolfie, including the meeting in question obviously, and as such everything that I am telling you now can be irrefutably evidenced.

Therefore, when we were informed at that meeting with the SS on the 27th of October about this previously unmentioned meeting that had taken place between the SS & the police on the Friday before, we obviously registered our concern at the fact that the SS had wanted to raise the assessment to a Section 47 (protection

And indeed, in Sue’s presence, Julie Robinson tried to make light of the fact, stating that they had only done so because Stacey had gone to hospital on the night following their last visit.

Now you may remember that Stacey had gone to the hospital – because it was late and the doctors was closed – due entirely to the stress that the SS were putting her under of which I wrote about in my article “The World Is Yours” on the 15th of October.”

As for the so-called ‘unmentioned’ meeting on the ‘Friday before’ i.e. on the 24/10/14 Spiv LIES AGAIN. In his ‘Part 5’ [more on this below] he publishes from Nicole’s report whereby we can see that on “17/10/14: SEVERAL ATTEMPTS WERE MADE TO CALL STACEY BUT THE PHONE WENT TO VOICEMAIL. A VOICEMAIL WAS LEFT ASKING STACEY TO CALL BACK.

21/10/14: DUE TO BEING UNABLE TO CONTACT STACEY BY TELEPHONE A LETTER WAS SENT ARRANGING A VISIT TO BE UNDERTAKEN ON 24 OCTOBER 2014.”

Incidentally also on this part of the documentation that Spiv allows us to see, it is reported that the social workers said they do not consent to be filmed. I don’t think they need worry about Spiv’s footage of them ever seeing the light of day.

**WHY DON'T YOU PROVE ME WRONG CHRIS SPIVEY AND SHOW US THESE VIDEOS OF THE SOCIAL WORKERS?**

As for ‘section 47’ and the ref to Stacey’s hospital admission, more on that below.

In his Point 4 he shows us another snippet of info from Nicole Miles’

report – the ‘Summary and conclusion of the Section 47 investigation’. It is stated: “Following the referral being received regarding allegations of Chris possessing indecent images of children an assessment regarding Clayton began under S17. A management decision was made for a STRATEGY MEETING TO BE HELD FOLLOWING STACEY NOT GIVING HER CONSENT FOR INFORMATION TO BE GATHERED and concerns being raised when Chris posted a blog on his website saying that Stacey had been taken into hospital and that Clayton was left in his care. Contact could not be made with Stacey to ascertain the context of her hospital attendance.

A strategy meeting was held on 21 October where information was shared between the Police, Social Care, Stacey’s College and the Health Visitor. The outcome of the strategy meeting was that Clayton was not deemed to be at risk of or suffering from significant harm. A review strategy meeting was arranged for 24 November 2014 so all professionals could share information and the outcome of the Police investigation would be shared. Chris was bailed until 19 November 2014 for the allegations of possession of indecent images of children. Chris has been re-bailed until 18 January 2015.”

REWIND TO WHAT SPIV HAD SAID IN HIS 9/11/14 POST: “JUST OVER A FORTNIGHT AGO – MILES AND HER BOSS WERE HOLDING MEETINGS BEHIND OUR BACKS WITH THE SOUTHEND PLOD, WITH A VIEW TO MAKING CLAYTON A SECTION 47 PROTECTION CASE. INDEED, IT WAS ONLY WHEN THE PLOD ADVISED AGAINST IT THAT THE SOCIAL WORKERS BACKED DOWN.” WE NOW KNOW THAT STACEY HAD BEEN NON CONTACTABLE. So, here is more evidence of Spiv’s plain LIES. The meetings were not clandestine; Stacey and Spiv had been kept fully informed of everything, including the STRATEGY meetings. Clearly, far from the social workers ‘backing down’, they and the other professionals present, including the police, were sufficiently concerned about Clay that they elevated their involvement to a Section 47 investigation.

Spiv continues: “Now, where the fuck does it say in the above photo that the case HAS been raised to a Section 47?”

It obviously doesn’t – but as you can also see, the comment is filed under the Section 47 part of the assessment form.

So, why the fuck was the comment made there when the details make no mention whatsoever of Clayton’s case actually having been raised to

## a Section 47.”

Spiv is only showing us the summary and conclusion; the info leading up to that is censored by Spiv. If he allowed us access to the whole report we'd probably find the answers to all his questions and comments. In the meantime we're back to guessing games. The social workers were obviously [and rightly so] concerned that Stacey had not given consent for information to be gathered. Straightaway that raises the red flags.

Since it is Nicole's job to investigate, she can't do it with one hand tied behind her back. That coupled with the fact Stacey had been admitted to hospital [at 10.15pm on 15/10/14] such that Clay was left in the care of his grandfather who is allegedly into kiddie fiddling led to Nicole trying to contact Stacey to find out more re her hospital attendance. Since Stacey was not contactable – her phone went to voice mail and she didn't call back - there was no other option than to call a strategy meeting, whereupon the assessment became a S47. This is clearly explained to Stacey over the phone.

It is interesting to note that Spiv states in his 19/10/14 post: “[Now, whether the social worker rang Stacey or not as arranged isn't known since she has got a message on her voice-mail which she can't retrieve.](#)”

That's a bit of an odd thing to say. The sly git is probably going to use that point to justify his claims of the 'injustice' of the assessment shifting up a gear to a S47. Supposing you found yourself in Stacey's shoes, whereby you are being assessed by a social worker who has the power to turn your life upside down. Common sense dictates that you would want to show yourself in a good light; you certainly wouldn't have a blasé attitude. Now, you're expecting a call from the social worker; you have a message on your voicemail that you can't retrieve, which could well be from said social worker, wouldn't you call him or her yourself, just to check? I sure as hell would. The last thing I would be doing is giving off an immature 'couldn't care less/haughty' attitude. In any case Nicole tried to contact Stacey on the day of the strategy meeting, but Stacey's phone must have still been dodgy.

If Spiv was this person he pretends to be and actually cared about Stacey and Clay he would not in a million years advise her to refuse consent to information sharing. If you have nothing to hide you are open and frank with the people who have the power to remove your kids. You do not declare war on them like Spivey is doing with his public antagonism. When you are facing the real risk that your child could be taken away, you co-operate fully. The truth is Spiv is controlling Stacey for his own insidious ends. He alone has caused all this stress and

uncertainty for Stacey.

He even has the diabolical nerve to blame the authorities for Stacey having to quit college. He says in his 24/11/14 post: “That is two years of hard work down the pan for Stacey and the end of any hope of a career in social care... Yet as I say, she is the one suffering most because of the wholly corrupt authorities.”

Moving on; he says: “In fact, you have to query why the fuck that ‘strategy meeting’ on the 24th of November still went ahead at all since Miles had already submitted the completed assessment on the 20th... If indeed the meeting which Miles described to Stacey as being “very short” did in fact actually take place.”

And: “After all, we only have the word of the habitual liar Miles, to say it did and at that point in time, she was still desperately trying to manipulate us into believing that her assessment had to be completed by the 26th of November – hence the reason that she told Stacey that the meeting she had arranged with us for the 26th HAD to take place at all costs that day.”

Nicole Miles explained clearly on the phone that the SS assessment is ongoing. The meeting on 24/11/14 was to determine if there was any new information, especially from the police. In Spiv’s ‘Points 7 & 8’ we see that the 26/11/14 meeting was arranged with Stacey to discuss the outcome of the strategy meeting. Once again far from Nicole being the ‘habitual liar’ who is ‘desperately trying to manipulate’ it is clear who the dirty scheming twisting desperate manipulator is – CHRISTOPHER SPIVEY.

He says: “it still absolutely stinks of corruption, dishonesty, manipulation, subterfuge and spite.”

As said, on the contrary; HE is the one guilty of nitpicking at best to outrageous slanderous insults against the social workers at worst.

He says: “she must have known without a shadow of doubt that hardly any of the dates in HER assessment corresponded with the actual dates that the events took place on, yet she has tried to make out to us that they do in order to try and give us the illusion that she is acting with integrity and I am absolutely fucking fuming because this is my sweet and innocent grandsons future, that this dishonest, beyond contempt cunt, is fucking around with.”

This man is a breathtakingly loathsome creature.

He continues: “You will also see that Miles knew that my bail had been extended before the strategy meeting with the police on the 24th of November, hence how she was able to include that fact in the final assessment that she submitted on the 20th of November. After all, she has clearly stated that the purpose of the meeting on the 24th was to find out the outcome of the police investigation.”

HER JOB IS TO FIND OUT THE OUTCOME OF THE POLICE INVESTIGATION. THAT IMPACTS GREATLY ON WHAT HER FINAL RECOMMENDATIONS ARE RE CLAY.

He adds: “Therefore, since all calls to and from the SS department are recorded, Miles HAD to add that information to the final assessment as she would not be able to deny that she hadn’t been told about the bail extension when they eventually make their move to obtain a care order for Clayton via the courts.”

If SS’ intention is to obtain a care order, Spiv isn’t showing us the evidence for it.

He continues: “You will note in fact, that Miles clearly makes no mention of how she received that information. Therefore, any judge reading that assessment will obviously presume that Clayton was a Section 47 assessment when that is not the case at all, as is evidenced by Julie Robinson (Miles’s boss) who can be clearly seen and heard telling my friend Sue, the Social Worker – present at our meeting on the 27th of October on the film footage Wolfie had taken of that entire meeting – that Clayton’s case HAD NOT been raised to a Section 47, following the information given over by the police at their clandestine meeting on the 21st of October.”

FROM THE SNIPPETS OF INFO HE DOES PROVIDE AND FROM NICOLE’S PHONE CLARIFICATION WE SEE THAT MANAGEMENT DECIDED IT WAS NECESSARY TO HAVE A STRATEGY MEETING, WHICH TOOK PLACE ON 21/10/14. IT WAS AGREED THAT INFORMATION SHARING WAS NEEDED, THUS THE ASSESSMENT WAS ELEVATED TO A SECTION 47, WHEREBY CHECKS COULD BE MADE WITHOUT PARENTAL CONSENT.

IF HE LET US READ THE FULL REPORT I AM SURE WE WOULD

FIND THAT NICOLE MILES HAS DOCUMENTED CORRECTLY WHAT HAPPENED AND WHEN. AS FOR WHAT JULIE ROBINSON CAN 'CLEARLY BE SEEN AND HEARD' DOING ON WOLFIE'S FILM FOOTAGE, FAT LOT OF GOOD THAT'LL DO WHILST HE REFUSES TO SHOW US!!!

In Point 5 he shows us another small section of a page whereby it is stated:

"7 October 2014: Unannounced visit was undertaken jointly with Kelsey Wicks, Student Social Worker. On arrival the front door was boarded so a telephone call was made to Stacey's mobile. A visit was arranged to meet with Stacey on 8 October 2014.

8 October 2014: Assessment visit was undertaken jointly with Kelsey Wicks, Student Social Worker. Stacey, Clayton and Chris were all present during the visit. A visit was arranged for 9 October 2014 so the Social Worker could gather further information from the Police in relation to the alleged offence.

9 October 2014: Telephone call was made to Stacey to let her know we would be unable to obtain the information required from the Police until tomorrow. The visit which had been planned was re-arranged to 14 October 2014.

14 October 2014: Assessment visit was undertaken jointly with Lorraine Pennington, Duty Senior. At the beginning of the visit we informed Stacey and Chris we did not give consent to be filmed. Chris instructed her would still film as it is his home and his right to do this. Stacey, Clayton and Chris were all present during the visit. Upon request to speak to Stacey alone Chris went into the back garden; a window was open where Chris would have been able to hear the conversation and see through the glass door and window. The agreement to share information form was discussed with Stacey. Whilst having the discussion Chris came back into the property and told Stacey she was not to agree to her consent being provided. Chris left the lounge and went into the hallway of the property. Information was gathered from Stacey for the assessment and Clayton was observed during the visit.

17 October 2014: Several attempts were made to call Stacey but the phone went to voicemail. A voicemail was left asking Stacey to call back.

21 October 2014: Due to being unable to contact Stacey by telephone a letter was sent arranging a visit to be undertaken on 24 October 2014."

As regards their first visit after his second arrest he says: "I mean, obviously we now know that the time line for submitting the assessment would have elapsed by then being as the Police referral was inexplicably

made to the SS on the 1st of October, but which wouldn't have done had the Plod made the referral on the 6th of October, when I was ACTUALLY arrested – a fact that Miles has chosen not to divulge to us throughout all of our conversations since our first meeting on the 8th of October following my 2nd arrest two days earlier, even though she MUST have known that by the 8th of October, that referral had by then been 8 days old – unless of course she is an illiterate proper half-wit.”

Spiv stresses this time-line 'point', saying: “Miles really does not want us to cotton on to here is the all, extremely important issue of the actual number of working days that there are between the 1st of October and the 20th of November... There is in fact 35 days exactly.

And why is that significant?

Well, basically because the law states that a social worker has a MAXIMUM of 35 days to submit an assessment – hence Miles submitting her assessment on the 20th of November.”

I don't know if he's pulling our leg about the 35 day rule. Point is SS involvement with the Spivey family is ongoing, so there will be more than one report.

We can see from Miles' report that she attempted to visit on the 7th Oct, but was not able to gain entry. Spiv says: “I will remind you that the plod who kicked down my door – which incidentally is still not fixed – took great delight in telling Stacey that the SS would be round “tomorrow”. Likewise the cretin who interviewed me at the nick also took great delight in telling me that the SS would be round “tomorrow”, so Miles visit was hardly “unannounced” was it?”

Well of course it was 'unannounced' as an appointment had not been made! When Spiv is reduced to this type of nitpicking of 'officers of the State', it is plain obvious that he hasn't got anything on them whatsoever.

Spiv continues: “Miles then makes mention of the visit on the 8th of October, but you will note that she doesn't mention the lies that she told me that day, or the fact that she and her trainee partner in crime only left after I had found out that Miles hadn't a clue as to what the “indecent images” consisted of and as such asked her how she could possibly begin an assessment when she clearly hadn't a Scooby of what she was dealing with... Never the less, it is all evidenced on film.”

WELL IT'S NO GOOD YOU TELLING US ABOUT ALL THIS DAMNING EVIDENCE YOU HAVE OF THE SOCIAL WORKERS – ALL CAPTURED ON CAMERA - IF YOU WON'T SHARE IT WITH US.

In Point 6 he shows us another sliver of information: “Stacey reports the allegations regarding her father possessing the indecent images of children are false allegations. Stacey appears to be unable to consider what risk Chris could pose to Clayton if he is charged and convicted with the offence.

