

CAUL GRANT written by SHARON ZAKI

Another morally depraved Masonic lackey is **Caul Grant**. He is a mini version of Maurice Kirk. He approached me on 30<sup>th</sup> April 2014. Our exchange of emails [including the pdf I sent him] is **published just below**. Please note, on 25<sup>th</sup> May 2015 he sent me another, rather threatening, email stating: "You are so lucky I don't have an address for you. You must be a witch. How dare you talk about the death of my child. You must be directly related to the Devil. If you feel brave, send me your address." What a nasty man. No wonder his wife left him. Actually he's no man, he's a right wimp. He wouldn't dare pick on anyone his own size, and he's far too cowardly to pick on paedophiles or freemasons; instead he bullies middle aged ladies. Perhaps the police should be aware of this email, so that if anything *does* happen to me, they'd do well to start their line of enquiries with Caul Grant. Oh and if any more cowardly Masonic-controlled puppets decide they want to 'get' me, they can find my address here <http://www.192.com/atoz/people/zaki/sharon/> I will just add though, my son Andy has told me to "tell Grant to bring a white flag with him; he's gonna need it."

Note also that on 22<sup>nd</sup> October 2015 Caul Grant sent me another email: "Dear Sharon, You sound like a genuine victim of corruption but if you were, you would not be attacking others who have suffered in the same way you claim to have. However, having read your 37 page document about me, you have given me a brilliant idea, I will publish, in the form of banners, all the documentation of evidence I have to prove the truth, every question you pose will be answered through those documents. I ought to be saying thank you for giving me such a beautiful idea." Will someone please tell Grant that I don't attack genuine victims, I attack utter scum of the earth FAKES like him. Can someone also tell him to stick his banners where the sun don't shine.

Here follows our August 2014 email exchange:

Dear Sharon,

My name is Caul Grant, I represent a group called, Campaign for Truth & Justice, if you Google my name, you will see that we are genuine and that our only interest is to expose the Truth,

I raise my hat to you for having the courage to accept your calling in life, without any shadow of a doubt, your courage will bear fruit, I would even argue that, it has already borne fruit.

Like yourself, we sit on heaps and bundles of documented evidence detailing corruption throughout our entire system of Justice, our greatest challenge is exposure because mainstream media is a major part of the corruption,

Since mid September 2013, we have engaged in a weekly protest which takes place on a Monday and have named it Empowerment Mondays, these have been quite effective because we are naming and shaming without any prospects of being sued.

We would love to join forces with you in our joint endeavour to expose the Truth, if you are interested in such a possibility, please do not hesitate to contact me when you have the time,

In the meantime, may you continue in the light and strength of the Truth and the love of your beautiful family, exposing all forms of corruption and injustice,

Warmest regards,

Caul Grant

Hi Caul,

Thanks for getting in touch. Sorry it took a while for me to reply but I've been snowed under of late, with various things cropping up demanding my time.

Thank you also for your kind words; I will bear in mind what you say. However when you say that you and your group are naming and shaming without any prospects of being sued, I will just point out that you can only be sued if you libel anyone. Being part of a group, however big, matters not a jot. 'Safety in numbers' doesn't apply here; your safety is in truth and in faith in God who gives you the guts to speak it.

I am familiar with your campaigning. I sent you a message on Victims Unite, back in my naive days <http://victims-unite.net/our-cases-as-stories/fraudulent-imprisonment/caul-grant/>

I believe the best way forward for all victims is to expose the controlled opposition network. I am concerned that your case and your work is

publicised by shills and on known shill sites: Sabine McNeill, Butlincat, Before It's News, International Mens Organization, Inquiring Minds, Lawful Rebellion, Charles Seven, EddyTheCat7 ... I've had a look at your 'Documented Evidence' page, but your links do not appear to be working – there is nothing there to click on to!

On the basis of lack of evidence and links to shills I've done a bit of research and the result is the attached PDF.

I invite you to comment on the PDF and address my questions/comments i.e show me the evidence of your allegations. Please start with the report[s]/letters from the hospital which show clearly the events which led to your accusation of negligence regarding your son's death. Please then produce official documentation to support your further claims of judicial corruption/false arrest/imprisonment.

You are obviously aware of my 'war on shills'. Please now tell me that you are going to disassociate from and expose said shills – Sabine McNeill, Belinda McKenzie, MP John Hemming, Butlincat, Seven, Tony Farrell, Eddy ... Also that you are going to expose the Hollie Greig story as a hoax [I notice that you give it credence on your 'testimonials' page.]

Your reply will be published in full.

Regards,

Sharon Zaki

Hi Sharon,

You are obviously a very deluded individual,

Caul

[Here is my pdf on Caul Grant:](#)

Caul Silford Grant is, sadly, just another **pretend** Truth warrior who rubs elbows with the McKenzie Masonic Mafia – Sabine McNeill, Belinda McKenzie, MP Hemming ...

Caul Grant calls himself the chairman of 'Campaign for Truth & Justice'  
<http://www.ctj.org.uk/#> If you take a look here

<http://www.companydirectorcheck.com/caul-grant-2>

<http://www.companieslist.co.uk/04501548-campaign-for-truth-and-justice>

you will see he was actually employed as **company director** for CAMPAIGN FOR TRUTH AND JUSTICE [2/8/02 – 19/2/08] Company address: 25 Swinford Gardens, Barrington Road, London SW9 7LA. Ahmed Balogun was the **company secretary** of CAMPAIGN FOR TRUTH AND JUSTICE [2/8/02 – 19/2/08]. [Balogun was also secretary of Mastertouch Limited from [27/4/06 – 24/2/09] at the above address.]

Caul Grant's 'story' is told on his own site and repeated almost entirely word for word on known shill sites, such as Before Its News, Inquiring Minds, Butlincat.

In Caul Grant's synopsis <http://www.ctj.org.uk/#/caul-silford-grant/4559013861> he says: "Continued arrogant refusal of UK Authorities to investigate and give due redress to Mr. Grant, (and any others like him with supporting documented evidence proving inconclusively their allegations of victimization) fails all expectations of decency and demonstrate an outright double standard propagation that those who devise, implement and execute the law in their various chambers and Courts, as above the law and not answerable to anyone." Well that's just laughable as there is absolutely **no evidence** that Grant's story is true. He has a 'Documented Evidence' page on his site, but **no links** to any evidence [or anything at all!] On his 'News' section is a collection of letters, **one** addressed to Grant and the rest are in connection with Balogun only. Interestingly, the replies that are published there do not support Grant's allegations, **but rather reveal a bit of the truth.**

I have been all over the 'Campaign for Truth & Justice' site, I've scoured the internet and I've watched all the videos featuring Caul Grant, in search of evidence to support his story. There is none.

On his 'testimonial' page is reference to Hollie Greig <http://www.ctj.org.uk/#/testimonials/4554302683> whereby David Cameron is asked in a letter from Jean James "why is there nothing in the press about Hollie Grieg, and yet it is all over the internet?" Incidentally Jean James, a very well known so-called 'campaigner' in

'Trutherland', is also 'one of them'. She hobnobs with the shills and is an avid promoter of the Hollie Greig story. Say no more! In another 'testimonial' Caul Grant sings the praises of one Norman Scarth, who, it is stated "deserves a medal for bravery in taking on the whole corrupt System". Oh pass the puke bucket please. In another 'testimonial' is reference to Kevin Annett. Nuff said.

