

CHARLIE FOULKES written by SHARON ZAKI

Another **pretend** freedom fighter is **Charlie Foulkes**. She runs the website [theTruth](#) It turns out this woman is just the same as all the other fake 'victims' – **pure poison**. She is just another lying, time wasting, game playing **fake** who 'befriended' me but has now revealed her true colours. Anyone who protects shills and fake 'victims' is a traitor. Jeesh, what did I do to attract all these fake 'friends'?! She responded to my second email. See just below for our August 2014 email exchange. Following on is Charlie Foulkes' story.

Dear Charlie,

I'm prompted to write to you since an exchange of emails I had with Yolande. She exposed herself as a sick joke. Scroll down my 'War on Shills' to near the bottom for more. My discovery of the existence of shills and fake 'victims' has been a real eye opener and the past year and a half or so since then has been a real learning curve.

It is evident that some people who I once thought were genuine are most definitely not. I have been too trusting of other alleged 'victims'. I just believed what they said was true. Why wouldn't I? Knowing about corruption in the courts and authorities from my own personal experiences their stories were quite plausible. I really didn't think I needed to ask them to prove anything. I simply had no reason to question their sincerity. Crikey I was so naive. Never in a million years did I think there could be such a thing as a fake 'victim', shill or troll. Makes me wonder how great the reward for selling one's soul. You could offer me a tonne of gold but I'd rather die in the gutter than lie and deceive in servitude to Satan.

In the case of Norman, he, like all the rest, says a lot of stuff that we know is true, but, once again, he doesn't back anything up with evidence. I can see now that he was imprisoned legitimately for the crime of attacking a bailiff with a chainsaw. The crime was published in the Yorkshire Post. Norman sued for libel but the case was thrown out. He did not even attend at court, neither was he represented. Since then he's been spinning a story that he's the victim of a corrupt establishment. But he hasn't provided the evidence of that. Ok there is the argument that a six year sentence is OTT, but we don't know how

severe the attack was or any details and we don't know the facts leading up to it. Even Ann Mallaby who knew Norman at the time of the attack [I started writing to him *after* he was imprisoned] says she doesn't recollect the details and has no documentation pertaining to it. The only 'evidence' is that which is published on your site from the stuff I sent you. It is all **hearsay**. As for the tape recordings that he says he has [N's words: "**JUST 17 DAYS LATER** (8th August 1999), I was alone at home. Without even the **PRETENCE** of a lawful excuse there came to the door **SEVEN** West Yorkshire police who threatened to smash the door down. When I defied them they radioed for back-up with riot shields & a battering ram. They did not use the latter, but instead laid siege to the house for three hours before backing off saying, "**We have decided this is not a police matter (it never was) – but we'll be back!**" Those words - & much more – are on tape! The intention was to seize the evidence I held, & to silence me by fear, a heart attack or a stroke"] they've never come to light. I did once ask him about those tapes during a telephone conversation when he rang me from prison. He said they were in his house buried under a mound of paperwork but that he wasn't allowed out to search for them or anything else he said he needed to progress his legal actions. I asked if anyone could locate them on his behalf but he said they wouldn't know where to start looking.

Anyway, all that aside, for all the reasons I've given on my site re N it is clear that he is NOT a genuine freedom fighter. If you want more proof, take a look at his comments on V/U re Sabine's recent pilgrimage to Brussels <http://victims-unite.net/2014/03/22/what-next-after-our-pilgrimage-to-brussels-individual-petitions-and-collective-cries-for-help-to-the-eu-commission/#comments> He's supposed to be this seasoned anti-NWO warrior and yet he's sucking up to Sabine, knowing full well that ALL politicians are just game playing parasites. This pair of pretenders just make me sick.