Although Stacey does not believe the allegations are true Stacey gave up her College place following conversations regarding if she would leave Clayton in the care of her father unsupervised. When Stacey was attending College Clayton was cared for by either Chris or one of her friends. Stacey has visited two nurseries and did not like them so has made a decision for Clayton not to attend nursery until he is approximately 4 years old and can attend pre-school.”

Spiv retorts: “Stacey fully understands the risk that I would instantly pose if I was to be convicted – although you will note that Miles already has me written down as being guilty if I am so much as “charged”.

After all, if I am convicted then I will be done so by a Kangaroo caught, and if that happens Stacey knows only too well that the risk will come solely from the evil, wholly corrupt Social Services.”

Miles clearly says “charged and convicted”, not just charged as Spiv says. As for a Kangaroo caught [I think he means court] the only people subjected to those are the genuine victims of freemasonry. Spiv is not a victim, he is a friend of the freemasons and is protected by them.

He continues: “And when all is said and done, even if I was convicted of having “indecent images” – which I cannot stress enough were planted on my illegally stolen computers – they would have only been there because I write about the abhorrent stuff, and certainly not out of any lust for having sex with little boys – therefore even in the worst case scenario, being convicted does not make me a paedophile monster who is about to molest Clayton.

Therefore, this information has once again only been added to in order to use as ammunition against Stacey when they attempt to steal Clayton at a later date... These dangerous, psycho cunt's really have to go.”

Nicole Miles is clearly simply trying to get Stacey to understand the gravity of the situation she is in whilst she continues to live, with Clay under Spiv's roof, should he be convicted. Nicole is, rightly, warning Stacey for her own good. Spiv has no grounds to boot off about that.

Far from the social workers being "dangerous, psycho cunts", Spiv is aptly describing himself. All shills and all evildoers accuse their victims of being exactly what they themselves are, and of doing exactly what they themselves are guilty of.

In Points 7 & 8 he publishes another small portion of a page which states: "Stacey's fear is that Clayton will be removed from her care.

Stacey at times becomes very emotional. Stacey receives support from family members and her boyfriend regarding how she is feeling regarding the current situation. Stacey needs this on-going support from her family and friends to stabilise her emotional well-being and ensure she looks after herself.

Chris was bailed to return to the Police Station on 19 November 2014 in relation to allegations of possessing indecent images of children on his PC. The bail date has been changed and Chris has been re-bailed to 18 January 2015. Chris currently does not have any bail conditions and has not been charged for the alleged offences. It is unknown if the Police have enough evidence to charge Chris and if the charge will result in a conviction.

A strategy meeting has been arranged for Monday 24 November 2014 so professionals can share information. As an outcome of the strategy meeting a plan will be formulated regarding how professionals can continue to work with the family to support Clayton in remaining safe within the home environment.

A visit has been arranged to meet with Stacey on Wednesday 26 November to share the outcome of the strategy meeting."

Spiv replies: "Okay, on point 7 you will notice that Miles has hinted that Stacey is an emotional wreck – which she certainly is not.

Moreover, she does not need on-going support from "her family" and indeed she has never sought it out. However, the implication there is that Stacey is not strong enough to look after herself away from me, and indeed would go to pot if I was convicted."

Miles doesn't hint about Stacey's emotional disposition; she simply reports as she finds. Again without being privy to the whole report, we don't know what the social workers know that we don't. Why doesn't Spiv publish it in full ... to level the playing field?

As for support from family, Nicole simply reminds Stacey that she had told SS about the support that she had from her family, such as her brother and her nan. When Stacey makes it clear that she doesn't need any emotional support, Nicole makes a note of it and tells Stacey that her views will be documented. What more could Nicole do?

As for "going to pot" Nicole is speaking in the here and now. It is not surprising Stacey is falling apart. Who the hell would want to live with a control freak of a father like Spivey? He humiliates her, he speaks for her; she has to lie for him and keep his secrets... And for her loyalty she could very well lose her son. The best outcome for Stacey would be for her father to get sent down. She will then have the space she needs to wean herself off her domineering, dangerous, psychopathic father and can then grow up - become independent, stand up to her father and protect her child.

In Point 9 he says: "As you can see, Point 9 is just proof that Miles submitted the assessment on the 20th of November – a fact that she neglected to tell us about at any point since and which would have gone unnoticed if I hadn't carefully read this contrived, amateurish, piece of old drivel that she is trying to tout as being a professional assessment.

Indeed Stacey – who read the copy of the assessment before me – did not pick up on the date anomalies or many of the other points that I have raised, which only goes to reinforce all the more what I stated earlier about Miles & Co wanting me out-of-the-way whilst they were talking to Stacey for reasons that were less than honourable – along with my contention that it is only right and proper that people less clued up on how these sick fucks work are entitled to have someone present to keep an eye on things and advise against bad decisions.

Course, in SS talk that equates to domination."

Stacey didn't pick up on the so-called anomalies because there aren't any. She read the whole report and can see it does not represent the picture Spiv paints and desperately wants the public to believe. Spiv has made things up, told outright lies and has spun a version of events that is far removed from the truth. At first glance he seems believable –

anyone who is a genuine victim of the Masonic mafia in the family court system knows that the sort of points Spiv raises do actually happen.

The social workers want Spiv out of the way because they want to speak to Clay's mother, not Spiv's puppet. They can see that Spiv behaves as if he's the father, not the grandfather – they can see that Stacey is intimidated and cannot speak for herself whilst her overbearing father is present. As for his comment that he is needed to keep an eye on things, what he means is that he can't allow Stacey free reign because he is worried that she might [a] wake up and smell the roses or [b] slip up and drop him in it.

His point about the importance of being clued up to how these “[sick fucks work](#)” again only apply to genuine victims of the Masonic mafia.

In Point 10 he publishes another small section of a page of the report. Yes or No answers are required under various ‘Abuse or neglect’ headings, whereby it is stated: “[Concern that services may be required or the child may be suffering or likely to suffer significant harm due to abuse or neglect.](#)” ‘No’ is the answer under ‘Neglect’, ‘Emotional Abuse’ and ‘Physical Abuse’. ‘Yes’ is the answer under ‘Sexual Abuse’.

He blasts: “[After all, with Clay having spent 548 days to date on this earth, with no harm coming to him whatsoever, Nicole Miles, having now disregarded absolutely everything that she has been told, from my life story right down to the strength of police evidence against me – or lack of it – has then subsequently decided that in her PROFESSIONAL opinion, Clayton is either suffering from or is LIKELY to suffer from, me sexually abusing him... Man that woman is one sick fuck and I make no secret of the fact that I am after getting her fired and if possible I also hope to prosecute her for every penny she has and every penny she earns afterwards, along with the other reprobates involved in this sordid agenda.](#)”

Nicole has no option but to tick ‘Yes’ in that box. Whilst the police investigation is incomplete she is duty bound to err on the side of caution. She explains over the phone that indecent images of children are images of abused children and that they need to find out if there is any risk to Clayton i.e. if any sexual abuse has happened, is happening or could happen to Clay.

As for his threats against Nicole, and all the other ‘reprobates’, what a thoroughly obnoxious pathetic little man he is.

Also: “Indeed I believe that it is now crystal clear, even to those who don’t know me personally but have spent a bit of time reading my stuff, that I would never harm a hair on Clayton’s head.”

Isn’t that the type of thing all paedophiles say?

In his Point 11 he shows us a teeny weeny snippet of info re names and says: “This is the final point and as you can see lists the people who Miles thinks that the assessment should be discussed with.

The third person on the list is Clayton’s dad who has never so much as clapped eyes on his son. Neither is he named on Clay’s birth certificate, and is someone whom Stacey specifically stated that she did not want involved with this nightmare in any way shape or form.

Indeed, Stacey was reluctant to even name him to Miles and only did so once she had assurances that he would not be contacted.

But then again there is nothing like a bit of double-crossing to instil a victim with confidence is there?

Yet like you will hear Stacey tell Miles shortly in the recorded phone conversations, they only have her word for it that he is Clays dad and for all they know, she could just as easily be lying.”

Obviously we don’t know where Clay’s dad fits in. Over the phone Stacey confronts Nicole on this point and, reading from the report, says “Harry **MAYBE** father”. So it is not even stated definitively that Harry NO SURNAME is the father. I.e. there is no revealing info on that report about Clay’s father to justify any anger or generate a ‘point’ requiring redress. Nicole explains that she wrote that on her report to update the system, as that was the name given her by Stacey. She also acknowledges that the father does not have any contact with Clay and therefore SS would not be contacting him. Nothing wrong with that.

Also when Stacey asks for his name to be removed from the SS system, Nicole agrees her request. Nicole is behaving reasonably and properly. There is no evidence to support any of Spiv’s vicious allegations of impropriety.

In any case who in their right mind would lie about such a thing – to a social worker of all people, the very person who is doing an assessment on you and your suitability as a parent??? Stacey is an utter fool to

listen to and parrot her truly evil father. Does she not have a mind of her own at all? The social workers probably think Stacey and Spiv have a few loose screws. Spiv really is scraping the barrel, especially with his last point; so desperate is he to paint the social workers as 'monsters from hell' in a pathetic attempt to garner public sympathy.

He also says: “Indeed, it will not take you very long at all to work out that Miles – having been caught out submitting a report designed to pave the way for stealing yet another child – is trying her hardest to think on her feet... Which is extremely embarrassing to hear from someone lacking the basic equipment to do so.

Never the less, Miles can have no real issues with the phone calls having been recorded because they certainly would have been doing the same at her end and to be honest, I couldn't give a fuck if she does have a problem.

After all, if she had been doing her job professionally, and acting with the utmost honesty and integrity as all Social Workers should given the nature of their job, then I would never have needed to write this or record anything.

And whilst I will say that Stacey did an excellent job of putting Miles on the spot – who as you will hear was that flustered that she did not even give Stacey the courtesy of a “goodbye” when she hung up the phone – it is indeed a fucking good job that it was not my place to challenge Miles on her \*aherm, aherm “Assessment”, because if it had been me, then I would have had her squirming more than a worm in a Crows beak.

You see, I am now sick to fucking death of these fucking insidious harridans, and not just because it is my Clayton who is involved either. I have just simply had enough of the evil bastards and the damage and unbelievable misery that they are inflicting on innocent people on a totally unacceptable daily basis, throughout the country as a whole.”

Nicole Miles wasn't 'put on the spot'. She addresses Stacey's points. She takes on board everything Stacey says. She answers where she can. She records everything and tells Stacey it will be all be documented. Where she isn't sure of something she says she will look into it and get back to Stacey or she will get the manager Julie Robinson to call her.

As for “was that flustered that she did not even give Stacey the Courtesy

of a 'goodbye'" what piffle. On the longer recording both Stacey and Nicole end cordially. On the second recording the conversation is cut stone dead; presumably because what comes next is far too much truth for Spiv's liking.

Spiv is really clutching at straws with these 11 points in his pathetic desperate attempts to try and pull the wool over the public's eyes.

And now his closing point: "Indeed, anyone who doubts my integrity [that's a good un Spiv] need only look for an article that I wrote on here sometime between early to mid 2013 containing a story about a loving grandmother who had her two young grandchildren living with her after the SS had received cast iron proof that the smack addicted father to the eldest child – a boy – was physically and sexually abusing him as well as abusing his younger step daughter in the same way, whilst the children's mother – either incapable or unwilling to stop him – simply turned a blind eye.

Yet to the respectable, loving, law-abiding, grandmother's horror BOTH children were later returned to the couple by a court of law based solely on the RECOMMENDATION of the Social workers involved in the case... And whilst it sounds unbelievable, I can tell you that I was sent all of the documented proof to back the grandmother's claims, which included amongst other things, Social Workers reports and relevant copies of the court orders.

Now, I was so upset and affected by this case that I wrote back to the grandmother informing her that I was willing to publish the story, naming absolutely everyone involved, which would have meant me going to prison.

However, I also pointed out to the grandmother that so would she and as such she should think long and hard about the consequences before giving me the go ahead to publish.

In the end, I never heard back from her again so I took it that she wasn't prepared to go that far, which to be fair, to someone who is a life long law-abiding citizen, the prospect of going to prison having reached the age of 50 odd years old must be quite terrifying.

Whilst on the other hand, prison holds no fear for me at all and I furthermore defy anyone to say that my word is not my bond. So, I can promise you that I would without a doubt have published the full story

backed by solid proof, had the grandmother being willing for me to do so.

Therefore, I will have absolutely no qualms whatsoever of making public all of the taped footage of our meetings with Miles and Co, which I guarantee will interest a whole lot more people than just those who are loyal to me.”

The story of the grandmother is almost certainly NOT true. You cannot believe a word Christopher Shill says. People do not go to prison for telling the truth. When I get round to doing the site update I'll be covering this point about 'demonic' social workers and fake 'victims' who get maximum publicity on all the shill sites.

**SPIV, PLEASE DO MAKE AVAILABLE ALL THE TAPED FOOTAGE OF YOUR MEETINGS WITH THE SOCIAL WORKERS.**

As for the site update on Spiv's troll team - JJ & gang, I'm still beavering away at it, but I doubt whether it'll be done before Christmas as I'm going through an extra busy time now – there's lots going on and I'm doing extra 'paid' work too [have to pay for Christmas ...] Anyway I intend to take a week off from doing this work [or any work] over Crimbo and completely recharge the old batteries. My son Andy [who is a chef] will be, as usual, doing all the meals over the bank hols. I'm looking forward to that - he makes delicious meals. Everyone else will be helping him, washing up etc. I plan to do absolutely F.A. It'll be a luxury not having to listen to that damned alarm clock going off at silly o'clock in the morning ...

The following is a follow up to my last post which I wrote about a week ago in response to Spiv's 2nd Dec post. That post seems to have disappeared. Tom, do you know what happened to it?

So, 'someone' has pointed out to Mr Spivey that he was arrested on 30th July, not 31st! Take a look at his 11/12/14 post to see the ugly twat trying to wriggle his way out of his mountain of LIES:

“Unfortunately, as I say, I got my dates in a right mucking fuddle, which came about having also got it lodged into my brain that the, shhh “secret hidden file”, which led to my 2nd arrest, was allegedly\*aherm, aherm, uploaded onto my stolen computers on the 30th of July at around 3:30 AM – which coincidence of coincidences was – or so I believed – EXACTLY 24 hours prior to three of the 4 arresting Robo-

thugs doing Dog knows what for 90 minutes in my front room.

However, it has since been pointed out to me that I was arrested the first time on the 30th of July and not the 31st, which was indeed the case – when I double checked myself only to discover that the “hidden file” had been planted at 3:30 AM on the 29th of July... But to my credit, at least I knew that the “hidden file” had been planted 24 hours previous to my arrest... C’mon, I need to salvage something don’t !!”

Spiv, stop lying yer fuckin head off. If you were telling the truth, you wouldn’t need to ‘double check’. The only folk having to do that are the spook observers.