The following is Caul Grant's story. I copy and paste from his site <http://www.ctj.org.uk/#/genesis-of-the-problem/4559046061> [my questions and comments are in red]:

In November 1994, Mr. Grant retained the services of Bindman & Partners Solicitors to pursue a complaint against King's College NHS Hospital Trust for negligently giving false information about the circumstances leading up to the death of his 14 month old son. **Now straightaway my bullshit buzzer is ringing in my ears. The story is that baby Prince Anthony Grant was killed on 3/9/1994 and that the hospital – King's College was negligent. Apparently the baby died of dehydration, although that important bit of information is not even stated here! Caul Grant mentions it here**

**<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=h250EWjvQPA> If this had happened, first of all there would have been a hospital investigation and at least one report ... and such things take a very long time to materialise; even years. You don't straightaway go to a solicitor [just 2 months later!!!] and no solicitor would do anything anyway until the hospital have had a chance to respond. Anyway, all that aside, we need to know what are the details surrounding the death and on what grounds was the hospital negligent? Where are the letters to/from the hospital, where is the report?**

Bindman & Partners advised him in writing that there was no law in the United Kingdom which gave protection against false advice. **Where's that letter?** Mr. Grant found this very difficult to accept and sought the advice of several other law firms, who all told him Bindmans were wrong. **Who are these other law firms and where are their replies?** Mr. Grant tried to have his Legal Aid Certificate transferred to a firm who were prepared to properly represent his interest, but Bindmans refused to release it. **Where is the evidence for this?** In August 1997 **What happened between 1994 and 1997?** Mr. Grant then issued a writ against

Bindman & Partners for several breaches of the Solicitors Code of Conduct. They included a breach of contract, wilfully giving false advice and failure to act in the best interest of the client. **Where is this written evidence?** Bindman & Partners were represented by another firm of Solicitors, Reynolds Porter Chamberlain (RPC). They offered no defence to the claim and sought only to rely on the influence of their friends or families within the Judiciary. The Senior Partner at RPC, Alan Toulson, is the brother of a High Court Judge, Mr. Justice Toulson. Alan Toulson, **or his firm**, went to his brother and arranged to have Mr. Grant's claim willfully struck out of Court. **What are the details? Where's the court documentation/judgement? Where is the statement from RPC? Why do you not know the name of the person at RPC who represented Bindmans?** RPC also arranged, with Justice Toulson, an unlawful injunction against him contacting Bindman's directly. **Why was the injunction unlawful? Where is the court documentation?**

The actions of Justice Toulson and his brother, or brother's law firm, is a direct contravention of Article 6, Section 1 of The European Convention. During the abuse of process and infringement of his rights, Mr. Grant made several complaints to Downing Street, Members of Parliament, the media and to Her Majesty the Queen, but no help was forthcoming. *In an effort to attract public attention to all what was taking place, he spray painted the windows of the offices of Bindman & Partners.* Mr. Grant was subsequently arrested and prosecuted for criminal damage. **Well he could expect to be prosecuted as he had committed a crime! Where is the official documentation? He boasts here <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=esimDScvTVs> that he commits crimes [including drug smuggling]. How is his imprisonment[s] unlawful? He pleaded not guilty as a result of circumstances. Just because you feel you've been wronged in some way [not that there is any evidence that Grant has suffered any injustice], that does not give you the right to commit offences. Two wrongs don't make a right and such action does not bring you any public sympathy. There are other ways of **lawfully** attracting public attention.**

The following Morning, 13th August 1997, he was brought before a District Judge, Mr. Baker and despite the **documented fact** **Where is this documentation?** that there were no conditions attached to his bail, the

Judge remanded him to 4 days in prison. **Where is the court documentation/judgement?** On the 17th August 1997 he was taken back to the same Court before a different Judge, Mr. Johnstone, who stated in Court, he would not become involved in what was taking place and he confirmed that there were no conditions attached to his bail and released him from custody. **Where is the court documentation i.e. court transcript/judgement?** In June 1998 Mr. Grant was eventually convicted for the allegation of criminal damage. He appealed against the conviction and in July 1998 the conviction was overturned. The Appellate Court presided over by His Honor Judge Inman, accepted his defence of duress of circumstances. **We only have Grant's word for all this. Where's the official documentation???**

By now Mr. Grant had also received a reply to his complaint from Buckingham Palace, written on behalf of the Queen. It is clear from that letter that the Queen could not intervene directly. However, she instructed that Mr. Grant's letter of complaint be sent direct to the former Head of the Judiciary, Lord Irvine. The letter from Buckingham Palace also confirmed Lord Irvine's awareness of his case. Lord Irvine's failure to act on the issues raised in his complaint allowed the corruption to spread out of control and because he was the Head of the Judiciary Mr. Grant was denied the right to be heard by an impartial, independent Tribunal, the basic principles of fairness.

In September 1998 Mr Grant reissued his writ, this time there were four Defendants, Bindman & Partners for reasons already given, the Solicitors Indemnity Fund, the Hospital responsible for subjecting him to mental torture and the Hospital responsible for deliberately over prescribing him antidepressants. **Where is all the documentary evidence? How the hell can you over prescribe antidepressants? This is BS of the highest order.** Alan Toulson, **or his firm**, went back to his brother to have Mr. Grant's writ struck out, again unlawfully. **Where's the documentation?** In an effort to break him once and for all, RPC also alleged that **Mr. Grant had breached their unlawful injunction.** **Where's the documentation?** In February 1999 Mr. Justice Toulson conducted a hearing, in Mr. Grant's absence, without legal representation and **without his knowledge ???**, prosecuted **by his brother or his brother's law firm.** **Why do you not know who it was?** At the conclusion of that unlawful

hearing, Justice Toulson ordered that Mr Grant should go to Prison and serve 6 months for Contempt of Court. **All this is hearsay.** From what we can gather from this one sided **story**, which is without a scrap of evidence, it looks like Grant deserved to be locked up for contempt of court, breach of injunction etc. Nothing unlawful has been proved. If grant has evidence of malpractice, **please show it.** Show us all the official documentation and the court transcripts/letters/statements.

Having been Remanded in Custody, (4 Days) on a **False Allegation of Breach of Bail Conditions**, by District Judge Baker sitting at Clerkenwell Magistrate Court in August 1997, (when court records show there was no conditions attached to bail) **WHY DON'T YOU PUBLISH THESE COURT RECORDS???** Mr. Grant later had his Writ against Bindman & Partners and Others **unlawfully Struck Out** by a High Court Judge in December 1998. **There is no evidence at all to support this story.** Nothing unlawful has been proven. Caul Grant seems to be a mini version of Maurice Kirk.

And having been **unlawfully Imprisoned** 'Without Charge or Trial' by Justice Toulson and his brother or brother's Law Firm, acting on behalf of Bindman & Partners in February 1999, Mr. Grant further received in June 2001 a rejection of his Application of Complaint to the European Court of Human Rights, on grounds that the Application was filed too late.

And when all ensuing public actions organized by him to bring public awareness and pressure to the authorities between August 2001 and July 2003, (with protest marches and demonstrations to Parliament Square, Royal Court of Justice, Downing Street, etc,) resulted in **further numerous** periods of False Arrest and Imprisonments, (of both himself and other Campaign for Truth & Justice members) on accusations ranging from Contempt of Court without an Appeal, (unlawfully charged, tried, sentenced and executed by the very same alleging Magistrates' in total contravention of the Rule of Law) to 5 Months imprisonment on **alleged obstruction of the Highway**, even though the maximum sentence available was 28 Days. **Caul Grant, just like Maurice Kirk, Norman Scarth ... has been asking** for his various terms of imprisonment. It is not 'false' arrest/imprisonment if you commit crimes. You cannot take the law into your own hands. That's anarchy. Where are the court



transcripts /documentation? Where are the official statements? Where are the details as to what actually happened? Why doesn't Grant name the others who were 'falsely imprisoned'? Where is the evidence that anyone in the 'Campaign for Truth & Justice' group was imprisoned even? There is no evidence whatsoever to back up this story. No evidence that the hospital was negligent and no evidence that anything that occurred afterwards was unlawful, or even that it occurred at all!

In Caul Grant's 'News' section on his site

<http://www.ctj.org.uk/#/news/4554302684> we see **some truth emerge**

i.e. confirmation that there is **no evidence to support Grant's 'story'**. I

refer to some of the correspondence [and comment/question in red].