As for Victims Unite, I have to ask why do you link to it? In fact why are you not exposing Sabine after all you said about her? The only person I see you are exposing is Brian Gerrish and you *touch* on the HG 'dodgy campaign', albeit very vaguely, saying it is simply 'fishy' and you even *believe* that Hollie was abused. Yet there is no evidence of that, but plenty of evidence to discredit the whole story which you don't mention. And why do you not expose Spivey? Instead you link to him! If you want more proof of how treacherous Sabine is take a read of the Nigel Cooper story http://sharonkilby.co.uk/site/THE_NIGEL_COOPER_STORY.php S

scroll down to about a third of the way: Sabine doesn't ask Cooper for any proof of his story, she could access the Moylan Judgement which is brought to her attention on her own blog and yet she chooses **not** to seek the truth but comments: "It is very 'strange' indeed that
– her mother handed Bailie over to you [Nigel] as guardian
– not only changed her mind but tricked a few officials to take her to the UK
– thereby overruled Bailie's wishes
– got Social Services involved who also ignore Bailie's wishes
– and that the Judge ruled against her wishes AND against the Hague Convention!!!" This is f***ing outrageous. Sabine, you are a lying wicked woman. People; FFS; COME ON. Enough of this. Incidentally I'd be interested to know your view on the Nigel Cooper story.

As for the stuff I sent you on N:

Norman Scarth - UK Political Prisoner

Correspondance for the period September 2009 - July 2010

Correspondance for the period August 2010 - July

2011 Correspondance for the period September 2011 - August 2012 you did agree [about a year ago now when we last spoke], albeit **reluctantly**, to remove it from your site; so why didn't you? Just to remind you Jean James objected to her email/personal identifiers being published. You acknowledged this and agreed to remove her stuff. I told you that you'd have to remove all 3 'correspondence' pdfs as they all contain numerous posts written by her. I also asked you to remove NS – UK Political Prisoner, to which you agreed. As for Norman's book CfC I didn't mind so much if you left that up as, even though I had re-typed it all so that it could be read online, it **has no reference to me**. I do think though that if you are a serious freedom fighter you will remove ALL of the stuff I sent you re Norman, including his book. I don't mind if you send the book to him, for him to do whatsoever he pleases with it. Oh and by the way, Jean James is absolutely NOT on the level either. The fact that she fiercely protects the Hollie Greig story and hobnobs with shills is very telling.

I feel very passionate about this and I'm done with the 'game playing'. How can you support him or anyone who protects shills? How can you support anyone who refuses to publically denounce the promoters of the Hollie Greig farce, especially someone who is a supposed fearless and vocal anti-corruption/anti-injustice warrior? I know I once told you that I respected your view on N, well, as said, I've come a long way since then. To be frank, at that time I wanted to accept you at your word. I didn't want another fight with another friend. I had

neither the time nor energy. But I had hoped that you would have put my niggling doubts to rest by respecting me and simply removing that stuff. Now, a year down the line, I'm shocked to find that you *still* haven't removed any of it. What am I to conclude?

As regards some more of 'The Others', you need to know that at least some of the 'victims' that you publish on behalf of are having a laugh. Let's look at Phil Thompson. You were concerned about his nasty shilly response to my declaration of war on Sabine. Well since then he's revealed more of his true colours. Scroll down the W-O-S to near the end: ... take a look at this blog of MP [John Hemming Shill](#) where he's helping Phil. The first thing I notice is that there is a distinct lack of official documentation to back up what the MP states, which in itself is very vague. As for Phil, he reveals more of his true colours on V/U [here](#). He's really taking the p**s when he describes Cooper's 'case' as cast iron. Thompson says "I have noted that he is seeking a Lawyer, Barrister or any LEGAL representative to take up his case. Have there been any takers. I THINK NOT. A Cast IRON Case that is easily winnable and yet NO Lawyer will accept him as a client. Lets wait and see if I am correct." Nigel Cooper doesn't have a 'case'. Echoes of the HG saga. Cooper concocted that story with the help of his shilly buddies – Sabine and co ... and Phil is part of the set up too. More confirmation of this is in his comment in response to 'granny' who directs people to find the truth via the Moylan Judgement. He says: "Granny from a different country. Methinks that you are getting rattled. BUT. How well you describe how happy he would be if he just Kow Towed to people like YOU. Name YOURSELF hypocrite." What a nasty piece of work the fake Thompson is. Also: similarly Phil Thompson does not show any evidence of skulduggery within the family courts that led to his 3 great grandchildren being "forcibly adopted", if indeed they were. See http://sharonkilby.co.uk/site/Phil_Thompsons_story.php for more.