As for the “‘hidden file’ had been planted at 3.30 AM on 29th of July”, you’re not getting away with that bullshit either. According to the Spiv.org 10th Oct post: “Suffice to say that I have never seen the sick fuck photos before in my life, that according to Stan Laurel were supposedly downloaded via Firefox onto my computer and put into a “hidden file” on the 29th of July, 2014 at around 3.30 AM – or put another way, exactly 24 hours prior to four thug coppers spending over an hour and a half searching my living room that I nor anyone else was allowed to witness.” SHOW US THE POLICE INTERVIEW RECORDINGS WHEREBY WE CAN HEAR FOR OURSELVES THE INTERVIEWING OFFICER TELLING YOU THAT THE LITTLE BOY IMAGES WERE DOWNLOADED AND PUT INTO A ‘HIDDEN FILE’ ON 29TH JULY ’14.

**AS IF THOSE RECORDINGS WILL EVER SURFACE. SPIV, SHOW US THOSE RECORDINGS AND PROVE ME WRONG. PROVE THEY EVEN EXIST.**

And why did you say [on 9/12/14]: “Despite the fact that I was arrested for such a damning and abhorrent crime, which could have totally discredited me, the police refused and still refuse to reveal the reason why I was arrested that second time”?

THE POLICE BAIL NOTICE SHOWS WHY YOU WERE ARRESTED, YOU DICKWAD. SPIV YOU’RE DOING A GRAND JOB OF DISCREDITING YOURSELF.

And, um, how can you be “fairly sure that Clay is now safe”? Masonic insider knowledge?

As for your comment, “Never the less, that is what the plod confirmed to the press as being the reason for my arrest:

“An Essex Police spokesman said: “A 31-year-old man from Rochford was arrested by Essex Police on suspicion of harassment in the early hours of Wednesday, July 30

“He is at Southend police station helping officers with their enquires.

“The arrest relates to an allegation of harassment via social media, which has been referred to Essex Police by Greater Manchester Police.”

Neither force would confirm nor deny suggestions the alleged harassment could be connected to Fusilier Rigby’s mother Lyn Rigby and step dad Ian Rigby. Both still live in Middleton. Source: Southend Echo

You will note that is a direct quote from the police.” COBBLERS!

WHERE T.F DOES IT SAY THAT? SPIV’S PULLING QUOTES OUT OF THIN AIR! THIS LUMP OF TURD JUST KEEPS MAKING THINGS UP. THEN AGAIN THAT IS NOT SUCH A SURPRISE WHEN THIS WHOLE CHARADE IS MASONIC BULLSHIT. AND WE’RE FRIKKIN PAYING FOR IT, TO BOOT!!! THE ‘ESSEX POLICE’ LINK HE GIVES IS TO THE ECHO ‘NEWS’PAPER. BUT THERE IS NO STORY ON SPIV THERE. THE ONLY STORY ON HIM PRINTED IN THE ECHO IS THIS ONE [http://www.echo-news.co.uk/news/11522369.Controversial\\_blogger\\_arrested\\_for\\_second\\_time/?ref=rss](http://www.echo-news.co.uk/news/11522369.Controversial_blogger_arrested_for_second_time/?ref=rss)

In that article – dated 9/10/14 – is a link to Essex Police which takes you to the same page as the ‘Essex Police’ link above does! More Masonic bluff methinks. Direct quote from the police; my arse. More on this below. Spivey FFS let us hear for ourselves, directly from the police themselves. **SHOW US THE FUCKIN POLICE INTERVIEW TAPES.**

The lying scumbag continues: “After all, the half-witted, snout faced thugs must have already been told exactly what the offending comments were, which had to have amounted to harassment or else they could not legally arrest me – although the corrupt coppers went down the illegal route anyway.”

Hey, smarty pants, stop talking shit. We don’t want to stomach any more of your stinking Masonic BS; you need to PROVE the coppers are corrupt. You have to show us the EVIDENCE that you were illegally arrested - twice. Simply **SHOW US THE POLICE INTERVIEW RECORDINGS.**

And: “I mean, we might be fast coming a Police State but as it stands at

the moment, they cannot arrest you and then steal your computers to look for evidence of you committing a crime that they had no evidence of you committing in order to arrest you in the first place... Geddit?"

Stop trying to sow confusion you Satan serving slimy sack of shit. We're not all dumb arses. And if you're gonna make up a story, make sure you stick to it and get all your Masonic mates who are involved in the sorry saga to stick to it to too.

The desperado continues: "The point is, the plod – corrupt as they are – cannot just arrest a person on suspicion of a crime that they have no evidence to suggest your possible guilt for, just so as they can confiscate your computers just so as they can have a look and see if you have committed any crimes... Yet that is exactly what the corrupt arseholes have done to me... In fact, they even went one better and planted their own illegal content on there."

No "corrupt arseholes" have done anything of the sort, you lying fucktard. You can try and convince us of that as much as you like. YOU HAVE TO PROVE IT – WITH EVIDENCE. **SHOW US THE POLICE TAPES.**

SPIV ONE DAY SOON YOU AND YOUR MASONIC CHUMS ARE GOING TO HAVE A RUDE AWAKENING. And you WILL pay a high price for your disgusting deception. You won't be feeling so cocky then. Exactly tick-tock ... countdown to your place in HELL.

The bloody loathsome git adds: "Never the less, I don't see the useless twat Nicole Miles hurrying around to the disgusting , stinking arsed Robo-thugs homes with her assessment sheet although she fucking ought to have had, because any shit stain on society who has so little disregard for a sleeping baby and the property & privacy of a persons home, must fuck their own kids up the arse at least twice a day... Paedophile protecting scum cunts... Yes and you too Miles."

Oh, I hope and pray Nicole Miles writes her own blog one day. That'd soon wipe Spiv's smug smile clean off his ugly face. If she is reading this and wants to email me, she can rest assured that whatever she tells me will remain strictly confidential.

Notice he refs LOTS of stories of corrupt cops and 'social workers from hell'. He regularly sources the Daily Mail – the 'shit' rag he [rightly] slags off all the time for their story spinning and outright lies. If we actually

looked into these stories we'd probably find that most, if not all, have been heavily spun/completely fabricated to paint a picture to suit the PTB's agenda.

Take for example this source

<http://turtaniat.blogspot.co.uk/2013/09/children-that-have-died-from-social.html> Where is the evidence for these stories? They may be true, partly true, heavily spun or completely fabricated.

Have a read of this one <http://www.dailymail.co.uk/femail/article-2798498/how-police-fitted-vile-sex-crime-knew-hadn-t-says-met-s-gun-girl-suing-malicious-leaks.html> "In fresh employment tribunal papers, seen by The Mail on Sunday, Ms Howard also accuses the Met of maliciously informing Sussex Social Services about an 'indecent image' to spark a child protection investigation." Now there's a familiar story! Point is we are not shown any evidence that this story is true. The Masonic run [all MSN is Masonic controlled] Mail on Sunday might have seen evidence, but we haven't. It could be heavily skewed for all we know or even completely made up. We cannot trust the 'news'papers to bring us the truth.

He talks about the 'case of Jonas' which he writes about

here <http://chrisspivey.org/the-law-fucks-your-children/> The fact that Spiv publishes this story means it should be viewed with a huge dose of scepticism. Further, that it is brought by Maggie Tuttle [who he describes as an 'angel' when she is the exact opposite of that] is another red flag alert. And that it is published on the 'Family Justice Exposed' shill site <http://familyjustice-exposed.com/jonas-a-4-years-old-down-syndrome-child-whos-life-cut-short-in-a-foster-care-home/> is another sign this story is not true. **More on Jonas at the end of my 'Update on Spivey's 'illegal' arrests July 2015 – November 2015' pdf** [http://sharonkilby.co.uk/site/UPDATES\\_ON\\_SPIVEYS\\_ILLEGAL\\_ARRESTS.php](http://sharonkilby.co.uk/site/UPDATES_ON_SPIVEYS_ILLEGAL_ARRESTS.php)

Also in the clique is fellow containment agent Ian Joseph who Spiv heaps praise on: "Another fella to contact if you have had your children stolen or are in danger of having them being taken is Ian Joseph. Ian is a top stand up guy and his website offers invaluable advice."

Notice that the enquiry on the FJE site underneath the story, penned almost a year ago [in January 2014] re any further developments, is ignored!

Following on you have to laugh at what Spiv says next: “And if nothing else, my word is my bond.” Spiv, your bond is with Satan.

Spiv the subversive agitator then says: “Indeed, doctors and nurses are very often also linked to this ‘child trafficking’ and make no mistake, that is exactly what it is.” He then goes on to support his claim, sourcing the Daily Mail for his story, saying: “A leading psychiatrist faces extraordinary claims he deliberately misdiagnosed parents with mental disorders – decisions which meant their children were taken away from them.

Dr George Hibbert faces being struck off over his conclusions that hundreds had ‘personality disorders’ after assessing them at his private family centre.

He was paid hundreds of thousands of pounds by social services for the reports which tore children from their parents – many of them young mothers.

He is now being investigated over shocking suggestions he distorted the assessments to fit the view of social services.

In one case, he is alleged to have wrongly diagnosed a ‘caring’ new mother – named only as Miss A – with bipolar disorder because her local authority wanted the baby adopted. Source”

What Spiv fails to mention is that none of the allegations against Dr Hibbert were proven. See [http://www.mpts-uk.org/static/documents/content/Minutes\\_PUBLISHABLE\\_\(2390846\)\\_February\\_2014.pdf](http://www.mpts-uk.org/static/documents/content/Minutes_PUBLISHABLE_(2390846)_February_2014.pdf)

Spiv then endorses the hideous bullshitter millionaire MP Hemming: “Worse still, we even have MP’s advising parents under the evil eye of the SS to flee the country. John Hemming for instance: Parents who face having their children taken away by social workers should flee abroad rather than go through the ‘unfair’ family courts, an MP has declared.

Controversial families campaigner John Hemming spoke out as evidence emerged suggesting children are being wrongly taken into care – when injuries caused by vitamin deficiency are assumed to be signs of abuse. Source” And the source? ... The Mail Online!!!

Here's another story with 'damning evidence' that Spiv brings to our attention. Hemming again gets in on the ACT: "Judges and social workers have been conspiring to remove children unjustly from their parents, a scathing High Court ruling said today.

It condemned family court judges for a 'clandestine arrangement' which meant that they simply rubber-stamped the demands of social workers without giving a fair hearing to the pleas of parents.

Rulings by family judges were 'cut and pasted' from recommendations emailed to the court by social workers, the High Court found. Source"

Who is the source? You guessed it – The Daily Mail. It starts: "Judges and social workers have been conspiring to remove children unjustly from their parents, a scathing High Court ruling said today.

It condemned family court judges for a 'clandestine arrangement' which meant that they simply rubber-stamped the demands of social workers without giving a fair hearing to the pleas of parents." There are no details, no names and no evidence to support this. Note the terminology – "demands of social workers". Also: "The secret dealings between council officials and local judges were revealed in a High Court appeal in which Mrs Justice Pauffley ordered that a mother be re-united with her baby. Mrs P is a most unsavoury character. More on her in my pdf on the Hampstead Hoax psy-op [http://sharonkilby.co.uk/site/THE\\_HAMPSTEAD\\_HOAX\\_PSY-OP.php](http://sharonkilby.co.uk/site/THE_HAMPSTEAD_HOAX_PSY-OP.php)

The baby was taken by social workers following a court case described by Mrs Justice Pauffley as 'profoundly alarming'.

The High Court judge warned that 'the practices I have described are not confined to this area but are widespread across the country'.

She said of the case, which involved judges at an unnamed family court and social workers employed by an unnamed council." I'd like to see the court documentation regarding this high court appeal by an unnamed mother. I'd also like to see the social workers' report[s]. So these practices are widespread? Where is the evidence? Where are all these case stories? Note the vagueness – unnamed judges, unnamed court, unnamed social workers, unnamed council. And: "The order to end collusion between judges and social workers was endorsed yesterday by the most senior family judge, President of the Family Division Sir James

**Munby.**” So who are all these corrupt judges and social workers who are known to be colluding? Why are they not being named and shamed and prosecuted???

The ‘cough’ ‘Justice for Families’ MP John Hemming gets his oar in: “John Hemming, the Lib Dem MP who has **campaigning against secrecy in the family courts**, said: ‘I am pleased that the senior judges are acting to **stop stitch ups and “clandestine” fixing of decisions** in the lower courts. What really matters, however, is getting independent evidence into the process **rather than the opinion of local authority employees who are instructed in what to say by their management, who are instructed by government as to what outcomes they want.**” Hemming, the great pretender, almost certainly a high level mason himself, knows very well that Masonic ruled Senior judges are doing nothing of the sort. This is the MP who supports the HG story; when discussing Robert Green's imprisonment he states: “**I would personally like to see the establishment of a parliamentary committee to look at a number of murky cases of which Hollie`s (and yours) is one.**” As for saying ‘secret’ family courts, that is not true either as Court rules were amended in 2009 to allow the media to attend family proceedings in all tiers of the family courts. In any case it matters not a jot that the media are allowed in the courts as all mainstream media is controlled by the same Masonic hand that controls the courts!

Spiv has a link to this <http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-511609/How-social-services-paid-bonuses-snatch-babies-adoption.html> piece of disgusting Daily Mail propaganda too. It says: “**I have been told of routine dishonesty by social workers and questionable evidence given by doctors which has wrongly condemned mothers.**”

Note the vagueness. As for ‘routine dishonesty’ why TF haven’t these social workers been named and brought to book? And if doctors are providing ‘questionable’ evidence, where is the evidence for it and why are these doctors not prosecuted? Also: “**But over the five years since I began investigating the scandal of forced adoptions, I have found a deeply secretive system which is too often biased against basically decent families.**” Deeply secretive? Well that’s only true because the deeply secretive freemasons are in control. But you will NEVER ever see that published in the shit rags. And it is not true to say there is bias against decent families. Also: “**Critics - including family solicitors, MPs and midwives as well as the wronged families - report cases where young children are selected, even before birth, by social workers in order to win the bonuses.**” Where’s the fuckin evidence for that? Jesus wept.

Folks, bury your head in your hands and weep with despair at the level

of deception. Need I go on? Here's another: "More chillingly, parents have been told by social workers they must lose their children because, at some time in the future, they might abuse them." I hear this all the time from the shills. I would like one person – anyone – to show me evidence whereby a parent has lost a child or even faced the threat of losing a child because of something that they MIGHT do. This kind of 'reporting' is truly shocking. Look how sloppy and cocky the Masonic controlled parrot Sue Reid is – she accidentally writes "they MUST lose their children". And sleazebag Hemming spouts his usual BS too, saying he has evidence of ... blah, blah, blah ... he doesn't show us it though!