Now, folks, you have to ask, **where is all the documentation** pertaining to the period from the death of Grant's baby **3/9/1994** up until the first letter which is published **9/1/1998** i.e. **nearly 4 years later**. And, Sweet Jesus, guess what that *all important letter* is ... a brief note from *Buckingham Palace* telling him his letter has been redirected to the Lord Chancellor! The next letter published is from the Lord Chancellor's department, dated ... **2/3/2001** i.e. a further **3 years later**. **So, from baby Prince Anthony's death in 1994, there is NO documentation published until 2001 i.e. NEARLY SEVEN YEARS LATER. And that letter doesn't even address ALLEGED hospital negligence, it only refers to Grant's complaints of alleged 'corrupt' members of the judiciary, in particular Mr Justice Toulson, who imprisoned him, so-called 'unlawfully'.**

**From 2/3/2001 until June 2007 there is nothing published.** From 2/6/07 to February 2012 there are a handful of letters from various 'HM Partnership' departments published. For example, Ministry of Justice, Home Office, 10 Downing St, Joint Committee on Human Rights. This is a typical skill ploy to show establishment 'buck passing'; the idea being to give them some credibility. Of course the truth is all these departments are Masonic controlled and are 'in' on the 'game', whether or not the author of such letters is a mason himself. As for the Buckingham Palace letter, the author of that – Andrew Dent – *must be a*

*lying Mason*, as he writes: “I know that he is already aware of your case, but now he will also know of your approach to Her Majesty on this matter.” FFS; don’t make me laugh. This is an ‘in your face’ sign that this Caul Grant **story** is executed and controlled by high level freemasons.

Letter dated 2 March 2001 from Malcolm S Watts, Lord Chancellor’s department to C Grant. Ref: C98/363/10 Note, this is the only letter that deals directly with Caul Grant and it is **dated 2001**. [At the time of penning this PDF, that is **more than 13 years ago**.] All other letters are from or to his secretary Ahmed Balogun. Why? Grant says Balogun fights his corner whilst he is ‘inside’ [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=\\_SuAQFXTHTs](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_SuAQFXTHTs) but he has not been imprisoned for the whole time since 1994 to date i.e 20 years! And even if he was, he could still have written his own correspondence. He says himself that he continued campaigning from prison. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=th-DrZvVa6o> And it seems the prison was pretty accommodating, as they kindly let him read the £4,000 worth of law books given to him by his buddy Balogun – who just happened to hear of his case on the radio some years back and arrived on the scene as though he were St George & the 7th Cavalry rolled into one ... Give me a break. Also the letters Balogun has written [well the ones published] are only for the period February 2012 to May 2013, and they do not deal with Grant’s ‘case’, they’re just full of baseless vague accusations.

I quote:

I am responding to your letter of 26 January and to your subsequent telephone conversations with my colleagues, Mr Hochfelder and Mr Coyne. I am sorry that I have not been able to speak to you myself.

You have complained about several members of the judiciary but, in particular about Mr Justice Toulson who **sentenced you to a term of imprisonment in February 1999**. I believe you discussed this in some

detail during your telephone conversation with Mr Coyne of 16 February. As he understands it, the hearing was an ex-parte application made by Reynolds Porter Chamberlain on behalf of Bindman & Partners. You had previously taken out proceedings against Bindman & Partners and had subsequently breached in some way. The hearing before Mr Justice Toulson was in respect of that breach. You have complained that the Judge was unfairly biased against you because his brother, Mr A K Toulson is a senior partner with Reynolds Porter Chamberlain.

As I understand Mr Coyne explained to you on the telephone, Judges are bound by the rules of conflict of interest derived from case law. A Judge is automatically disqualified if he is shown to have an interest in the outcome of the case he is to decide. A Judge might be disqualified if, on an examination of all the circumstances, the Court concludes that there is a real danger or possibility of bias. The judgment concludes that [ordinarily] an objection could not be soundly based on the employment background or history of any member of a judge's family, not on Masonic associations. Therefore, the fact that the Judge's brother is a member of the firm of solicitors who represented Bindman & Partners in this case is not a sufficient ground for the Judge to stand down or to substantiate an allegation of bias.

In the circumstances I do not believe that there are sufficient grounds to justify our approaching the Judge for his comments.

Letter from Ahmed Balogun dated 15<sup>th</sup> February 2012 to Mr Bernard Hogan-Howe, Metropolitan Police Commissioner

Note the style of this letter. It highlights very clearly typical shill tactics which reveal more hints that a complainant is NOT 'on the level'; rather they are just gunning for war, without any justification. Not only do all shills defame prominent people, but they all write confrontational and insulting letters, which are full of gobbledygook, to authority figures. They are no different than the agents provocateurs you see at legit protest marches.

Shills send out subliminal messages to genuine victims. They want **us** to fire off 'angry' letters, as the aim is to have us all viewed as anarchists; that way we can collectively be treated with contempt by the more 'level headed' mainstream reader and thus dismissed. Violence, whether physical or in the form of verbal aggression is never the answer. It is a sure-fire way to get us ignored. The shills know that. The only way we are going to be heard and taken seriously and thus win this war is when we calmly and politely present facts which can be backed up with evidence. The shills know this too.

I quote:

Now that you have had an opportunity to set your feet down at the table following your promotion to the office of commissioner, we wish to bring directly to your knowledge and awareness, [in case those responsible for briefing on assuming the role of Metropolitan Police commissioner, for some reason or another conveniently failed to brief you] on the unambiguous unique position and standing of Campaign for Truth & Justice; It's Chair as well as all its members past; present and future. **Note the derogatory tone, so typical of the agent provocateur.**

The Chair is Mr Caul Silford Grant. It was he who formed Campaign for T & J after having been made to suffer False and Unlawful Imprisonment at the hands of known corrupt members of the judiciary, [Mr Justice Toulson] who presided a matter involving his brother, [Alan Toulson] law Firm interest, [Reynolds Porter Chamberlaine] in total violations of the Rule of Law.

The above statement is of fact indisputable and not allegation from any imagination as it is on record, Justice Toulson presided a matter involving his brother or brother's law firm **Interest**; which resulted in Mr. Grant's **UNLAWFUL IMPRISONMENT** in February 1999. **No evidence has been provided to show false and unlawful imprisonment and there**

has been no violation of the rule of law, as explained in the reply above. Why doesn't Grant speak for himself?

In addition to the above, Mr Grant spent a further 6 years unlawfully locked up in prison, [August 2003 – September 2009] without being subjected to the due process of law. There is no evidence that Grant was locked up unlawfully. Why doesn't he or his pal Ahmed provide the court transcripts? Where are the **details** i.e. sequence of events?

Having been wrongfully convicted, Mr Grant filed an application seeking Permission to Appeal. This was refused by the single Judge and renewed for an Oral Hearing. However, this too was refused despite the guarantee of Article 6 of the Human Rights Act, without any examination of the facts. **The court refused legal aid and also refused the right of Self Representation and ordered the Prison Authorities not to produce him in court for the hearing of his application.** There is no evidence that any of this happened. However if Grant was refused an appeal, it would have been because he had no grounds for one. Grant cannot scream 'unlawful' or 'corruption' without proof and to prove such he has to publish all the court related documentation. Also the idea that prison authorities were ordered by a court not to produce him in court is ludicrous.

Grant is the one refusing to give us the facts. He cannot provide any evidence for any of his allegations simply because he is feeding us a **pack of lies**. Legal aid is only awarded for legitimate legal actions. Read on for some of the truth, which is revealed in subsequent correspondence.