And what of Maureen Spalek? I told you that back a few years when I first started publishing my story Maureen came to see me a few times; she seemed genuine and I took what she said to be true [why would I doubt her when I know all about the corrupt masonic controlled 'child protection' services?] I began to publish her case and she distributed my leaflets in Liverpool. After a year or so I lost contact with her [and all the other victims that I'd got familiar with during the time our stories were being published on the 'VOMIT' newsletter] as I needed a break from it all. Not long after Norman Scarth's second imprisonment I began updating his stuff on my site and became aware of V/U and Sabine who

had taken over where James Todd of VOMIT had left off. I didn't have time to get involved with Maureen again and just updated her stuff by linking to someone else's video and site. In the wake of the HG farce I began wondering if the Spalek case was also a hoax because I'd been all over the net and couldn't find any evidence to back up anything she said [no reports, official docs, letters...] Read now my W-O-S about a quarter of the way down: As for **Maureen Spalek**, that case is also looking very suspect. Her 'story' is covered by Drockton only [and repeated by other shills and well-meaning, but duped individuals.] There is no evidence that her story is true, just a 'publicity fund' donate button for the Hollie Greig and Maureen Spalek cases! I wrote to her [twice] but have heard nothing from her yet.

Doherty and Puddick didn't reply to my mails either. They are tainted by association. One day I'll get round to investigating them too.

But they can keep for now. The next big name to fall on his sword will be one Maurice Kirk. Since I started my War on Shills, I've long suspected he's not kosher but I simply haven't had time to do any delving. Anyway I've made a good start and will be updating the site accordingly. That he is a long term close friend of Norman and, just like N, is widely publicised only on all the shill sites is a dead giveaway. Both these two have numerous ongoing court actions which neither have shown any justification for, nor have either of them provided any evidence of corruption. They're just playing games. Both these elderly eccentrics love the limelight and hero status. Another thing that makes me suspicious re Maurice is his involvement with the Musa family. That's another case I'll be looking a little deeper at when I get some time. As said nothing is as it seems within the 'truth movement'.

As you know I'm very preoccupied with my family and work and the website gets neglected a lot of the time. However as a result of the email exchange I had with Yolande Lindridge I've had to refocus. It's now time to separate the wheat from the tares.

Do you remember, about a year and a half ago, when Nicola and I were doing some digging and you said that you didn't see the point. You commented that all this picking apart of everyone's stories could make all victims – real or otherwise - look fraudulent and you agreed with Norman when he asked if it was worth publicising the shills when it will make us ALL appear dubious. Of course the answer to that is if you are telling the truth, you have nothing to fear. The warning signs were there

then but I guess I didn't want to see it. I was simply overwhelmed with the implications of my shocking new discovery.

I am firmly of the belief now that a genuine freedom fighter does not risk being tainted by association. We are all judged by the company we keep and we cannot afford to lend any fraudster any credibility whatsoever by linking to their sites or to any of their writings or having anything to do with them apart from exposing them.

Will you help me expose the gatekeepers, Charlie? I understand that there are some genuine victims who are crushed and genuinely too scared to expose people like Sabine, and that explains why they stay silent. People like that have my sympathy. But for those who proclaim to be fighting corruption and trying to stop the NWO, there is no excuse; they have to be prepared to take the flak. There is no pain-free way of fighting any war. As said I won't accept people like Norman, so-called fearless fighters against the NWO, staying quiet when it becomes obvious that someone is a shill or a fake 'victim'. Nor am I content with other supposed anti-NWO warriors protecting Norman with their silence. That's treachery of the highest order. Genuine fighters like me become sitting ducks. Some of the **real** fighters against the NWO are the Hollie Hoax team and anyone serious about exposing the HGJ charlatans. They're the real trusted warriors, being punished for sticking their neck out and doing what's right.

I spell it out on my W-O-S; there is no place in God's army for half-hearted soldiers. I'm done with toy soldiers.

Remember that strange man I told you about that freaked me out as he used to watch me when I was cycling to work. You sent me a gift of a cross to keep me safe. I thought that was such a lovely gesture and it was comforting to know that you are a Christian too. I quoted Romans 8:38-39 to Yolande. Read our email exchange. The end bit is: ... Jesus Christ has already defeated the enemy for us. We must now simply walk in that victory! Yolande did not want to walk with me. I ask you now Charlie, will you stand by me and help me fight the shills and fakes? Will you come with me and bask with me in that victory?