Sue Reid then prints: "Immediately after the article was published, I heard from 35 families whose children were forcibly removed. The letters and e-mails continue to arrive - coming from a wide range of families across the social classes (including from a castle in the heart of England). An e-mail from one father said: "Please, please help, NOW. We are about to lose our son . . . in court tomorrow for final disposals hearing before he is taken for adoption ... we have done nothing wrong." Another father calling himself "James" rang to say his wife's baby was one of eight seized by social workers from hospital maternity units in one small part of North-East England during one fortnight last summer. A Welsh man complained that his grandson of three weeks was earmarked for forcible adoption by social workers. The mother, a 21-year-old with a mild learning disorder, was told she might, just might, get post-natal depression and neglect her son. To her great distress, her baby was put in the care of Monmouthshire social services within minutes of birth. The grandfather said: "Our entire extended family - which includes two nurses, a qualified nanny and a police officer - have offered to help care for the baby. "I believe my grandson has been targeted for adoption since he was in the womb." A Worcestershire woman told how her daughter's baby was snatched away by three police officers and two social workers who came to the door of her house. The girl has now been adopted. The mother's failure? She was said to be too young to cope. Yet - a little over a year later - she had another baby, a boy, whom she was allowed to keep, in the same home and with the same partner. Why on earth did she have to lose her little girl? The grandmother emotionally explained: "All the family came forward to offer to help look after my granddaughter, and all of them were told they were not good enough. "The social worker told us to forget her. He said: 'She is water under the bridge.' "We think they wanted her for adoption from the beginning". Where is the evidence for all this? Where are the links to sources? THERE ARE NONE.

Stories are regularly 'sexed up' and sensationalised and presented as fact by shells, yet are devoid of any substantiation. Spiv again: "Neither is it just healthy children who are snatched. You see, as uncomfortable to talk about and horrific as it is, these sick, sick, monsters who operate throughout all levels of government and positions of authority & trust, have a significant number of sadistic, warped inhumane sub-humans who have a penchant for torturing, raping and murdering disabled children." He could be describing himself!

Society has gone to the dogs. All of it engineered and facilitated by the ruling elite and their Masonic henchmen and lackeys, such as Spiv. And the likes of Daily Mail journos, MP Hemming, Ian Josephs ... who are, nauseatingly, admired and hero-worshipped for 'daring to report the truth' and 'assisting beleaguered parents' are, in reality, assisting and furthering the destruction of society and the suffering of its citizens.

I'll be going into more detail on all this, and will be exposing some unexpected shells, when I update the site.

Now onto Spiv's new set of pictures on his 11/12/14 post in relation to Nicole Miles' 9 page assessment. Notice he doesn't scan his official documentation, he ALWAYS photographs them. The reason for this is so that he can then blur the image or distort it in some other way. I find it curious that he didn't publish all these new images at the same time as he published the ones in his 2/12/14 post. Maybe the reason has something to do with him coming up with a response to my last comment in his efforts to neutralise me. I will expatiate.

It looks very likely that some of these new images are fake. Since we can only see itty bitty farty bits of paper we cannot be sure that they are genuinely parts of Nicole's report; written by her. Spiv could quite easily have forged some of them. The fact that he didn't publicise all the images at the same time is telling. The fact that new info has come out of these suspected forgeries and therefore should have been on Spiv's previous list of 'points', but weren't, is revealing. Another clue is in the individual sub headings and the typing. The genuine headings [as seen in the images shown on 2nd Dec] are clear and crisp; the typed words of the suspected fake images are of a different style and are in bolder ink and are more blurred. Compare the various images. Take a look under his 'Picture 8' whereby he shows 2 images. We cannot be sure that both these images are genuine. The bottom image looks genuine, but the top one might not be.

The point he is making re 'Picture 8' is "with handing the assessment in on the 20th of November, which was exactly 35 working days after the referral was made on the 1st of October – 6 days before my actual arrest, and 8 days before Miles got around to actually seeing Clayton in the flesh (see picture 3) – so much for the child in danger – this would explain why the section asking whether the assessment has been discussed with us and the date that we were begrudgingly given a copy of it (the 26 th of November) has been left blank (see picture 8)."

If he is trying to prove a serious point, why did he not show us both these pages in full? It looks like the bit he refers to being blank is because it doesn't apply to him as it is concerned with a complaints procedure. Notice it says "if appropriate".

As for his continual harping on about this '35 working days' rule [which is probably just a load more of the same shilly bollox] take a peek at his 'Picture 6' [which does look like a forgery] whereby it states: "Was this assessment completed within 45 days?" Now I don't believe for one minute that such a question is on any social services assessment. I'd say Spiv himself or one of his supertrolls typed up this part document. If that wasn't the case I'm sure Spiv would've raised issue. WHY DIDN'T HE RAISE THAT INCONSISTENCY IN HIS LIST OF POINTS?

I am actually GOBSMACKED that Spiv and his handlers are so frikkin careless. Perhaps these masons are so pissed off with him and the fact that he's on his way out that they really don't give a fuck any more.

Skills forging documents is absolutely not beyond the realms of possibility. Scumbag State stooge Spiv, with full Masonic approval and protection, is very capable of such a scam.

Have a butchers at his 'Picture 2' in his 11/12/14 post. Again it is a tiny smidgen of the report – allegedly. It looks like another fraudulent part document to me. All we have is a tiny scrap of paper with a few words which could have been typed up by anyone. We cannot be sure that it is part of Nicole's report. For one thing why would there be a subheading 'Referral'? There would be no need for one to be typed where it is, as the statement: "A referral was received from Essex Police ..." would suffice. As for the words: "Outcome: The family refused for an assessment to be completed. Case was closed" I would like Spivey to PROVE that Nicole or any social worker wrote that! **WHY DON'T YOU PROVE IT SPIV? WHY DON'T YOU PUBLISH THE FULL 9 PAGE SS**

**REPORT? YOU MIGHT AS WELL SINCE YOU'VE PUBLISHED LOTS OF ITTY BITS OF IT.**

Also, notice what Spiv is saying: “You see, after I sent Miles packing after my first arrest on the 30th of July, without so much as letting her into my home on the 1st of August.” According to his 4TH August post, “This latest development came in the form of social workers knocking on my door following a “referral” by the police, who apparently told them that Stacey’s bedroom was messy and Clayton had dirty sheets on his cot.” So ACCORDING TO HIM, social workers first turned up on 4th August, not 1st August!!!

Spiv’s story of his illegal arrests is sinking in inconsistencies, inaccuracies, obfuscation, lies and fabrication.

Here’s more: “And that was it, we didn’t hear any more from the SS until we got the letter from Julie Robinson (Nicole Miles’s boss) telling us the case was closed with no further action (NFA) being taken – which arrived on the 27th of August, or put another way 5 weeks before my second arrest.” Up until now he and Wolfie have been stressing that “social services wrote to Stacey TWO WEEKS before Chris’s second illegal arrest stating that they would be taking no further action.” I’ve been saying it was nearly six weeks. He is finally now saying 5 weeks!

Christopher Shill continues using the confusion card [that’s all the pathetic prick has]: “However, if Miles were to have been telling the truth about the case being dropped following her failure to secure a Robocop bodyguard leading to the assessment either (pick which ever one of the following choices all of which according to Miles & Robinson are true); never having been started, had been started but not completed, had been cancelled without ever starting and/or didn’t go ahead because we refused to take part – also bear in mind that Miles had to include her blatant lie about the cop situation in the 2nd assessment (which may well have been the first) following my second arrest, because she was fully aware that I had her on film giving the old ‘couldn’t get a cop to come with her for protection bollox’ as the reason there was NFA taken – means that any time a Social Worker cannot get a porker to attend a case of GENUINE child neglect with them, then the case will be automatically closed and the potentially life threatening child neglect simply being allowed to carry on as before.”

**SPIV, SAVE YOURSELF THE BOTHER. SIMPLY PUBLISH NICOLE’S REPORT IN FULL. AND PUBLISH THE RECORDINGS YOU HAVE OF**

## THE SOCIAL WORKERS THAT YOU KEEP BANGING ON ABOUT.

Of course pigs will fly first. Spivey has got a right hump on cos someone [a social worker – how dare she] has reported the truth about him. He's not used to people standing up to him. He's used to bullying others. He would not be so bold if he did not have the full weight of the BROTHERHOOD behind him though. He bleats: "... and if you think that I am bluffing, then you have sorely misjudged me – which is certainly in keeping with your character assassination of myself in that tissue of lies, not fit to be used to wipe your arse on."

Take a look at his 'Picture 15'. Does that look genuine to you? Again, anyone could have typed it. It states: "Chris posted a blog on his website on 14 October 2014 that Stacey had attended A & E and that Clayton was asleep ..." HOLD UP. Stacey went to hospital on the 15th October, not the 14th. He even says: "Indeed, the very blog that she refers to – published on the 15 of October – as being her source of information about Stacey going to hospital clearly states..." So why didn't he pick up on that mistake? This further supports my view that this part document is fraudulent; that it was not written by Nicole, but rather by Spiv himself or one of his mason co-conspirators in crime - probably one of his mods. If Nicole had written it, he would have gleefully dragged her over the coals over it. WHY DIDN'T HE? WHY DIDN'T HE RAISE IT IN HIS LIST OF POINTS? Spiv and his troll team are slacking.

As for 'Picture 10', again it could have been typed by a troll. Look at the subheading: "Social Worker's understanding of the child and family's current situation." Does that look legit to you? Also: "What are we worried about?" That doesn't look kosher either. But look what else is typed up – "... arrested on 03 October 2014 for possession of indecent images". Until we see the whole report we do not know if Nicole wrote that. There is no evidence that she did. We only have Spiv's slanderous say so. WHY DIDN'T HE GET STACEY TO RAISE THIS AS ONE OF HIS POINTS??? WHY DIDN'T HE MAKE ANOTHER POINT OUT OF THE WORDING 'POSSESSION OF' WHICH SHOULD HAVE BEEN 'ALLEGED POSSESSION OF'?

Spiv says: "it has in the past been well documented by previous victims of the SS, that a Social Worker will say to the unsuspecting victim that a room is perfectly neat & tidy and then go away and write on her assessment that the room was a pig sty... And that fact is indisputable

having evidenced my self how these social workers let the lies trip of their tongues without so much as missing a beat.

Course, it then becomes their word against yours and a Judge will arrogantly take a Social Workers word over yours every single day of the week.”

Well, once again Spiv has not shown any evidence to support his paragraph above. My experience is that when it suits the Masonic mafia, the courts take guidance from the professionals and when it doesn't suit them the Masonic judges/magistrates within the family courts simply disregard the view of SS, CAFCASS or any other professional 'witness'.

He says: “Therefore, in the complete absence of any mention of the date that the Section 17 assessment was raised to a section 47 protection before being returned to a section 17, you can only conclude that we have been lied to time and time again and Miles has conducted the entire assessment under Section 47 protection and has furthermore, submitted it as the same.”

**SHOW US THE FULL REPORT SPIV, YA LYING SCHEMING LITTLE SHIT.**

The image he shows us in 'Picture 4' looks genuine. It is interesting to note that the social workers felt the need to attend accompanied by police. This is confirmation that Spiv had threatened them with violence. Also interesting is the statement: “this was not facilitated due to demands on Police resources and the case closed to Family Operations”. Bollox was it because of lack of police resources. The Masonic conspirators didn't want the police to attend. This was probably because they would have had to have sent a GENUINE police officer and that might have caused some problems as a bit of truth might have emerged. More on this below.

In my case the Masons had all the money they needed to send a range of officers of the State to harass me with my evil ex's allegations, yet when I needed a social worker to help facilitate a brief meeting between me and my children, it was a different story. See [http://sharonkilby.co.uk/site/media/PARTS\\_1-3\\_OF\\_SHARON\\_ANN\\_KILBYS\\_STORY.pdf](http://sharonkilby.co.uk/site/media/PARTS_1-3_OF_SHARON_ANN_KILBYS_STORY.pdf) p21

As for his ref to Peter Hofschoer: “I mean, I have just written an article

about Peter Hofschroer, who has also had child porn planted on his computer by the wholly corrupt North Yorkshire Police, and not only have the press reported on the fact that he had been arrested on child porn charges, the dirty bastard judge has remanded him in custody...” <http://chrisspivey.org/surrender-to-love-the-abuse-of-grandma-b/> the story of Hof and Grandma B is also without foundation.

Journalist Matt Foster says: “It is full of emotive language which sounds very dramatic, but the rhetoric tells me nothing of the truth or the real story behind it – it does your credibility no good” and: “The allegations you make are unsubstantiated (we’ve seen no evidence to prove them) and are potentially libellous”.

<https://grandmabarbara.wordpress.com/2-updates/bbc-comment-on-grandma-bs-blog/> When I get some time I’ll look a little closer at this story; although I don’t think I really need to; after all what more needs to be said when the publicity is ONLY being spread within Shillyland – by Spivey, Sabine, Sonia Poulton, Andy Peacher, Butlincat ...

As for paranormal powers, notice how the Spivey prick keeps going on about the plod’s and SS’ “[cracking psychic ability.](#)” All shill stories are fabricated and therefore ‘psychic’. In this story Christopher shill is conspiring with the Masonic high ups within the police, SS and the ‘News’papers. It is not always easy to tell who is ‘in’ on the ‘game’ and who is genuine. I’d say Nicole is definitely genuine; as well as social workers Lorraine Pennington and Sarah McCormack; although they, as yet, do not feature prominently in the script. The masons had to involve at least one genuine person to make the story appear authentic. It is only through the snippets of truth from Nicole that we can try to figure out WHAT IS REALLY GOING ON [and even that has become more difficult through Spiv’s use of phoney part documentation]. I have a feeling that the boss Julie Robinson is ‘in’ on the scam. As for the police, even though we have seen some documentation re his arrests, [they will have been authorised by one or more high up masons in the police] [we don’t know if any genuine police officer actually visited his flat or interviewed him at the nick. We haven’t been given any names and those police interview recordings haven’t yet seen the light of day.](#) They almost certainly never will either as they probably don’t even exist.

As for the MSN, Spiv shows us a photo of the Echo article in his 11/12/14 post. The date of publication is Wednesday October 8th.

Here [http://www.echo-news.co.uk/news/11522369.Controversial\\_blogger\\_arrested\\_for\\_second\\_time/?ref=rss](http://www.echo-news.co.uk/news/11522369.Controversial_blogger_arrested_for_second_time/?ref=rss) we have the same article by David Traynor – dated October 9th!!! That doesn’t really matter though. What is pertinent is

that the newspaper published the article BEFORE Spiv's 10th October post. Spiv says: "Course, the plod have been asked three times by the newspapers now as to why I was arrested for the 2nd time and 3 times they have point blank refused to say... Now why the fuck would that be?"