This was yet again, a fundamental breach of law and perversion of justice by the judiciary, making the accusation which led to conviction [become] completely irrelevant. **All shill spin and no substance.**

Justice Toulson's conduct of Procedural Impropriety, [breach of the principles of Natural Justice and judicial duty to act fairly under Article 6

Human Rights Act 1998] was and still is nothing short of blatant corruption warranting an investigation of the facts by the relevant authorities as to ensure Accountability for Judicial transgressions. Instead what materialised were entrenched wholesale Systemic Failures and Institutional Corruption, amidst a popular establishment culture of premature dismissals and rejections of legitimate complaints prior to any objective investigations, [from the Judiciary's Lord Chancellor's Department, Ministry of Justice, Home Office, Members of Parliament, etc...] as the **complaint got passed from pillar to post in deliberate tactic aimed to frustrate it away** without giving it due redress... etc etc. No corruption has been proven by Caul Grant or any of his cronies. Note the mention of establishment agencies. All shills make a big deal of publishing their correspondence with the various branches of 'HM Partnership' in an effort to give their *stories* and allegations credibility. They shy away from publishing hard evidence that their stories are true!

Recently we had two of our vehicles seized by the Metropolitan Police Force and were about to take action. However, we thought it necessary to bring to your personal attention the issues raised and thus eliminating any doubt as to your knowledge of the facts. We do not expect this to happen again, but should it, there will be consequences. Once again no evidence has been provided that any of Grant's vehicles [or vehicles belonging to any of his associates] were seized by the police or by anyone else. And if they were, there could have been legitimate reason. There is no evidence to suggest malpractice.

It is clearly in your interest to acquaint yourself with the history and facts of this matter and you should also seek the advice of your legal advisors because we are permitted to protect ourselves from all unlawful attacks from you or your officers and agents. More derogatory verbalize. Balogun Baloney, why don't you furnish us with the history and facts???

Know now and be warned! We declare with uncompromising justification that we are within our rights, to use the minimum force necessary, to attain redress for the Judicial Authority's overt abuse of

the Due Process and several Convention Right violations; as provisioned for by both national domestic and International Laws and covenants. Here we see totally unjustified verbal aggression and threats ... so typical of these enemy agents.

Letter dated 13<sup>th</sup> December 2012 from Sergeant David Roche, Metropolitan Police Service [MPS] to Ahmed Balogun. Ref: PC/6297/12

### **Public complaint against Police**

I quote:

Regarding your public complaint against Police, I have now taken over this investigation from PC Rooney.

I note that PC Rooney has had difficulty in communicating with you to ascertain the exact nature of your complaint and you failed to attend a pre-arranged appointment. I am in possession of a letter that you wrote to my office on 23<sup>rd</sup> November 2013 where you have provided further dates to meet together to discuss your complaint, however, these dates have now lapsed.

It is essential that we discuss the details of your complaint before I can take my investigations any further. The police service has a legal duty to investigate any formal complaint against an officer. It is in the interests of both you and the officer concerned that an investigation of this kind is dealt with as soon as possible and I would like to discuss if you would be willing to resolve this matter locally.

Please can I urge you to contact me by 21<sup>st</sup> December 2012 by letter or telephone so we can progress your complaint to your satisfaction. If you do not contact me by this date, one of the following options will apply:

#### **Option 1**

I will carry out a proportionate investigation on the basis of the facts we already have. This will be the best investigation that it is possible for us to do without your help.

## **Option 2**

If there is insufficient information to carry out an investigation, I will advise you that I intend to ask for permission to cancel any enquiries into your complaint.

### A Balogun responds on 16<sup>th</sup> December 2012

I quote:

However I am not sure of its relevance, given the Metropolitan Police has already reached a conclusion which it communicated to me in a letter dated 3<sup>rd</sup> December 2012. [Copy enclosed for your perusal]. **But he doesn't publish the letter dated 3/12/12.**

However as the **Metropolitan Police's conclusion was overtly biased, shallow and one sided in attempt to probably protect dishonourable colleagues who should be exposed and appropriately reprimanded for their failures**, I lodged my Appeal of their decision with the supposedly 'independent' Police Complaints Commission without fail, immediately in a letter dated 10<sup>th</sup> December 2012. [Again I enclose a copy for your perusal]. **But again he doesn't publish the letter dated 10/12/12.**

Now turning to your letter, **let me begin by correcting your erroneous assertion** within it that I 'failed to attend a pre-arrange appointment'. As the **previous Police investigator, [PS Natalie Pilsbury] wittingly/unwittingly falsely claimed I raised matters about Judicial System in my letter of 23/11/2012, when I did NOT.** **And again, he doesn't publish his letter dated 23/11/12**



For the record, I state NO pre-arranged appointment was ever made with me, let alone one that I was aware of and did not attend.

He follows this with another **extremely offensive** letter dated 7<sup>th</sup> January 2013

I quote:

I write in view of your long and resoundingly ear shattering silence of your office, to my communication of 16<sup>th</sup> December 2012.

I know employees of the Metropolitan Police enjoy an exceedingly generous Xmas and New Year holidays packages, which at times can make resumption back to work on the back of these holidays most burdensome, as individual officers grow podgier and podgier.

However, as this maybe demonstrative evidence of good living required amongst the ranks, I hold it not against you and your CHUBBY, CREAM CAKE and BISCUIT eating colleagues, for having the fortune of A GOOD and COMFORTABLE LIVING at public tax payers' expense.

Nonetheless when you decide to return to work proper, please if it's not too much, can you reply my letter [16<sup>th</sup> December 2012] ensuring to exercise your mind directly at answering the contents thereof.

Finally as the previous availability dates given have nearly lapsed, find new ones enclosed for forward planning accordingly:

**28<sup>th</sup>/29<sup>th</sup>/30<sup>th</sup>/31<sup>st</sup> January 2013 and 5<sup>th</sup>/6<sup>th</sup>/7<sup>th</sup>/8<sup>th</sup> February 2013**

There follows a letter dated 9 January 2013 to A Balogun. **HOWEVER ONLY PART OF THIS LETTER IS PUBLISHED AND IT IS NOT SPECIFIED WHO THE AUTHOR IS**

I quote:

This letter is about your appeal against the MPS, which we received on 14 December 2012.

Before outlining our decision I should explain that our role in the appeal process is not to investigate your complaint but to review:

- . whether or not the MPS is the right police force to consider your complaint; and if so,
- . whether or not they should have recorded it as a complaint about the way their staff have behaved.

If they have not yet provided you with a recording decision we can direct the force to provide you with a recording decision.

Please note that our decision will not consider whether your complaint is required to be investigated, our decision only concerns whether the complaint should be recorded or not.

After considering all the information available I have upheld your appeal.

In assessing your appeal I made the following observations;

**1. Did the force/authority fail to make a decision?**

No; the MPS made a recording decision and relayed the recording decision to Mr Wise via a letter dated 3 December 2012.

Not Upheld

**2. Did the force/authority fail to notify the appropriate authority?**

No; the MPS are considered to be the appropriate authority in this case.

Not Upheld

### **3. Should the matter/s you raised have been recorded as a complaint:**

I have reviewed your complaint letter dated 15 November 2012 and consider that the matters you raise are required to be recorded within the provision of the Police Reform Act 2002.

Mr Ahmed complains that there are corrupted individuals within HM Court Services and that the Metropolitan Police have failed to investigate.

The IPCC Statutory Guidance advises that if there is a level of doubt about whether a complaint relates to conduct, it should be recorded.

Upheld

The MPS will contact you concerning the above actions.

Sergeant David Roche replies on 10<sup>th</sup> January 2013

#### **Outcome of your public complaint against Police**

I quote:

I am in receipt of your letter dated 7 January 2013, the tone of which I found to be insulting.

From the outset I will state that if I receive any further such communication from you I will deem it to be vexatious and repetitious. However, in the interests of fairness I am writing to inform you of the outcome of your complaint against Police.

In your letter dated 26 October 2012, you stated that you attended Belgravia Police Station to report 'criminal complaint' whereupon the duty officer refused to record your complaint due to 'personal and institutional racism as well as prejudice'.