Since the same Masonic hand controls Spivey, Social Services, Police and the 'news'papers there had to be a Masonic decision as to when the info [of Spiv's alleged offence - being in possession of extreme photographic images portraying an act of intercourse/oral sex with a dead/alive animal ...] was revealed, how and by whom. In the meantime the pretence goes out by Traynor that the "police have refused to reveal why". Traynor, [who may or may not be a mason - it doesn't really matter] nor anyone working within the MSN acts independently. ALL of them are under the Masonic thumb.

The Masonic puppeteer was not ready to spill the beans [until 10/10/14] because decisions were being made on the hoof i.e those in the know were busy plotting - rehearsing Spiv's staged 'arrest' etc. All Spiv's moves were and are controlled.

So, where is the story going from here? That's the million dollar question. Maybe Spiv's handlers realise he is now too great a liability and they are now bringing him down with the shit they have on him.

Perhaps the plan is for him to do bird and, from his prison cell, for him to try and convince the public that the police did plant images on his computer; that he was imprisoned unjustly ... he then gets lots of sympathy and support and thus convinces people that he must be the real deal after all.

I'll tell you something I believe will never happen – Clay being taken into care. That would be real punishment for the Spivey family and since neither Stacey nor Spiv have upset the masons, it isn't going to happen. The ONLY time Stacey would be seriously threatened with losing Clay is if either she or Spiv come clean. And that ain't gonna happen.

All this is conjecture, of course, but when you have freemasonry and other secret societies ruling the roost, we plebs are left struggling to piece the giant jigsaw together.

This is how the ruling elite keep a lid on it all. This is how the NWO is able to materialise.

All the BS being said about Lavatory, JJ, Will Black, Charlie F has been thrown in to muddy the waters and to try and mess with Tom's head [Tom and JJ are, according to Spiv, best mates now ... Charlie might be a honey trap ...] all for the purpose of neutralising me and Tom – classic divide and conquer.

I'm inclined to agree with Kevin Boyle when he says that Spivey is not presenting his own work. He certainly doesn't work alone. I think he has a team of Masonic writers helping him write his articles.

A quick comment on his 12/12/14 post; he says: "In fact, I can tell you that TPTB are so worried about the tactics that they have employed in an effort to stop me that they are now having to warn every law firm that I approach not to represent me... I kid you fucking not..." Yeah ok.

Prove that Spiv. Truth is he hasn't approached any solicitors cos he has no grounds to take any legal action.

Also in his 12/12/14 post he says:

"You would also do well to remember that I have the mouth, a keen eye, a sharp mind, a platform & the backing to enable me to keep my grandson safe..." What he means is he has insider knowledge and the full support and protection of the freemasons.

Hi Tom and Keith,

You do have a point Keith. I'm in the process of updating my site [http://sharonkilby.co.uk/site/WAR\\_ON\\_SHILLS.php](http://sharonkilby.co.uk/site/WAR_ON_SHILLS.php) with all this stuff but its taking a lot longer than expected. I'm currently researching the containment agents who are responsible for muddying the waters re the 'care' system CSA victims. Spiv is at the helm and he has a lot of little helpers – some are working directly with him, assisted by a variety of sock puppet personas; others are indirectly connected. The more you dig, the more surprising things you find. There's going to be quite a few cointelpros being exposed in due course. Problem is this job can't be rushed; it has to be done properly. If we are going to attempt a meaningful challenge to the Masonic barstewards we have to be as thorough and as accurate as possible. That takes a lot of time and concentration.

Time is a luxury I don't have. I have far too many distractions, like full time work and stuff cropping up all the time to do with family or the home. I had intended having a lazy time over Christmas, but that didn't happen cos my older son Andy had to spend a week in hospital. Very

stressful for all the family and we still don't know the full extent of his problem. More tests are required ...

Anyway, battling on ...

Keith Zero's comment:

I wouldn't waste too much of your time, researching this! Not because I don't think it's a worthy cause! But because; none of us have the means to truly expose, these cointelpros! Even when these agents (of the establishment/state) are 'truly' uncovered? They disappear/replaced.

Examining the historical infiltration of activist movements (CND, animal welfare, environmental groups, and political parties) by the likes of Special Branch/MI5, is a good insight, to the different methods employed. Once you understand the methods? The cointelpros are easy to spot.

I strongly doubt Spiv is at the helm of anything other than; scamming people for paypal donations; with his fruity ghost stories. I just don't get the impression that Spiv is intelligent enough; for such a role, anyhow. The sock-puppet accounts are probably the work of 2 or 3 people. No doubt loyal followers of Spiv, or maybe the Spiv himself. Now Gerrish and Maloney? are certainly worth investigating! "Judge people by their deeds, and not by their words" normally works well for me.

ps; I'd edited out personal info; if I were you.

I respond:

Just curious, who are you Keith Zero? Do you have a website/videos? Are you exposing anyone?

He replies:

I'm a nobody; my thoughts are merely my own! Just like yourself ;o)

Hi Tom [and anyone who *isn't* a troll.]

Well well well, it looks like Spivey's second arrest was not staged after all. If you take a look at his 3<sup>rd</sup> July 2015 article you'll find he has now published police statements and the transcript of his police interview

which took place on 6/10/14. Well more accurately the sneaky git has published a heavily *censored* version of the police statements and the police interview. I'll come to that in a minute.

First, a response to Keith Zero's last comment: You're not a nobody. We're all somebody and we all have to do our bit. You have to choose a side in this war. Doing nothing is choosing the enemy side. But then I think you know that. We do have the means to expose them; we have brains.

Look, this is not a game. Spiv's a big fish; just like Gerrish. You can't flush them that easily – they keep popping back up. Don't underestimate them.

Researching and exposing cointelpro is the key to our freedom. We need to expose as many as we can. If we don't at least try, we might as well roll over and join the sheeple.

I won't stop 'till I'm forced to. It is tough tho when your time is limited and you're up against apathetic people and you have to cope with things like family illness [my own health ain't that great either – I'm in need of a hip replacement ...] The latest thing pressing on my time is the matter of my late ex's estate. I had a feeling that would fall on my head to sort out.

As said my current task is unmasking Spiv's little helpers. I've made a good start, but there's lots more to do. These guys are not so straightforward cos I'm having to get my head around other related stuff first, such as the Newsnight/Messham/McAlpine affair [Messham btw is genuine] and Savile ... There's lots of documentation you have to go through. It's not easy – the deception is on many levels ... it takes full concentration.

But it needs to be done. And it needs to be done properly; otherwise those of us who are making some effort will just get eaten up. I really wish more people would get on board this fight. If we don't bring down the gatekeepers, or at least frikkin try, we haven't got a hope in hell of doing any damage to the big beasts; in which case we might as well just surrender to injustice and slavery.

I've given up trying to gauge when my site will be updated as I'm still in the research stage. You'd be amazed at how deep and dark the rabbit holes are. In the meantime Tom, do NOT trust Jimmy Jones and all the

others I've mentioned. Jimmy is a great pretender; he's still on team Spiv and is trolling you and me. His job is to neutralise the genuine opposition. You'll see what I mean. Just give me a bit longer - I have to do this right. What I'm gonna come out with next is gonna blow your mind. It'll probably get me killed. Oh well, c'est la vie.

Right take a look at Spiv's 3/7/15 post <http://chrisspivey.org/criminally-insane/#more-27747> We now have the names of the police officers in connection with his so-called 'illegal' arrests. I wonder why it took so long for their names to come out! Of the four officers who turned up at his house on 30/7/14, Spiv names two of them – PC 7539 [last digit unknown – paper torn] Andrew Ronald Chant and PC 73232 Kevin Oxlade and he shows us HALF OF their statements for his upcoming harassment trial on 30<sup>th</sup> and 31<sup>st</sup> July. Why doesn't he name the other two officers? We see from PC Oxlade's statement that the other two officers are PC 2726 Brown and PC 75454 McCullough. Why are there no statements from them? Spiv says: “Now like I say, the other two corrupt plods – or security service agents dressed as plods didn't do witness statements.”

Well, all four officers will have written statements. What Spiv means is he doesn't want to reveal too much. Remember common cointelpro tactics are omission and contextomy whereby they publicise part documentation or they'll produce a full document that, *when taken in isolation*, seems to support their story.

So the documentation available shows Spivey was arrested on two occasions and his premises searched twice. It is also now evident that the second arrest, which was for indecent and prohibited images [which I'll come to in a minute] is genuine, that it happened on 6/10/14 and that Spivey was interviewed by police at 19.40 hrs. It is also now clear that police did have to force entry. There is no evidence of either arrest being illegal or that police planted anything on his computers. Spivey has not proven any police or social services corruption. The evidence is that he, with the help of his eight unidentified team members, has been embroidering the truth, bending and spinning the truth or outright lying/being dishonest by omission to present a scenario that he is a victim of the State.

**There is mounting evidence that supershill Chris Spivey is a paedophile.**

Now let's take another look at the documentation published. On Spiv's 10/10/14 article <http://chrisspivey.org/scandal-2/#more-23186> we see

the 'Authority to Search' document, signed by PC 73232 Kevin Oxlade, is clearly dated 30/7/14. However the start and finish time is not so clear. It looks like it started 0200 but we don't know if that is 2 am or 2 pm. The end of search is not clear. The 'Search of Premises' document, signed by PC Oxlade, is clearly dated 30/7/14.

Let's also remind ourselves of the reason for the first arrest. The bail sheet which he publishes on 10/8/14 names the people he must not harass <http://chrisspivey.org/the-high-cost-of-free-speech/#more-21834> It states he is "[1] Not to contact any family members of the deceased soldier Lee Rigby either directly or indirectly. [2] Not to contact directly or indirectly Gavin Vitler or Christopher Amos. [3] Not to publish any communication via any website or through any social media with regards to Lee Rigby or his family." He publishes the charges against him here <http://chrisspivey.org/above-the-law/#more-24830>

PC Oxlade has written a two page statement, however Spivey only publishes the first page. What is he hiding that is on the second page? PC Oxlade testifies that the search started at 2.00 hrs and concluded at 3.35 hrs. He also states that his shift started at 11pm on Tuesday 29<sup>th</sup> July '14. So it seems that there was a search at 2 am on Wednesday 30<sup>th</sup>. Also, according to PC Oxlade Spivey seems to have been harassing someone between the hours of 11pm on 29/7/14 and 1.35 am on 30/7/14 as he and his colleagues had been told to affect the arrest during that period. PC Oxlade states that from briefing he recognised Spiv. So it seems Spiv had been under surveillance.

According to Spivey it was "[Greater Manchester Police who had requested Essex police to arrest him for the harassment misdemeanour.](#)" Well someone must've made a complaint in the first place! If Spivey were to publish his first police interview he could prove he is not the one who is lying. He publishes his police interview for his second arrest [well sort of – I'll come to that], so why not the first one?

Also according to PC Oxlade Spiv would not allow the police entry, so PC Oxlade told him he was arresting him for suspicion of harassment and that he was required to attend at the police station for a tape recorded interview. Spiv did then let them in, but after being told that the police would be doing a search, he became very obstructive, stating the search was illegal. PC Oxlade states that Stacey [wearing a dirty vest top] was also obstructive, was shouting hysterically and was abusive to officers, with most of her verbal abuse directed at PC Brown who guarded them during the search.

As for PC Andrew Chant's statement, Spiv will only let us see half of that too. He publishes part 2 of 2. What doesn't he want us to see that is in part 1? He claims the dogs ate the first page! "And what follows now then, is the statement (page 1 was eaten too badly by the dogs unfortunately) of the criminal Andrew Chant... If anyone has any further information on this thug please let me know." Nice try dickhead. Why don't you simply request a copy of it?

The filthy degenerate Chris Spivey even photographs a pile of torn documents. Of course he's not to blame for ripping them up; the dogs did it! Someone should have told him to throw a couple of torn, wet A4 envelopes in there too – might've looked more convincing.

From what we're allowed to see of PC Chant's testimony, Stacey was shouting and agitated and saying she couldn't be left alone in the property. Spiv and Stacey were instructed to remain in Stacey's room. Computer towers etc were seized.

Until we get to see the transcript of the first police interview I don't think it is definitive that the arrest and search occurred during the small hours of the morning. Something extremely serious must have happened for that to occur. I don't think allegations of harassment would be a good enough reason. If you read the harassment charges you will see that there would be no need to do an arrest and search at such an unsocial hour. In fact it would be absurd. I think it's more likely that Spiv was actually arrested and his flat searched at 2 o'clock on the *afternoon* of 30<sup>th</sup> July. [We see from the 'Authority to Search' document that it isn't clear whether the time states 2 am or 2 pm.] If that is the case then PC Oxlade is lying and is 'in' on the conspiracy. PC Chant is probably genuine; hence why Spiv won't let us see the first page of his testimony – it might reveal the time to be pm and not am. The other two officers PC 2726 Brown and PC 75454 McCullough are probably genuine too.

Who knows what was stated by the police re concerns over Clay that prompted the referral to social services. And we don't know what is said in relation to Stacey's two friends who had *allegedly* turned up to comfort her. PC Chant says that a 'sterile area' was created in Stacey's room for her and Spiv. That probably means her room was a shit tip. Spiv would have us believe it was messy because the "thug cunts did a fingertip search of the fucking room."

From the police evidence available, wouldn't you think Spivey would be raising a right stink about the seeming omission from their statements of any worries over Clay? At the top of his list of rebuttals, shouldn't he have been demanding to know why there was a 'malicious' referral to social services when it would seem that the police officers who attended at his house had done a good search but had not felt it necessary to pay any attention to Clayton? The only mention of Clay is from PC Chant who says that *Stacey was claiming* her baby was asleep.

Take a moment to have a think about that. Spivey has been banging on about these 'illegal' arrests and the planting by the police of obscene and prohibited photos of children on his computers, to enable the 'evil State baby snatchers' to take Clay into care. This is the picture he paints: "But worst of all, the fact that our police forces and social services are prepared to work together and break the law, in order to condemn a happy, much loved baby to a life of misery just so as they can stop someone telling the truth is absolutely unforgivable... I will never forgive. Never!

How fucked up, sick, de-fucking-ranged and evil is that? In fact anyone who is prepared to do that to a child certainly warrants the attention of the social services and is a good an example of the back to front world that we live in as any." [5/5/15 post.]

So the snatching of Clay is at the core of the Spivey story and yet he doesn't see fit to even mention what appears to be a vitally important omission from the police testimonies. As for police and social services breaking the law, he hasn't proven that.