Your complaint was originally allocated to investigator PC ROONEY in my office. **PC ROONEY was unable to clearly establish with you a date and time that you attended Belgravia Police Station. Indeed PC ROONEY emailed you early on to ask these questions as well as for a description of the person you spoke to at the time.** PC ROONEY has now moved to another policing role and I informed you that I would now investigate your complaint. **However, I am aware that you have written to both myself and PC ROONEY several times, yet you have failed to engage and provide me with the essential information needed to investigate your complaint.**

Your letters thus far have mentioned 'complaints against corrupt prominent members of the British establishment by victims, non meritis of any serious consideration' and 'I am about exposing the wholesale institutional corruption culture adamantly determined to unlawfully deny victims of deliberate violations of the laws of the land'.

I do note that your complaint is of a serious nature, however, **on the basis of the information you have provided me with I am unable to fully investigate your complaint, therefore on the balance of probabilities I find no evidence of a breach of MPS standards of professional behaviour and I find your complaint not upheld.**

You have the right of appeal to the Independent Police Complaints Commission [IPCC] if you think I have failed to follow the correct procedures. You have 28 days within which to make your appeal.

This communication is followed by a letter dated 13<sup>th</sup> January 2013 from A Balogun to Sergeant Roche **This letter is full of uncalled for disparaging remarks.**

I quote:

However to the overpaid; CORRUPTED; career pole climbing; workshy; cream cake and biscuit eating OFFICERS within the fold that make decisions with a view to their retirement PENSION POT or PROMOTIONS, rather than in responsible duty to Honesty, Truth and Justice, I echo the entire contents of my 7<sup>th</sup> January 2013 letter AGAIN ...

I aim to show you that TRUTH has NO FEAR and your threat is NOT enough to deter me, until the Metropolitan Police comes to its senses about the decision NOT to Record or investigate legitimate criminal complaints against corrupted members of the JUDICIARY.

Now as you have UNQUESTIONABLY, [due to your own inadequacies or other reasons] outright failed, to read or comprehend the core issues outlined in my 16<sup>th</sup> December 2012 letter to you, **your entire findings outline in your 10<sup>th</sup> January 2013 is utter RUBBISH, Wilful Wasteful of Public Fund and Resource ...**

Paragraphs 1 and 2 thereof state clearly that the Metropolitan Police had reached a Final decision and conclusion [dated 3<sup>rd</sup> December 2012] which was communicated to me. The second paragraph of the same letter went on to tell you that I, utterly rejected the discernible findings and appealed immediately to the IPCC, enclosing you a copy of the MTS 3<sup>rd</sup> December 2012 Decision letter as well as my 10<sup>th</sup> December 2012 Appeal letter to the IPCC. **[Neither the 3/12/12 letter or the 10/12/12 letter is published.]**

With these information having been communicated to you by myself, [if not already by your colleagues] then why go through the process of conducting an investigation into a matter that a decision had already been reached and communicated on 3<sup>rd</sup> December 2012?

The matter had left your hands and JURISDICTION by 3<sup>rd</sup> December 2012 and had activated an Appeal to IPCC 10<sup>th</sup> December 2012.

**This is why your Shallow and SHODDY mickey mouse investigation with its Prejudicial and Biased findings as outlined in your letter dated 10<sup>th</sup> January 2013 is IRRELEVANT and the toilet paper your NONSENSICAL findings was written on can be soaked in water overnight before being deployed by myself for its real functional purpose proper in the lavatory.**

**By the way, I know and can confirm your incompetent erroneous findings CORRUPT, because IPCC have UPHELD my complaint [9<sup>th</sup> January 2013] whilst you claim it to be UNFOUNDED and Baseless. Your second bite at the cherry with the failure to come to the right conclusion, demonstrate WHY THE POLICE CANNOT INVESTIGATE ITSELF! Corruption has not been proven. Why aren't all the letters referred to published?**

Here is a letter from Sergeant Jeremy Graves to A Balogan dated 26<sup>th</sup> March 2013

I quote:

I am in receipt and grateful for your letters of 15<sup>th</sup> November and 10<sup>th</sup> December 2012.

Reading through those communications it appears to me that the crux of your complaint is an allegation that police were 'wilful' in an attempt to deny you 'and others' a right of appeal following a conviction in Harrow Crown Court on 6<sup>th</sup> August 2012. I note that you were convicted of contempt of court on 7<sup>th</sup> August 2012 and imprisoned for 28 days.

Your letter[s] adduce many points concerning jurisdiction which constitute points of law and for which a court would never afford gravity to the opinion[s]/evidence of police officer before reaching a conclusion.

You also stated, “the very same morally bankrupt law enforcers are ready to deal decisive [sic] and ruthlessly with non white/black/African/Caribbean others who fall foul of the law”. It therefore appears that you are also attempting to allege some form of discrimination. Nevertheless, I note that **in none of the correspondence that you have sent have you at any stage produced any evidence to support these aversions**. I do not feel that it is proportionate for me to come to you for another account as the investigation is now in receipt of two letters **both of which purport to set out the facts of your complaint and neither of which have provided any checkable fact**. Consequently, I find ‘no case to answer’ on any of the points that you raise against any police officer. Well since we only have the 15/11/12 letter published it is difficult to gauge whether all the points raised are being addressed. However based on just the letter which is published, which is full of waffle, it looks like a pretty good summary of the situation. [In that letter Balogun alleges that “corrupted individuals within HM COURT SERVICES have not been investigated”. Well, why doesn’t he name these people and give some details? He says as a result he and ‘others’ were denied their right to an appeal “following a conviction in Harrow Crown Court 6<sup>th</sup> August 2012, by deliberately obscuring HM COURT RECORDS and DATA”. This is typical shill style obfuscation. Who are these ‘others’? What are the details that led to the conviction? What is meant by deliberate obscuring of court records/data? Where is the evidence of such? Why would these ‘others’ need an appeal? Were they convicted too? What is meant by a “deliberate CRIMINAL concocted distortion of facts”? Balogan continues: “... by claiming conviction occurring in a CROWN COURT, occurred in a MAGISTRATES COURT, despite evidence to the contrary.” This is more rambling nonsense. He goes on to say: “Now, with the HIGH COURT eventually accepting jurisdiction to hear my Appeal and giving my until 19<sup>th</sup> November 2012 to submit any further submissions to the court in view of the Transcribes ordered on the Case, I find it baffling that a corrupt criminal element [with UNFETTERED ACCESS to HM COURT DATE] can be allowed unchecked to list me for the same bogus Appeal Hearing on 5<sup>th</sup> November 2012 in CROYDON

CROWN COURT.” This is more of the same mumbo jumbo. **Why doesn't Balogun publish those transcripts???** **If he did, maybe we'd see some truth instead of spin and baseless accusations.** The rest of this letter is full of the same long-winded nonsense and groundless accusations. He ends by asking the Lord Chancellor's department to investigate “judicial violations and irregularities” in connection with him being “unlawfully denied” an appeal regarding his 6<sup>th</sup> January 2003 conviction. Well, **why doesn't he give us details of that conviction [and the one on 6<sup>th</sup> August 2012] and show us the court transcripts???**

Balogun responds to Sergeant Jeremy Graves on 30<sup>th</sup> March 2013. His tone is insulting and aggressive and the content repetitive and full of BS and gobbledygook. [In short it is just more of the same old shill speak.]

I quote:

Please allow me to begin by correcting an apparent misconception as to the 'crux' of my complaint.

The actual 'crux' of my complaint relates directly with the Metropolitan Police Force/Services failures and unwillingness over the years to RECORD or INVESTIGATE CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS against corrupted **unproven** members of the JUDICIAL ESTABLISHMENTS, [namely JUSTICE TOULSON] falling foul of Statutory provisions under:

- . Public Bodies Corrupt Practices Act 1889, s1
- . Prevention of Corruption Act 1906, s1

Suggestion by you in your communication that my complaint regards an allegation that 'police were wilful in an attempt to deny me and others, a right of appeal following a conviction in Harrow Crown Court' is:

- a] Disingenuous,
- b] Wittingly/unwittingly a distortion of the facts by the summarising authorities, in attempt to make my complaint seem misguided and



confused. That's what you said, you ignoramus. You're the one distorting facts. But that's what shills are paid to do.