Of course the truth is Clayton absolutely should be removed from that family, as he *is* at risk. And as he grows older he will be pulled into the criminal lifestyle of his grandfather; just as his mother has been. In fact Stacey has totally failed to protect her son. If she really cares about Clay she will find the strength to break free from her control freak of a father. She will move as far away from him as possible and not have anything whatsoever to do with him.

Notice Spiv doesn't mention in his rebuttal points the seeming omission re Stacey's friends either. There is no evidence that they did turn up at 2 o'clock in the morning to comfort her. That looks like just another lie amongst a never ending stream of lies that he's told to try and convince us that he and Stacey are innocent victims of the 'State baby snatchers'.

Spiv you're supposed to be *proving* police and social services corruption, not forcing us to play guessing games, which have been going on for almost a year now. Publish your first police interview - in FULL. Publish in full all police statements. Let's have the full truth out.

In the meantime, on to the second arrest. Spiv now names the “[three plainclothes thugs who trashed my home](#)”: PC 74159 Ian David Patterson, PC 1723 Daniel Francis Brand and Sergeant 70858 Ashley Peter Holland. He publishes Holland's statement, HALF of Brand's statement – what a surprise! And he shows us a photo of a TORN statement and tells us “[Now unfortunately PJ Patterson's statement is too badly eaten to put up.](#)” Prick. Will someone get the message through to him that he's supposed to be trying to convince the public that he's GENUINE; not that he's got something to hide.

Let's look again at the documents he published nine months ago. There is an 'Authority to Search' document. The officer in charge of the search is PC 1723 Brand; however the date is blurred and therefore unknown. The start time of search is 16.45 and finish time is 17.10. The date on the 'Search of Premises' document which states “[NO DAMAGE](#)” is blurred too. It turns out the concealing of the date was a red herring. It was the 'NO DAMAGE' document and the deliberate obscuring of the date that threw me and had me convinced that the recording was of a staged arrest that had been done some time after the real arrest. See my previous comments. When you take these documents together with the police [albeit censored] statements and the transcript of Spiv's second police interview [albeit censored] it is clear now that they do relate to his actual arrest which took place on 6<sup>th</sup> October '14. [\[Remember he says he's been arrested numerous times and had his door kicked down, so he must have lots of these documents.\]](#)

Take another look at my comments re the photos of the damage that he shows us. Spiv comments on the photos: “[And this one is hilarious ... No damage?](#)” Now zoom in and look a little closer at that 'NO DAMAGE' document. It is a damage report relating to the SEARCH OF THE PREMISES, not the break in. Spiv of course has been using that document as 'proof' that the police perjured themselves. Sly bastard.

On taking another look at the videos that Spiv published '[chris arrest](#)' <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pqpyQ0hSW80> 'chris arrest 3' <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=O-gP2xXEXBg> and 'chris video2' <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SKHnRXw0yxE> from another perspective, I am now of the belief that they are recordings of what

actually happened. It does seem likely that Stacey made the first two recordings and that the neighbour Mickey? made the third recording. As I have previously commented, videos 2 & 3 were not uploaded at the same time, but rather the next day. I suspect that the reason for this is that 'team Spiv' were busy scrutinising the recording to see what would be safe to publish. By that I mean the Spiveyites allow us to see the parts that seemingly support his story. I have a feeling it was never the intention to publish parts 2 & 3, but that Spiv and his co-collaborators felt pressured to do so amongst an ever increasing mocking, sceptical and contemptuous public.

Of course, as we do not have a continual recording, we cannot possibly know what actually happened. Spiv the snake lists his rebuttals of the police officer's testimonies. But how can we judge whether or not he is right when he heavily censors the evidence? Spiv if you want public sympathy you have to show us the full recordings. And while you're at it why don't you show us the recording that the "boys upstairs" took.

Let's examine these police statements. There is no evidence that any officer has lied. There are some silly typos, and Sergeant Holland has dropped a clanger re the date, but there's nothing to suggest perjury. Once again the evidence points to Spivey lying, concealing and spinning. Take a look at 'Piglet' [PC Patterson]'s statement. Even though the dogs ate most of it [yeah ok!] we can make out that his testimony backs up his colleagues' statements. In a nutshell the officers had turned up at Spiv's in plain clothes in an unmarked police car to affect an arrest. Spiv had resisted, had locked his door and had begun to barricade himself in. The police were left with no other option than to force entry. Now take a look at Spiv's rebuttal of Sergeant Holland's statement, just after point 15, Spiv says "piglet quoted on the video that it was Section 35 although the paperwork makes no mention of Section 35. And not Section 17 which is on the paperwork. You also wanted me to sign a form saying that no damage had been caused. Do you accept that was a bit of a piss take Robocunt?" Read my previous detailed comments re PACE. I point out that Spivey gets himself all confused in his efforts to confuse us. Consequently he constantly contradicts himself – just on the issue of sections alone. So it is no surprise that SPIV FUCKS UP YET AGAIN, cos on the video 'piglet' says it was section 32 [not 35]

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SKHnRXw0yxE> 'Piglet' explains that they have authority to search under Section 32. He also tries to explain Section 17 but Spiv keeps butting in. It is stated in PC Brand's

statement that Section 17 is the authority by which police can access an address if a wanted person is inside. Sergeant Holland confirms this.

Spivey just can't stop contradicting himself. Here's another of his blatant lies. In his response to PC Brand's statement [of which we are only permitted to see the first page] he says: "So I **"SLAMMED THE DOOR SHUT"** did I? ... Errr it was on a sliding latch dick head." Well err, no it wasn't. You said so yourself Spivey. I quote from your 10<sup>th</sup> Oct '14 article: "Now, the thing with my front door is that unless you lift the handle and lock it, it remains unlocked – meaning anyone can just walk in. Luckily, I always put the latch on as a matter of routine. Yet before I could open it, the shorter of the two knockers had beaten me to it. *"What you opening my door for, what do you want?"* I snarled angrily. *"You got bail today"* the short arsed twat snarled back, pushing his warrant card up to the gap. *"And?"* I snapped, furiously adding; *"whatcha opening my fucking door for"*. *"Ya bails been extended till the 19th of November. Ere take it"*, he said thrusting the sheet of paper through the gap in the door." In any case, you can see from the video that there is a chain on the latch. Oops.

His rebuttals in relation to the social services are a load of old bollox, which I have already covered in detail. As for his comment that he wasn't read his rights, how do we know whether that's true as he doesn't show us the part of the video where he is being handcuffed and we don't see the first couple of pages of his police interview.

So now he tells us that the neighbour Bree *and* her friend were in the communal doorway. [I had wondered whether the other woman that we get a glimpse of was a stagehand.] As said, on taking another look at that video from a different perspective I can see that the female voice we hear from behind the door was not an actress posing as a WPC as previously thought, but was in all likelihood Spiv's genuinely concerned neighbour. We can hear [at 1:10 of chris video2] Bree seems to be saying **"Is this the same thing Chris?"** If that's true it sounds like he's been feeding her a pack of lies. On the 'chris arrest' video, which cuts out at 45 seconds, it sounds like Bree is asking to come in [perhaps she's offering to take the baby somewhere safe], Spiv, in his agitated state, is shouting **"no no"** as if on autopilot.

From the half of PC Brand's statement that we are privy to, it seems that all he describes had been happening *before* the recording of 'chris arrest' starts. [We don't know when that recording actually starts. There's obviously stuff on there Spivey doesn't want us to see.] As

pointed out already, Spiv's rebuttal attempts fail. He even tries to mock PC Brand, saying he must have "[superfuckingman x-ray vision](#)". Brand was simply stating that whilst trying to gain access he could see that items had been placed behind the door to try and stop officers gaining entry. That of course is evidenced.

Now a swift look at Sergeant Holland's statement. Again I can only theorise. Let's assume the police testimonials are 100% true. Let's assume the video recordings are authentic [they might not be!] Holland's testimony up to the point where he says he left the communal hallway [which is almost all of the first page of his statement] could have been happening prior to 'chris arrest' starting. During the 40 seconds of activity that we see on that video, Holland could have been making his way to the rear of the property. The big question is, what is happening during the break in the recording i.e between 'chris arrest' and 'chris arrest 3'? Holland states he heard Clay crying and Stacey screaming. We don't of course hear Stacey scream until the window breaks and we don't hear Clay until after that. Obviously we don't know how much pounding on the door had been going on before the second video starts. It would be surprising if Clay had not woken up and started crying when the banging started. Sgt Holland says Spivey walked towards him at the back, whereby they shouted things to each other. Spivey then walked towards the kitchen. Holland says Stacey's voice was manic and she was shouting and crying etc. All of that - if true - must have been happening before what we see on 'chris arrest 3'.

Now, for the first 40 secs of that video Stacey is calm and there's not a peep out of Clay. So did Spivey just order Stacey to pipe down, pull herself together and get on with the filming? Is she holding Clay at that point - to keep him quiet? Or did Bree sneak around the back and beckon Stacey to hand Clayton over to her, whilst Sergeant Holland was distracted looking up Spiv's back passage for something to smash the window? [Or perhaps Bree just let herself in through the back door that Spiv says was unlocked – wtf – and spirited Clayton away to safety!]

Sgt Holland says he feared Spivey was hurting Stacey. Perhaps he was. Perhaps Holland had very good reason to smash his way in via Spiv's bedroom window. The cynic in me says that's a bit farfetched. It would not surprise me if Sergeant Holland is another lying little weasel and is 'in' on the Spiveyite saga. Notice we have no way of knowing if the other cops confirm his account! I have a sneaking suspicion that the piss taking Masonic scriptwriters have written this into the story for the sole reason of explaining who broke the window and why. Remember

Spiv's story is dynamic; it's constantly developing and changing direction in response to the annoying sceptics who take the piss or ask for proof or ask awkward questions or point out contradictions and absurdities ...

Spivey and his degenerate co-conspirators [he doesn't write his articles singlehandedly] are setting the scene that will enable him to come out smelling of roses. They have to convince you that he is the victim of "corrupt and incompetent cops" who are serving the 'State baby snatchers'. Spivey tells us that the prosecution is manipulating events to prevent the police officers taking the stand in front of a jury. [It is actually the Masonic machine, of which Spivey is a part, who is responsible for all the manipulations. Remember super shill Spiv's strings are pulled by the same Masonic hands who control the police, social services, courts, newspapers ... ] The plan is for Spiv to be able to cry foul, claim that he was robbed of his day in court which would have seen the plods perjuring themselves; the net result is he garners public sympathy and support for the gross injustice of being convicted and jailed for crimes that he was stitched up for.

The Masonic scriptwriters have, it would seem, cleverly succeeded. On the surface Spivey does *appear* to have shown that he is up against a bunch of 'immoral and incompetent police buffoons', who'll do anything for a pay check, even if that means serving bosses with an evil agenda. Readers would be wondering why officers would take a sledgehammer to the front door when [according to Spivey] they could've walked in the back door. People would question how likely it is that the sergeant would just stumble upon a spade at a most appropriate time. And regular readers [who regularly read about the close relationship between Spiv and his daughter] would sneer at the idea that Spivey would ever hurt Stacey; and they certainly wouldn't believe that Stacey was in such immediate danger from her dad that it warranted a sergeant to smash a window to gain entry. Picture it: The sergeant is certain he hears Stacey screaming, whilst under attack from her dad. He makes a snap decision to go in search of something suitable to smash his way in. On sniffing around Spiv's rear, which includes taking a good look up Spiv's back passage ... Eww ... he spots a garden spade. Intent on saving this damsel in distress [she does need rescuing from him – but that isn't in the Masonic script] and secure in the knowledge that he is within his legal right - as a police officer acting under section 17 of PACE [http://www.findlaw.co.uk/law/criminal/your\\_rights/500466.html](http://www.findlaw.co.uk/law/criminal/your_rights/500466.html) swings at the window, smashing it to smithereens.

Consequently Chris Spivey [seemingly] proves himself to be this self-sacrificing, long suffering, heroic freedom fighter after all, despite the ever increasing voices of scepticism. And where does that leave we spook observers? Neutralised. Worse though is that the few of us who are genuinely going through hell, risking life and limb for the sake of truth and ultimately freedom, find our credibility being questioned; after all if the likes of me and Tom can get it wrong on Spivey, who else could we be wrong about? Jobs a good un.

Likewise the genuine policemen suffer unjust ridicule and scorn; Satan smirks and laughs his socks off, and the NWO marches on. I sincerely hope that at some point the genuine officers [and the genuine social workers] who got caught up in this charade - and are probably unaware of the Masonic puppeteer - set the record straight with publication of the full truth.

As for Sergeant Holland, if he *is* a police Masonic stooge, I sure as hell hope his colleagues take the right royal piss out of him, and shame him publically [and that goes for PC Oxlade too; if he's also a member of the bullshit brigade.]

Of course, Spivey aided by his filthy troll team played a smart move when they decided that Spiv himself should tell us the reason for his second arrest. This makes his story of a police stitch up – the planting of indecent and prohibited images on his 'illegally obtained' computers - more convincing. After all, he will argue, if he *was* guilty there is no way in the world he would be volunteering such information.

This is what he says in his 3/7/15 article: [“However, what the prostitution have been busy with is making applications for my accusers to give ‘hearsay evidence’ at the trial on the 30th & 31st of July.](#)

[Or put another way, just have their statements read out in court so as they cannot be cross examined. And if that application gets turned down, the Prostitution want the Judge to allow my accusers to give evidence via webcam – which like it or not, my Barrister is going to strongly oppose.](#)

[After all, I have seen the witness statements and if those claims are to be repeated, then I want them in court so as \*\*perjury is committed\*\* in person – something that I can prove beyond ALL DOUBT, just by producing the transcripts to various conversations which are already logged with my solicitor.”](#) Has he heckers like got any such transcripts!

He continues: “You will all be committing perjury with your evidence statements... Or put another way, you have deliberately lied to try and cover up your serious misconduct which includes conspiracy to steal a child, dig yourselves out of a fucking shit filled hole and convict an innocent man – for which I feel 100% positive that most people will consider you each to be on a par with a syphilis ravaged paedophile who has a tendency to forget to wipe his fucking arse.

You see orificers, I can prove beyond all doubt that you are lying cheating whores ...

Sooooo, who wants to read the solidified diarrhea witness statements – I will have to be selective in my response to them though, because where would the fun be in not seeing the look of total horror on their ugly, dwarf like features when the penny drops that they are nowhere fucking near as clever as they think they are, before it then dawns on them that I have the intellect, determination, ability to see errors that most can't and obviously the driving skills to enable me to run absolute rings around the fucking idiots... You are going to prison boys.”

What he means by his ‘abilities’ is that he has the full force of the Masonic heavyweights behind him.

As said, the only officers that I can see *might* have committed perjury are PC Oxlade and Sergeant Holland - but not for the reasons Spiv says. To prove perjury there needs to be full disclosure of evidence. The first police interview needs to be made public i.e. the tape recording and an *unedited* transcript of the full interview, so that we can establish the full facts and determine once and for all that the 30/7/14 arrest and search was indeed done during the early hours of the morning.

However whilst freemasonry continues to lurk in the background of the Spivey saga, there is little chance of that recording ever seeing the light of day. The only time it *might* surface is if the masons can find a way of writing it into the script; but if that happens, you can bet your bottom dollar that it too will be heavily censored – to support the Spivey storyline. As for Sgt Holland, we need to see the full police statements of PCs Patterson and Brand to see if they back up Holland’s account that he did indeed break the window with a spade. We also need to see the full and continuous video recording of the police break in to see whether or not Holland is lying, for example about hearing the baby crying, Stacey screaming ... and to see if there is evidence to support his

claim that Spiv was hurting Stacey, such that it warranted his actions. As it stands the part recordings that are shown do not support his testimony.

Of course those who are Masonic puppets [and not just the ones involved in the Spivey storyline] will be safe from prosecution whilst they continue to play the Masonic game. The only time that would change is if they found the guts to come clean and spill the beans on what is really going on. But as that would mean a direct challenge to high level freemasons, said person could expect to be punished; which would mean s/he would all of a sudden find him or herself facing all sorts of criminal charges.

Back to Spivey's 15 rebuttal points re Sergeant Holland's statement. Take a really good look at them. Do you see any mention there of the date of Holland's statement? No, me neither. He has dated it 17<sup>th</sup> SEPTEMBER 2014. Holy cow! That's nearly three weeks *before* the second arrest! Careless mistake? Or something sinister? Why isn't Spiv creating a stink over that? He doesn't even utter a squeak about it. The twat pulls Holland up on his spelling error though: "[what is a pplice station?](#)"

As for Spiv's 'proof' against the 'pigs' by way of photos etc, they don't prove anything. For example, we have no way of knowing when they were taken, we don't know if his gate did or didn't have a padlock on at the time of the break in ... We can't even be sure that those photos are actually of Spiv's pad. Some might not be.

If Spivey wants to *prove* that the police have perjured themselves he has to show us the full unbroken recording of the police break in and all the police statements; and by that I mean complete statements, not half statements or dog eaten ones! He also has to publicise the tape recordings and the full uncensored transcripts of both of his police interviews. Nothing less will do.

Notice he doesn't publish the statements from the Rigby family! That's cos too much truth would be revealed. This is what he says in his 12/7/15 post: "[Never the less, there are FOUR people alleging that I have harassed them by stating in their police witness statements that I repeatedly tried making unwanted contact by sending them messages over social media](#)

And as such, were they to repeat those allegations in court they would have been committing perjury – which carries up to 7 years in prison.

Except 1984 has finally arrived and with it came; right is wrong, up is down, black is white, good is bad, lies are truth and the truth is a lie... In other words, the very nervous prosecutor, who was absolutely crap stated to the JUDGE presiding over a misdemeanour – which had been investigated by the CID and which should never have been in court in the first place – that (and I directly quote here) “IT IS NOT IN THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE THAT THE FOUR WITNESSES SHOULD COME TO COURT TO GIVE EVIDENCE”.

the arse about face, unbelievable affront to justice was then upheld by the blatantly biased JUDGE – which may have been something to do with the not so secret hand signals being made by the prosecutor, but in all probability was always going to happen from the off.

And in passing that judgement, any chance of me getting a fair trial went out of the window because a barrister cannot cross examine a witness statement... Therefore, the accusations of harassment can not be effectively challenged and I have been denied my lawful right to face my accuser across the courtroom.

Course, the very fact that the prosecution stood up in a court of law and came out with that breathtakingly ludicrous argument, which was bizarrely accepted by the Judge who totally ignored my useless barristers objections, is a measure of the lengths that the Establishment are prepared to go to in order to prevent my Four Accusers from committing perjury.

Indeed, it is now blatantly obvious that any argument put forward by my defence counsel in support of my innocence, along with the irrefutable proof to back it up will be disregarded and the implications of such injustice ought to send a shiver down the spine of every right thinking person in the land.”

Hand signals, my arse. Show us the transcript of that court hearing; and the judgement. As for 1984, you are one of the main stinking filthy perverted traitorous cointelpro monkeys bringing it in.

The pathological liar continues: “Yet throughout the past three and a half years, in which the government authorities have shown appalling contempt for the very people who put them where they are, I have still

remained staunch and focused in my quest to expose the monsters plotting our downfall, despite knowing that to do so will in all likelihood cost me my life... If I could go back to January 2012 knowing what I do now, would I say; *“fuck that for a game of soldiers”*?

Would I fuck... I could never live my life as a coward, I could never betray my duty as a man to protect my family and I could never look myself in the mirror knowing of the horrors that innocent children throughout the world are being subjected to, every single moment of every single day, while as a father and grandfather I chose to look the other way.

In the end you reap what you sow... Just sayin’.”

**Chris Spivey you are no man. You are a narcissistic machiavellian psychopathic coward. And yes you do reap what you sow.**

And now a quick look at the transcript of his 2<sup>nd</sup> police interview – well the bits of it he allows! Detective Constable Adam Coombes and PC Yapp were the interviewing officers. There is nothing to suggest they are not genuine. I wonder why Spiv left it so late – nearly nine months - to publish it. He could have requested that transcript at any time. Why now? Do you suppose the masons are under pressure from an increasingly sceptical public to *prove* Spivey’s story is true? Of course the piss taking sly bastard does a good censoring job on it first – he feeds a lot of the pages to his hungry dogs, including the first few pages. Why doesn’t he want us to see those? There is a distinct lack of continuity in the pages he does show us - a lot of them are missing or torn. He’ll also skip a page or so out and insert his own write over. For example he refers to the: *“criminal twat’s shaking hand”*, which is obviously all completely made up bollox. This is what the snake says in his 29/3/15 article: *“Indeed, if there was collusion between Coombes, Miles and Robinson that would also explain why his hand was shaking when he went to click on the hidden file, during our interview – a fact that I can clearly be heard asking him about on the taped interview.”*

*It would also explain why in the same interview his partner appeared to not want anything to do with the stitch up and indeed hardly said a word once I had accused them of planting that hidden file.”*

<http://chrisspivey.org/dont-take-no-for-an-answer/#more-25864> It is of course very evident that he’s lying about PC Yapp too.

The wanker tells us that he doesn't know what he's been charged with cos he fed that sheet to the dogs too. But he insists he hasn't been charged with the hard core images of young boys in a hidden file or the other more extreme child images. That must mean he has; and also the other extreme pornographic images that he told us about last October - the ones *portraying an act of intercourse/oral sex with a dead/alive animal ...*

I'm obviously not going to comment on all of it – I'll just mention a few things. Throughout the interview Spivey is an aggressive abusive thug, constantly accusing the officers of planting the images on his computer and telling them to “fuck off”. He calls them “snaky fucking bastards” and says: “You're stitching me up, you dirty cunts.” He tells them he can get his tech guys to prove the police planted the filthy images. When asked about Wolfie and Adam [his mate who sold him his computer], Spiv refuses to disclose any info. He won't reveal Adam's surname or Wolfie's identity. I wonder why! The lying shit even says he doesn't know Wolfie's name! He tells the officers that he talks to Wolfie on skype ... but that he doesn't know the skype address. He also tells them that he doesn't have Wolfie's telephone number; that he rarely uses the phone!!! [I hope Wolfie and Adam will be required to give evidence at Spiv's trial whereby their full identity will be revealed. Spivey must also publish the full unedited transcript of his trial if he wants to start working on his completely collapsed credibility.] He tells the officers to find out such information for themselves. When PC Yapp says: “You can't tell us to speak to someone”, cocky Spiv says: “I can do whatever I want mate.” Spiv also asks the officers if they know who he is. Why don't you tell us, Spivey? Who are you? The arrogant prick says the security services had attacked his site “four million times in six days”. FFS. And he reckons over the last 2 ½ years his site is just short of the 8 million viewing mark. When questioned about the prohibited images – of extreme pornography - that was found on his hard drive, he says “You's lot done it”. The officers showed him pictures of a “woman sucking a dog's knob”, a “woman having sex with a dog” ... Spiv tells them he'd looked at bestiality out of research; says he wasn't aware they were on his computer ...

He says his barrister is going to check the hard drives. Yeah ok. Why isn't wonderful Wolfie doing that? In any case, surely those drives are still with the police.

And anyway why has he surrounded himself with a legal team now? Who's paying for all this? I hope he's paying for it out of the donations

he scams from the public. As if! Almost certainly, you and I and the rest of the taxpaying mugs are funding it, one way or the other. Just remind me; isn't he always telling us he's an aard man; the fearless warrior in a David and Goliath battle with the mighty Establishment? Aren't lawyers, barristers and the like part of that make up? Doesn't Spivey deride them on a regular basis; calling them "dirty bent cunts"? That being the case, shouldn't he be representing himself as a litigant in person? Where's the courage of his convictions?

I'll tell you why he's got himself a solicitor and a barrister now. He's too scared to be a L.I.P. Spivey realises it's not a game anymore - he's facing real criminal charges that are of a very serious nature, which could mean he gets sent to jail. His team are there to help him avoid that. The last thing he wants is to find himself locked up with and at the mercy of some big beefy bastard who might just know who the nonce-protecting traitorous slime ball Spiv really works for ... and teach him a lesson he won't forget.

This is what the git says of PC Yapp: "But just to clarify, those photos of little lads were planted so as to make me appear to be a danger to Clayton, so as they could steal him... Personally, I think that the snake eyed, perverted, slug slime should be kicked to death but I will settle for him getting a long prison sentence... Where the inmates can kick the sick-fuck to death."

Sick-fuck Spivey should be living in fear of what someone – maybe an inmate ... who knows ... who cares ... is going to do to him one day ...

Spivey reminds me of another scum of the earth spineless sell out – Sabine McNeill. She's now wanted by the metropolitan police. What does she do when it's not a game anymore; when the law catches up with her and she's required to account for her actions? She runs away – back to her native Germany, goes into hiding and consults solicitors! See 'Sabine you bloody hypocrite'

<http://victimsagainsthoxes.co.uk/sabine-you-bloody-hypocrite/>

Christopher Fullofshit wants you to believe that, after a six month search, he could only find one solicitor who would help him <http://chrisspivey.org/if-you-believe-they-put-a-man-on-the-moon/> The prolific narcissist says: "I also have it on 100% reliable authority that the Solicitors and Barristers that I have approached are subsequently told not to represent me, hence the 15 requests that I have made to law firms in the fast approaching 6 month anniversary of my first arrest have all

been either refused or met with total silence... Bar one, who only finally agreed to represent me yesterday after 2 months of umming and ahing whether or not to take me on despite this solicitor writing to tell me that a Barrister had told her – and I swear on all that is dear that this is a direct copy & paste here:

***Dear Mr Spivey,  
The barrister whom I asked to consider your case has now replied.  
He has said that, on your account and the documents he has seen  
so far, you would have a claim for wrongful arrest, false  
imprisonment and trespass.”***

Barristers are not approached directly; they are employed via solicitors. Tell us how many solicitors have refused you Spiv and show us their letters. Show us the letter that you say you've copy/pasted from. Name the barrister.

This is what the fibeyite says in his 5/5/15 post: “as my solicitor Assad quite rightly stated whilst beckoning to the court papers in regard to the harassment case – which is full of easily provable lies in witness statements, which I believe is called err... Perjury – “You haven't harassed anyone Chris, this comes from the very top”.

And as my Barrister rightly pointed out, more to himself rather than to me: “Hmmm, there is something very sinister going on here”

Now, I doubt that Assad and my Barrister will be willing to take legal action against the police and social wankers, because it would have to be on a no win no fee basis.”

Assad is a male name. What happened to your female solicitor, Spiv? Spiv knows he couldn't get any lawyer to take legal action against police/social workers, for the simple reason there are no grounds on which to proceed. As for what the no-named barrister and Assad the solicitor are meant to have said, yeah ok Spiv, show us the evidence for that ...

As for social services, he tells us on 16/1/15 that “Stacey has just been told that the Social Services case for stealing Clayton is now CLOSED”. <http://chrisspivey.org/it-aint-over-it-cant-be-over-until-its-done-dusted/> but, once again, doesn't provide the evidentiary documentation.

Then in his 12/2/15 article he shows a letter from Jan Dankin, social worker, saying "Following the work undertaken with yourselves under the Child in Need Plan there is no further role for Social Care at this stage. Therefore the case will be closed."

<http://chrisspivey.org/letters/#more-25123> Spiv says:

"And the reason that the 'work' wasn't done (5 x 1 hr sessions) was because Jan Dankin for some inexplicable reason objected to having what she said recorded... Very strange and very sinister if everything was above board."

Spiv is spinning again. There is no evidence that the work wasn't completed. The following is a conversation between Stacey and Jan on 22/12/14 <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LlepHXqXDKg> However it is extremely difficult to hear what Jan Dankin is saying. It seems that Stacey did not understand what the sessions were about; she thought they were part of the SS assessment. Jan explains that the assessment done by Nicole under Julie's stewardship has been completed. What came out of that assessment was a recommendation that Stacey could benefit from some *general* guidelines on keeping her child safe. Hence why Jan doesn't think there was a need for their conversations to be recorded. The meeting ended with Jan saying that she would refer to her manager about how best to take things forward.

Well it is clear Stacey hasn't got a clue what is going on. The poor girl is completely and utterly brainwashed and confused by her vile father. Is there no-one out there who actually cares about her and Clay and has the spine to stand up to Christopher Spivey and help them escape his evil clutches? They are completely at his mercy; mere pawns in his insidious and sinister game.

Stacey, if you're reading this you need to know that your dad works for and is friends with some very powerful psychopathic untouchable paedophiles and that he's putting you and Clayton in grave danger. He doesn't care one iota about you. You and Clay are mere tools aiding him in his sordid agenda. You need to get away from him, for your own health and sanity. Just take your son and leave. If you don't, he will head fuck Clay too. You don't have to grass him up; just get away and don't have anything to do with him – or his sick scumbag mates – Dogman, Wolfie, Danielle La Verite, Pongo ... You should confide in Jan Dankin and ask her to help you; or ask Nicole for help. They're not the wicked witches your dad wants you and everyone else to believe. He's feeding you a pack of lies about social workers.

Back to the article, Spivey hasn't shown anything strange and sinister about Jan or about any social worker. The only thing strange and sinister is him. Spiv is the one twisting things. He says: "I also find Dankins wording: "at this stage" to be very sinister and since they read this site I will take this opportunity to remind them that we have all of our dealings with the SS on film & tape. This stash includes all meetings (including their admittance of lying to us), nearly all phone calls and 2 x recordings of Jan Dankin trying to coerce Stacey into not recording their meetings."