As a reasonably educated person, able to write clearly for the extremely intelligent as well as the comprehensively schooled to follow, it strikes me clearly that members of the Metropolitan Police Force/Service LACK BASIC COMPREHENSION SKILLS and CANNOT EVEN read and DECIPHER BASIC written communication properly. Else there would be no reason for anyone reading any of my written communications coherently to conclude as you have on behalf of the organization you represent that I charge it 'wilful in an attempt to deny me and others a right of appeal'.

For the record in aid of clarity that's a good one, my original complaint relates to UNACCEPTABLE CORRUPTION all corruption is unacceptable, you smug b...stard ... you've failed to prove any within the UK Judiciary, which violates

- . Public Bodies blah blah [as above.]

The latter part of my complaint is aimed precisely at the Metropolitan Police force/Services Failure and unwillingness to Record or Investigate Criminal Complaints against corrupted members of the JUDICIARY [namely JUSTICE TOULSON] falling foul of Statutory provisions within:

- . Public Bodies etc etc

I hope now my complaint is very clear and unmistakable for even the simplest of mind POLICE OFFICER, Sergeant or Inspector to comprehend without having to be further schooled in the heart of BASIC COMPREHENSION. You have no grounds for complaint and you don't know the meaning of clear, you only know vagueness, obfuscation and bullshitification – all qualities necessary of the fake 'victim' [State agent.]

Finally, I remind you that the heart of discerning truth **don't make me laugh; you insult the word truth** entails getting statements from all parties and NOT just looking at the contents of one or two letters of complaint without bothering at any stage to invite VICTIM complaints without bothering at any stage to invite VICTIM complainants to provide full and detailed statement of facts, before claiming in a shoddy investigation that there is no evidence to support factual assertion made in complaints. **Sergeant Jeremy Graves has already told you that you have failed to provide any evidence to support your claims. How about YOU showing US these statements of fact? Woops, I forgot, you skills don't deal in facts, you deal in fabrication, lies and disinfo.**

Why have you not contacted me and asked me to come and produce a full and detail statement of the facts, before writing your one sided biased account? **How many times do you need to be told by the police that YOU have to provide "essential information and evidence of your aversions"???**

Furthermore why is it only two letters that you chose to base all I have to say on and omit all my other communications on the matter from 15<sup>th</sup> February 2012 to the Commissioner Bernard Hogan-Howe; 16<sup>th</sup> December 2012; 13<sup>th</sup> January 2013 and 13<sup>th</sup> February 2013 all equally addressed to the Metropolitan Police Force/Service. **Show us any of your communications that ISN'T full of repetitive spurious bilge.**

That it is NOT proportionate for you to get my account of events is a demonstration of you and the Metropolitan Police Force's **UTTER CONTEMPT** for VICTIMS and the general public as a whole. It is either that or share racist bigot attempts to say that **NONE WHITE VICTIMS** of violations of the laws, by corrupt members of establishment will NOT be extended the protection of law as **WHITE VICTIMS**. **You are not a victim and you do not speak on behalf of genuine victims. You are a pretend victim and so is your pal Caul Grant. Both of you filthy disgusting enemy agents.**

Well I have news for you. You are now confronted by a breed of NON White Black/African/Caribbean generation that require JUSTICE is dispensed equally on all communities without fear or favour. So be assured your nonsensical finding is hereby IMMEDIATELY APPEALED by this very response. **Stop trying to bring race into it. It is nothing to do with the colour of your skin; it is to do truth only.** Sadly you insult the word.

Balogun then pens his poison to the Appeals Department of the IPCC on 1<sup>st</sup> April 2013

I quote:

I wish to formally register an Appeal against the Metropolitan Police Force's SHAMBOLIC decision as contained in the Departments' letter dated 26<sup>th</sup> March 2013, authored by Sergeant Jeremy Graves.

From the contents of Sergeant Jeremy Graves' letter, it is clear that he didn't have an adequate comprehension of the issues at the heart of the complaint he was charged to investigate.

The crux of the matter according to this DULL and OUTRIGHT **LAZY** SERGEANT, is that my complaint regards an allegation that 'police were wilful in an attempt to deny me and others, a right of appeal following a conviction in Harrow Crown Court. Sergeant Jeremy Graves' summary and interpretation of my complaint could not have been any closer to UTTER and INACCURATE MIS-INTERPRETATION of the crux of my complaint.

In fact it does not surprise me, given his attitude as expressed in his own hands is one which dismisses witnesses prematurely and sees no need in getting statements from them before formulating a conclusion.

It's laughable that shoddy investigators like Sergeant Jeremy Graves and Sergeant David Roche are the ilk, both Scotland Yard and the

Metropolitan Police Force boast to be the envy of the world. Clearly if standards for their entry into the POLICE were NOT so low both these Sergeants would still be **seating** at the back of the classroom, re-attempting ENGLISH COMPREHENSION EXAMS for the 80<sup>th</sup> year.

The fact of the matter is that the actual 'crux' of my complaint relates directly with the Metropolitan Police Force/Services failures and unwillingness over the years to RECORD or INVESTIGATE CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS against **blah blah blah and more repetitive blah.**

As for 'schooling' Ahmed **Baloney** Balogun, I suggest you examine your own English. Your spelling is atrocious. As for letter content, stop penning your odious offensive letters. You're kidding no-one. Simply publish the court documentation and let people see the facts for themselves. Woops, silly me; forgot again that you can't do that as your job is to bury the truth and hoodwink the public. Carry on shilling. Hope it's worth it. May you and your 'brother' Caul Grant rot in hell. [Grant himself refers to Ahmed as his brother <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SuAQFXTHTs> We'll probably find out one day that both these men are masons and have been promoted to high levels for their dedication to service!]

Next up is a letter from Andrew Thomas, Casework Manager of the IPCC on 23<sup>rd</sup> May 2013

He writes as follows:

This letter is about your appeal against the complaint investigation carried out by the Metropolitan Police, which we received on 4 April 2013.

The IPCC's role in the appeal process is to review the investigation into your complaint, not to re-investigate your complaint.

Our decision on your appeal is linked to paragraph 25 of Schedule 3 of the Police Reform Act 2002. I have looked at the following issues in concluding your appeal:

- . whether the **findings** of the investigation need to be reconsidered
- . whether the **outcomes**, for example in relation to whether any disciplinary or other actions should be taken, are appropriate
- . whether the matter should be referred to the CPS
- . whether you received adequate **information** about the findings of the investigation

I have reviewed your complaint letter of 15 November 2012, as sent to the police. I have also considered your appeal correspondence of 1 April 2013, the investigation outcome letter completed by the Investigating Officer [IO] Sergeant Jeremy Graves dated 26 March 2013, and the related evidence referred to within the outcome report.

The decisions I have reached in relation to your appeal are outlined below:

**1. Are the finding so the appropriate authority investigation appropriate/proportionate to the complaint?**

No. I consider that the Metropolitan Police has not addressed your complaint. As such the investigation is not adequate.

In the final letter, the IO has written “it appears to me that the crux of your complaint is an allegation that police were ‘wilful’ in an attempt to deny you ‘and others’ a right of appeal following a conviction in Harrow Crown Court on 6<sup>th</sup> August 2012.” You have stated that this is a misrepresentation of your complaint.

Having reviewed the documentation, it is clear that your complaint concerns what you believe to be a failure or refusal by the police to investigate your allegations against “corrupted individuals” within the court service. This is evident from your letter of 15 November 2012 – I

have not been provided with a copy of the letter from December – and was in fact recorded as such by the force, whose documents state: “The complainant states that there are corrupted individuals within HM Court and the police have failed to investigate”.