There's no point you *telling us* about all this shit hot evidence you have against these lying scheming social workers Spiv. You need to share it with us.

Look what the deeply loathsome degenerate says in his 24/12/14 post <http://chrisspivey.org/proof-that-the-social-workers-nicole-miles-julie-robinson-blatantly-lied-in-a-bid-to-steal-clayton-spivey/>

"Course the implication is obvious and quite unnecessary. I mean, so what if I am talking in the background? Am I not allowed to advise my daughter when she is fighting for her sons safety against a criminal gang of child kidnappers then?"

The truth is Stacey was fighting to keep her son because - and only because - her bloody loathsome and perverted father put her in that situation.

Also in the same post he continues in his pathetic attempt to discredit the social workers. He shows us Nicole Miles' case note, but again he either shows part documentation or photographed and **blurred** documents. [He makes sure that he holds the document far enough away from the camera so that the typed notes are unreadable!]

The sleazebag continues with his disgusting threats and in the doing reveals that he has **very powerful people** who can help him out. He also lets us know that his mates in high places will not let him down as he has enough shit on them that he can expose: "And let me tell you Ms Miles – since I know you will be reading this – if you think that you will get away with trying to snatch my grandson, whether by promises that you have been made, or by your past successes, you want to start worrying because the people you are in collusion with, or indeed acting for, have absolutely no concept of integrity and will hang you out to dry quicker than look at you.

And I say that in the knowledge that *'certain people' I know, having taken 'certain steps'* to ensure that in the event of your masters going down the bent judge route to bring your sick fuck task to fruition, will in turn mean that some very powerful people will have no choice but to intervene on my behalf or be publicly outed as being complicit in the kidnapping of my grandson – something that they will not risk... Indeed, if you have been promised that I will never get you into court, then you really need to start worrying.”

Spiv better understand that those very powerful people he speaks of can just as easily hang him out to dry too.

Also in his 29/3/15 article he says: “But as I say, that is totally irrelevant because the proof is there in plain sight and even if it wasn't, I have Julie Robinson on video tape categorically stating in the presence of a senior, registered Social Worker; that the police had told her not to raise the case from a Section 17, meaning that the assessment was NEVER A SECTION 47, so why are the scheming baby snatchers presenting the assessment as being such?

And since that fact alone made Clayton being removed from a loving stable home a very real prospect, I believe that both Miles and Robinson should be up on criminal charges.

Deliberately Marked Clayton's Grandfather down as being a SIGNIFICANT & LIKELY RISK to Clayton.”

Course he never shows us this taped evidence. Notice how [even though he has no proof] he accuses both Miles and Robinson of criminal behaviour. One social worker is, without doubt, genuine – Nicole; however I have strong doubts about the other – Julie. My hunches tell me she is 'in' on the scam. A common shill tactic is to mix genuine people in with Masonic puppets - to make a Masonic fabrication believable and to create confusion. If I am correct about Robinson, Nicole almost certainly won't be aware that her boss is in fact on Spiv's side.

As for Spiv being marked down as a significant and likely risk to Clay, that is without doubt.

Here's another article on these awful kidnapers: *“Many of you have now received a letter from the HCPC, confirming that the case against*

*those two detestable excuses for social workers – Nicole Miles and Julie Robinson – has been reopened.*

*And quite rightly so too.*

*However, for some strange reason – unlike the last investigation – correspondence this time is being marked: **Strictly Private & Confidential.***

And since I hold damning evidence on these two criminals, both of whom are most definitely guilty of conspiring to abduct a child, I have no need for secrecy since I most certainly do not have anything to hide or cover up in my quest to see that they both receive the justice that they deserve and can never again ruin decent peoples lives.” [11/4/15 post.]

**What a particularly nasty and venomous piece of work Christopher Spivey is.**

Now take a swift look at the response from Katia Vandembroucke in his 29/4/15 write up:

“I have now had a reply from Katia Vandembroucke of the HCPC in regard to my complaint against the social workers, Nicole Miles & Julie Robinson.

You will notice that Vandembroucke reply has a bit of a ‘*devil may care*’ attitude about it.

Yet despite the very serious nature of our complaint, the inference in Katia Vandembroucke’s email is that if we don’t jump through hoops to provide her with the requested information, then the investigation will grind to a halt and the case closed.

Nevertheless, the following is a copy & paste of her email:

***Dear Mr Spivey,***

***I am writing to acknowledge receipt of your email dated 11 April 2015 and the further information you sent, which was received on 17 April 2015. The further information you provided included screen shots of parts of various documents.***

***I understand from your last email that you are providing further documentation and we will await receipt of that.***

***In addition to any other documents you wish to provide, we ultimately seek a full copy of the:***

***1) Assessment prepared in relation to your grandson.”***

Spiv, you've proved nowt as usual. Nothing zero zilch nada zip. There's nothing at all wrong with her reply. She just wants all the information. Just as we do. Spiv, stop skewing the truth. Show us the full and unedited documentation.

In his 3<sup>rd</sup> July 2015 post he tells us:

"I actually got an Email from the HCPC on Wednesday. And it appears that the case has now been handed to someone else and worse still, Nicole Miles seems to have got lost in the transfer.

*Dear Mr. Spivey,*

*Health and Care Professions Council and Mrs Julie Robinson*

*I am writing further to the concerns you have raised regarding the above named Registrant.*

*Your case has been allocated to me as the Case Manager. I will have conduct of the matter. I will review the information you have provided and write to you to explain what will happen next and if necessary, request further information from you.*

Now I would like to think that Ms Vandewotsits has had the case taken off her because it is so serious."

If Nicole Miles has "got lost in the transfer" that'll be because the masons have decided to keep her out of their abhorrent games, cos she is genuine and will defend the truth. They certainly cannot risk too much truth emerging.

I really hope the defamed social workers and police officers sue the pants off the lying piece of shill shit Spiv.

**And now some snippets I picked up from his articles over the months since I last commented:**

On 21/12/14 he says:

"His article – the article in question – is in regard to those self elected champions of the people claiming to be bastions of the truth, yet who are in reality nothing more than disruptive weirdo's, sadcases, fantasists, wannabe's & sick-fucks who peddle dis-information."

**Crass hypocrisy. Who elected him then???**

"Or put another way, arrogant, cruel, scum of the earth Social Workers are now stealing children, putting them up for adoption, pocketing a nice

few quid in the bargain and not even bothering to tell the parents why they no longer have a child:

Child Stealing by the State has now become such an epidemic. After all, parents who are powerless to stop their children being stolen by the secret courts have no choice but to break the vomit inducing, twisted, sick fuck gagging laws, in a last ditch desperate bid for justice

Moreover, Hemming is described thus by Wikipedia:

***As Chairman of Justice for Families Campaign Group, Hemming has been coordinating the activities of a number of campaigners and has raised a substantial number of Early Day Motions in trying to reduce the number of injustices where families are damaged by false allegations.***

There is ref to this disgusting NWO propaganda piece in the Daily Mail shit rag <http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-2476232/Jail-social-workers-children-telling-parents-says-Britains-family-judge.html>

As usual there is anonymity, no detail and no supporting documentation ...

Wiki are controlled opposition. So is the millionaire Hemming. He can shove his EDMs up his arse.

As for the 'Child Stealing by the State' hype, I'll be covering that in my site update. Watch this space.

As for 'gagging laws', that's utter bullshit too.

On 25/1/15 Spiv says:

"Ms Fell (in what to me seemed like a concerted effort to get me to plead guilty) also told me, and my companions; Dogman, Pongo and Paul White, that *"I wouldn't be allowed to win, no matter what"*.

**NO EVIDENCE THAT SHE SAID THAT OF COURSE!**

He whinges:

"In fact to date there have been 9,094,418 visits to this site in 3 years, 3 weeks and 3 days. Yet only 5 people turned up on Friday."

That'll be because everyone knows you're a lying lowlife shower of shit. People are taking the piss out of you Spivey. Get used to it. There's lots more to come.

The ever extreme egocentric and narcissist continues:

"Indeed, what with the MIT's monitoring my every move I can't help but think that they have postponed to try and disrupt the huge number of people who were planning on attending on the 20th."

That might be the grand total of one then, Spiv!

And [10/3/15]:

"the fact that all three of the above mentioned have to a man largely ignored the huge public outcry on my behalf, thus making the trio a party to the sustained insidious harassment"

Public outcry my arse. Laugh out fuckin loud.

On 29/3/15 Spiv says:

"Giving money to the NSPCC is on a par with funding paedophilia. The only problem is, the 'charity' is fronted by paedophiles, is a supply line for paedophiles and has in the past had untold numbers of paedophiles in their employ and no doubt still has."

Spiv would know. Read the rest of that article re the HCPC. All fucking theatre.

On 5/5/15:

"You see, aided and abetted by the equally government owned Kent Freedom Movement – who are coming to bea ... hang on, just let me stop laughing ... Who are coming to beat me u... Sorry, just give me another second ... Who are coming to beat me up – he has now gone to the trouble of building a website about me which apparently exposes me as a proper wrong un."

Not Government owned, Masonic owned. Spiv is under no threat of being beaten up by his fellow NWO agents. He obviously knows who they are. I will be thoroughly exposing 'team Spiv' in my site update.

The twat continues:

"Sadly, their evidence is based on an email that shows my high level of integrity which I sent to Jimmy"

Course, these people are not usually controlled by the MIT's for monetary gain. They are controlled because they have no fucking choice usually due to them having a nasty little, sordid secret that they are desperate to keep quiet."

Integrity! L.O.L. As for nasty little sordid secrets, you'd know all about that, wouldn't you Spiv. So would your filthy fellow supertrolls Jimmy and the Lavatory ...

On 7/5/15:

"Sure, they have scared my daughter half to death and she now lives her life in dread of someone knocking at the door until she knows who it is, but scaring a teenage girl is a piece of piss and the actions of a bully and I fucking hate bullies with a passion."

Says the bully who gets his henchman Jimmy Jones to try to intimidate me into shutting the fuck up with his nasty threats. And the only person bullying your daughter is YOU.

6/6/15:

"In the meantime, I am prevented by a court of law from showing you conclusive evidence of the governments, the security services and the Metropolitan police's involvement in a major crime used to hoodwink the nation."

What major crime arsehole?

Spiv goes on to talk about Roger & Dorothy, saying they are "absolutely fuck all like they would have you believe. They can be aggressive. Then again most criminals are. However, when you work for MI5 like Roger you are protected .... As long as you play their game which involves taking on false personas."

You're actually working for the masons, not MI5. Spiv's describing himself to a tee. As he does when he says:

"moronic thugs who work for the Government Department of Hooliganism."

Spiv must have a helluva lot of skeletons in his closet. Course if he ever does find the courage to stop 'playing the game', then his skeletons will come crawling out; at which point I'm pretty sure he'll find himself doing porridge for a very long time.

Look what the prick comes out with on his 29/6/15 post. The breathtaking hypocrite describes himself to a tee.

"I haven't had time to stop and think about all of the more remote anomalies, contradictions and continuity errors contained within just about every sentence of the old fanny."

And: "Yet despite my huge audience, the donations have not even covered the £300 monthly site bill."

I've already told him my web host can host his site for around £50 per month.

In his 9/7/15 post the creep has the gall to say: "Indeed, it would certainly appear that as it happens I have been charged rather hastily & without thought for the legalities or public funds in these times of austerity."

Filthy hypocritical dregs of society subversives like him cost us hardworking honest and decent taxpayers an absolute mint. But of infinitely more importance than financial cost is the cost to our freedom.

Chris Spivey is a very important cointelpro agent. The Masonic head honchos will move hell and high water to prevent his demise. His mate Ickie has already slithered into the sewer. They can't let their other main man suffer the same fate.

If Spiv is going to convince us that he was 'illegally' arrested, his computers illegally stolen and that the police planted the incriminating images on his PCs, he must give full disclosure. He must publish IN FULL and UNREDACTED the transcripts of BOTH of his police interviews [including the tape recordings] and he must produce ALL social services reports – IN FULL AND UNREDACTED. He must also show us the FULL AND UNREDACTED transcripts of his court cases re the 'harassment' charges and the 'obscene images' charges when they are available. This is the only way we're going to get to the full TRUTH about what actually happened and what is happening. The most important documentary evidence is the tape recording of the first police interview; as that holds the key to unravelling this whole Masonic conspiracy.

Finally, who are the Chris Spivey turd team who upload his vids? Who operates behind 'youtype totalcrap'? Who is ivor bigone? Is that

Spiv boasting? Who TF is GeorgeGreekTrucker? What about The Truth Seeking Music Makers? These dubious characters all promote Spiv and all the other well known shitty shillbillies. Why the need for anonymity?

During his police interview Spiv says he has 8 people helping him. Who are they? Presumably they include his 4 unidentified site mods. Who are these cowardly scumbag Establishment toadies? Why do they hide behind fake personas?

Who are the Chris Spivey troll team? They are some of the most twisted, spiteful, hateful, manipulative and prolific nonce associated trolls operating behind their own names and behind multiple online pseudonyms. More on that in my site update.

**Tom replied:** I'll read through all this and get back to you. Thank you. Some other news of significance has just appeared. It is good news that they knew, but the persons involved were retaining information of cointelpros and not sharing, leaving people in the dark. This is almost always wrong, and in this case, it could have been very valuable if they'd spoken. Hopefully they'll learn from this.

Reply ·

**Me:** No way! Did we finally flush him?

Tom, have you seen Spiv's 31/7/15 post. Looks like he's finally thrown in the towel. Well done you and all the genuine spook busters.

Of course he hasn't really gone - he'll be up to his usual trolling activities hiding behind a variety of fake internet personas.

In case you miss it - what with the time difference - this is his leaving message:

["Thats all folks](#)

This is the last post for this website.

After midnight tonight this site will be deleted as will the Christopher D Spivey FB page.

I have told you for months and months that I can't do this on my own, but apart from a dozen or so people no one was prepared to stand up and be counted... In the end you get what you deserve.

You really are all fucked now and you brought it on yourselves.

I leave with my integrity intact." Err, no you don't Spiv.

As for his dozen supporters 8 of those will be his unidentified paid site assistants. Then there is Stacey and Clay. And a couple of trolls – Danielle, Pongo ...?

As for doing it on his own, did he bollox - he had a gang of supertrolls at his service. Talking of which I must crack on with the task of unmasking those buggers ...

[t0mcahill](#) 2 hours ago

You've done your part. The court issue is secondary. He'll be out before you know it, but his legacy is now worth very little. I saw the message you copied, but thank you.