In my view the IO has misunderstood the nature of your complaint and has subsequently failed to investigate. The appeal will therefore be upheld and the complaint will need to be investigated. I'd say Serge Graves hasn't misunderstood the nature of Baloney's complaint, I'd say Jeremy Graves has seen through Ahmed Baloney and knows he has no grounds to accuse any police officer that has dealt with him of being corrupt. Andrew Thomas of the IPCC has not seen the information that Serge Graves was privy to in the 10/12/12 letter. He appears to be *erring on the side of caution*, would like more information and thus sanctions an investigation. Fair enough. But one wonders why he didn't ask for the December letter and also I'm curious to know what 'evidence' he is referring to within Serge Graves' 'outcome report', since Serge Graves has stated there is no evidence and he refers to there being no “checkable fact”! Have the masons slipped up?

**2. Is the decision that the appropriate authority has made about whether an officer has a case to answer for misconduct or gross misconduct, or if any person's performance is unsatisfactory, appropriate:**

No case to answer for any officer has been identified. The complaint has not yet been investigated, and so while the appeal cannot be upheld on this basis, the force will need to revisit this decision following the reinvestigation.

**3. Are the appropriate authority's proposed actions following the investigation adequate?**

No actions have been proposed. The complaint has not yet been investigated, and so while the appeal cannot be upheld on this basis, the force will need to revisit this decision following the reinvestigation.

**4. Has the investigation been referred to the CPS? If not, is this decision appropriate?**

The report has not been referred to the CPS. The complaint has not yet been investigated, and so while the appeal cannot be upheld on this basis, the force will need to revisit this decision following the reinvestigation.

**5. Have you been provided with adequate information following the investigation of your complaint?**

The letter from the IO adequately summarises his actions, albeit those actions were inadequate. The appeal will not be upheld on this basis.

**6. Have you raised any points that are outside what the IPCC can consider?**

No.

**After considering all the information available I have now made a decision about your appeal. I have upheld your appeal in respect of suitable findings.**

## **ACTIONS REQUIRED OF THE FORCE/AUTHORITY**

The force are to investigate your complaint that officers have either failed or refused to investigate your allegations of criminal corruption.

While I have only seen one of your two letters of complaint, I note that there is a **general lack of detail as to which officers you are making allegations against, and what exactly you allege them to have done.** I will therefore be suggesting to the force that they contact you for more information. **Well Andy Thomas only needed to look at the letters published here to see that the police have already tried to get to the crux of the matter, to no avail. [It is already stated that despite several written communications from Ahmed Baloney to PC Rooney and Sergeant Roche, Baloney “failed to engage and provide essential information”**

necessary to progress his complaint. Also that Baloney didn't attend pre-arranged appointments.] Anyway there is no way in a million years that Baloney Balogun is going to make a statement naming these corrupt officers and specifying what they've done. Because he can't. Because he's telling a pack of LIES. That being the case, is Andy Thomas going to raise issue, on the basis of fraud of the public purse? Course not. Thomas is almost certainly another lying mason and 'in' on the 'game'.

At the time of writing this PDF it is July 2014. No further letters or other documentation has been published. **I wonder why.** Could it be that the investigation reveals too much truth? Or is it the case that there was no investigation? Knowing a bit about how freemasonry works, there probably wasn't ...

And what about the various correspondents within the police, IPCC or elsewhere, who might be 'in' on the Balogun/Grant scam. Well, that remains to be seen. Certainly the higher ranking officers will be in the know and 'playing the game' and will probably be high level masons. None of them would dare rock the boat and upset the masons by exposing these fakes; the price is too high.

Let's now take a look at Caul Grant's videos. They all reveal more glaring signs that he is working for the dark forces. He, like all other shills – Maurice Kirk, Norman Scarth, Sabine McNeill, Butlincat ... is constantly banging on about 'State Abuse'. Let's have a look at his 'Declaration 2009' video <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=S-0FZmXgCwE#t=62> I comment in red. He starts by saying the State of the UK has become a lawbreaker and thus cannot continue to be a law enforcer. He says: "Therefore no member of Campaign for T & J can be lawfully prosecuted by the State of the UK for any act which would be otherwise considered illegal or unlawful". He continues: "If the Government becomes a lawbreaker it breeds contempt for the law. It invites every man to become a law unto himself. It invites anarchy. That's rich when it is the agents provocateurs, such as Caul Grant who



are the anarchists. Until or unless the issues of judicial lawbreaking, which involves unlawful convictions, false imprisonment, denial of access to court ... are fully addressed, any action of law enforcement taken against us will be wholly unlawful. Grant has not proved any judicial lawbreaking or any unlawful conviction or false imprisonment or denial of access to court. We demand our right to protection of the law or else we demand our right to protect ourselves. The judiciary of England and Wales is guilty of an ongoing grave perversion of justice and is aided by the silence of the media, Downing Street, several MPs So the vast majority of MPs are on the side of freedom??? and other Government agencies.”

The job of the skill is to speak *partial* truth. They make blanket statements that all of ‘HM Partnership’ is corrupt and that the answer is to collapse present structures. Take a look at the controlled opposition ‘Abolish the IPCC Demo’ video <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=h250EWjvQPA> See also <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZPsykpNDEt4> where he says the solution “is to dismantle the system that is in place and rebuild a new system”. Remember the PTB want to break down society’s structures, so that they have an excuse to bring in their **NWO**. There’s nothing wrong with present structures; they’re needed for a civilised society. There’s nothing wrong with our laws either. The problem we have is that there is a *shadow* authority running through all spheres of power. The answer is to outlaw freemasonry and all other secret societies, as these are the corrupting forces which control everything. Once we have total transparency, corrupt practices will end.

Caul grant goes on to talk about “deliberate law-breaking of the State”, that the “Judiciary violate the rule of law”, that “the same rules that apply to the governed should apply to the governors”. He talks about the “violation of Human Rights” and goes on about “The Human Rights Act”. Shills use catchy slogans such as: “Law breakers cannot be law enforcers” and “Imprisonment without due process”. Grant repeatedly says that where the law has failed to protect you against abuse, you’re

**entitled to protect yourself** i.e. the law cannot then seek to punish you for protecting yourself.

This is all disinfo. It is not the law that fails us, It is the fact that freemasonry controls the law enforcers, State agencies [and everything else]. Shills **justify lawbreaking and encourage people to get themselves locked** up as it serves two purposes. 1] The person then has a criminal record, which brings all sorts of problems for the individual and his/her family and 2] Criminals do not make credible 'Truthers'. Shills don't suffer hardship from imprisonment; they relish it. They wear their 'vexatious litigant' badge with pride. If you are a genuine victim of freemasonry, you and your family will suffer severe persecution to the point where you get pushed beyond your tolerance levels. But crime is not the answer and is never justified, no matter what the provocation ... and boy do 'they' love to goad. **When you commit a crime you are playing into the hands of the PTB and you become easier to control.**

**If you are genuine opposition you do NOT play their game.**

Continuing on, he says: "This document is dated 14/9/2009, the date that I was released from 6 years and 1 month of unlawful imprisonment, having been wrongfully convicted and denied all access to court by way of appeal or habeas corpus. Well he hasn't proven that he was denied appeals; and as for his mention of habeas corpus, that is another Shill ruse. [Maurice Kirk, Norman Scarth.] You can only resort to habeas corpus when **it is evident** that you have been detained unlawfully. Shills, of course, have no such evidence. He then goes on to tell of the 2 months he was locked up in prison. He was allegedly picked up off the street by Scotland Yard and brought straight to Pentonville prison where he remained for 2 months without ever passing through court. **That, of course, is absolute nonsense. You cannot be committed to prison without a court order. Grant has not provided any evidence that anything he says is true.** He says after 2 months the prison authorities realised he shouldn't have been there, they brought him to court and

another judge released him. This is all BULLSHIT of epic proportions. Any corruption that does occur within the judicial system is all down to Masonic influence. But Masons are not so foolish to make it *that* obvious. That is not how it works. It far more subtle.

He says he and his group have sent their evidence to the mainstream newspapers, but they remain silent because of the implications for the criminal and civil justice system. This romancer even goes so far as to suggest that the Government use 'D' notices [which are used to silence the press for reasons of National Security] to prevent the reporting of the 'corruption' he talks about. Well in the case of shills, the MSN stay silent because there is no evidence and thus newspapers *could* be sued. In the case of genuine whistleblowers the MSN stay silent because they are NWO gatekeepers. He talks of help via media publicity coming from community radio stations. Folks, understand even the so-called 'alternative media' i.e. the community radio stations he talks of have been corrupted and co-opted. You will be hard pressed to find one that is truly independent and keen to report full truth.

In the IPCC Demo video he says the entire criminal justice system is complicit in the criminal act of perverting the course of justice. And that this is in order to suppress the truth and hide the corruption. This is grandiose verbalize, so typical of these egotistical shills. He comes out with more bollox that he and his group can name and shame these judges without any reprisal cos it's the truth, because "we have the documentary evidence".!!!!!! Bare faced bloody liar. Don't these arrogant fools realise that they can't hoodwink everyone. Are they *that* smug and stupid to believe that they will never be exposed? He says: "They daren't have me inside to challenge me with regards to evidence" and that "if we weren't telling the truth we wouldn't've been allowed 10 mins, never mind 10 days". Carry on living in la la land, Mr Grant. Your bubble is about to burst.

In this video <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=th-DrZvVa6o> the puffed up buffoon says: "If I called Scotland Yard to say "Jump", they would

ask how high?” Here <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1hwSQ138vxl> he says: “When I walk the streets, I don’t walk in fear of police officers, *police officers are in fear of stopping me*. I can guarantee you that.” He says that he commits crimes, such as filling up his car without paying, driving without tax or insurance ... that he’d phone Scotland Yard and tell them ... and they would just turn a blind eye!!! Further on he says: “Every time I appeared in court, whether on behalf of myself or of others, the judges would just get up and run out ... and I’m not exaggerating.”

**We just have to believe that all this is true!!! Seriously, is anyone falling for this shite???** He also says that his parole report states that the fact that he has been involved with ‘Campaign for T & J’ for 14 years and has shown his single minded determination to carry on the campaign, the panel believed that his risk was unmanageable within the community.

**Oh my, what a comic this guy is. Has he published this report? NO.** In this video <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=esimDScvTVs> he says if he was a ‘McKenzie friend’ for someone, assisting them in court, he can “guarantee your case would be dismissed without it even being heard”.

**Cocky Caul Grant knows he can get away with making such ludicrous claims as he has the full backing of the ‘brotherhood’.**

Let’s look at all the judges he accuses of being ‘bent’.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=h250EWjvQPA> He names: Lord Justice Thomas, Lord Justice Toulson, Lord Justice Kennedy, Lord Justice Ward, Lord Justice Moore-Bick, Lord Justice Elias, Judge Pratt, Judge Inman, Lord Justice Laws, Lord Justice Judge – The Lord Chief Judge, Lord Irvine. He has no evidence that any of these judges are corrupt, yet he gets away with it.

Ask yourself why. Why do the likes of Caul Grant, Maurice Kirk, Norman Scarth and all the other shills not get sued for libel? It’s not because they’re telling the truth, because they’re not, they *are* guilty of libel. It is

because they are **State agents** and, quite often, the people that they are libelling, such as judges and lawyers are 'in' on the 'game' i.e. *beholden to freemasonry*. They daren't step out of line. It's curtains for anyone who tries.

Take a look at this video

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZPsykpNDEt4> where he and 'Seven' libel Bindman and Partners and RPC. [She claims Bindmans were named in several high level corruption cases as key players.] Grant says that he retained Bindmans to look into a negligence claim re the hospital and also to look into "the fact that the hospital had given false information about my son's welfare and where he was that night, or whether he was at their hospital or not." **What does he mean by that? Is he suggesting that his baby was moved elsewhere without his knowledge?** Here <http://butlincat.wordpress.com/2013/09/24/caul-grant-arrested-the-utter-corruption-campaign-for-truth-justice/> 'Butlincat' also puts the boot into Bindmans. He says: "Bindman and Partners name has come up again and again in **many other disturbing shocking cases** whereby innocent members of the British Public with legitimate cases have been grossly targeted and abused and even in some instances **murdered in highly sinister horrific ways.**" There is not a shred of evidence to support these highly defamatory claims. Why don't Bindmans sue Butlincat and fellow agents? *Because they're not allowed to.* Freemasonry controls them. If they dared rock the boat they would be completely and utterly destroyed.

Here <http://www.thefarrellreport.net/files-report/LAND%20OF%20FREETREE%20FINAL%20REBUTTAL%20REPORT.pdf> is a letter, listed as 'Case Study 2' from Caul Grant to fellow fakes Tony Farrell and Charles Seven. Notice Caul can't even get his baby's age correct! Notice also how shills always blame the State for

the breakdown of their marriage. **Caul, you cannot blame anyone except yourself for your failed marriage. Your wife simply got sick of your lies and vile behaviour. Men like you and Maurice Kirk sold your souls to the shill dream team and ultimately Satan ... and paid a high price - the loss of your wives and children. Was it worth it? It's not too late though; you can both become honest and decent and win back your loved ones; but you'll need to **grow balls of steel**, stand up to your Masonic controllers and come clean about the unimaginable lies and deceit you got caught up in. Somehow I don't think either of you lily livers have got it in you though.** Grant says in his letter: "So, in addition to the negligence with my son, Bindmans was also retained to pursue an action against the hospital for false information and the injuries caused, however, although they gathered **independent medical reports** that indicated that if my son had been given the basic standard care on arrival, on the balance of probabilities he would not have died." **Caul Grant, why don't you state who wrote these independent medical reports and why don't you publish them?** He continues: "Bindmans insisted that there is no law in this country that would allow anyone to sue for false advice and they were foolish enough to put this in writing." **So why don't you publish what they *foolishly* put in writing??? Why don't you publish the letter from the Law Society?**

As for Grant's 'Empowerment Mondays', whereby he and his group have protest marches etc; and whereby these activities will continue "until *they* begin to listen". **Well, who are "*they*"? Corrupt judges are not going to listen!** Butlincat says: "please also attend these protests to demand court investigations and reforms!!" **Well, who is going to do the investigating and make the reforms??? Honestly, these shills ... pack of time-wasting jokers, **brilliant actors** ... the lot of them.**

Grant boasts that he was threatened that if he continued to try to come into court to *enforce his rights* **ahem** 'they' will consider him a 'vexatious litigant' <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=th-DrZvVa6o> That is very revealing, as it shows that he, just like Maurice Kirk, has been instigating numerous **meritless bad faith** litigation over a number of years. No wonder he won't publish his court transcripts. It is nonsense to suggest that by committing crimes to enable you to get into court that you will then be able to air all your grievances re corrupt courts/corrupt judiciary ... even if you have evidence of such, because the judge will only deal with the current, **relevant** matter i.e. the crime you have just committed. See Kirk's court transcripts.

In his 'declaration' <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=S-0FZmXgCwE> he says that if the rest of the world was aware of his story it would be in disgrace because the *UK claims to set the example to jurisdictions around the world about democracy*. **Well, again we get back to the fact that so-called 'democracy' doesn't exist anywhere in the world because freemasonry has infected every government and every body of authority/sphere of power globally.**

And who are his Facebook friends? Sonia Poulton, Michael Doherty, Sabine McNeill, Belinda McKenzie, Yolande Lindridge, Yannis Emmanouel, Andy Peacher, John Hemming, Dave Knight ... **Say no more!**

Finally, are the heavyweight 'researchers' of the 'alternative' media [Icke, Spivey, Gerrish ...] researching/exposing Caul Grant and Ahmed Balogun? Not a cat in hell's chance. These NWO agents are all on the same team, all controlled and protected by freemasonry.