Sharon.

Hi Charlie,

Did you not get the following email?

Regards,

Sharon.

Yeah I did.

To be honest Sharon, you let me down and you called me a shill for speaking a tiny bit of Russian. I still have respect for a lot of what you say but you have gone too far. You're on your own sweetie.

Charlie, you know very well that Nicola was the one accusing you of being a shill after she'd done some digging on our group. In one of her emails, in which just the 3 of us were communicating, she stated: "Oh i have carpel tunnell honey, had it since i had my first child 14 years ago, but that does not make me disabled.

your also well aware that back diagnosis.....like sabine your pal.....is extremely difficult to make a diagnosis either way....isnt it sweetie.

So i guess you have been rumbled honey.

sharon I will leave you to inform the rest of the group about the traitor charlie.

And dont reply huny.....my spam filter is very fussy

well well well.....it would appear that charlie is already familiar with the "World Grid" globalisation arnt you charlie.

Mmmmm, Butlincat is also fluent russian speaking, strange place to visit for a single parent unemployed claiming disability.

Also a lecturer in computers....my my.

Nicola"

At that time, based on her findings, I wasn't convinced and I told her so. It is clear now though that she was right; my trust in you was misplaced. You are indeed an enemy soldier and as such will be unmasked.

Please now remove all reference to me from your site.

Sharon.

Charlie Foulkes' story

Before looking at Charlie's own story, let's see what she advocates. Take a look at <http://www.charliefoulkes.co.uk/truth/index.html> <https://www.facebook.com/lewishamtruth> <https://www.facebook.com/charlotte.l.foulkes/about> As well as the shill sites Charlie links to, such as Aangirfan, Lukes' Army [who support the Nigel Cooper story], Justice Denied [who promote Andrea Davison's 'defense' fund; [\[more on Andrea in my 'ANDREA DAVISON' pdf\]](#)] and the fake 'victims' she supports, such as Kellie Cottam [\[see my pdf on her\]](#) Charlie gives a distorted version of reality. She makes many videos *demonizing* Social Services and the family courts. She makes unproven claims that women are being 'hunted down' for their children; that 'they're' 'out to get' your children for the flimsiest of reasons or on the basis of fabricated ones. She says that 'they' like to label you with a mental disorder, such as 'bi-polar', to give them an excuse to take your child; and that 'child snatching' by the State is very profitable. See: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mPAnE4zw2DU#t=392>

Here's another example of the type of videos she makes: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rskm9_1zkw She says she knows *lots of people* who have suffered from these social workers who she refers to as "absolute cunts". Yet she cannot give details of any of these stories or reference a website where we can check for ourselves to see if these stories are true. Nothing Charlie Foulkes says carries any weight whatsoever.

On one of her videos <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cdHMIEQMMi0> she even makes reference to me, a genuine victim, to give herself credibility. When it became obvious that she's just another filthy subversive I asked her to remove all reference to me from her site - there is no way I'll lend any fraudster any credibility whatsoever by being linked in any way with them. She refuses though. Why?

Charlie has a donate button. Anyone who genuinely wants the truth to come out, as opposed to the propaganda, does not ask for/need donations. The donate button is a red flag and tells you that the person asking for money is a phoney; truth should not have a price.

Proverbs 23:23 Buy the truth - Purchase it upon any terms, spare no pains or cost. Truth - The true and saving knowledge of God's will. Sell it not - Do not forsake it for any worldly advantage. Truth here is referring to Jesus Christ - the Way and the Life. This was bought for a price, the precious blood of Christ. Only God can do it. The other Truth refers to the truthfulness of anything entrusted, revealed, and gifted that you sincerely believed beneficial to others for the promotion of GOD's love. It is something that you acknowledge that comes from HIM and that you are responsible to share or give for others to do the same for the glory of GOD. The world system is buying and selling (for profit), with GOD, it is buying and giving/sharing (for influence) for GOD's glory.

Now onto her own story. I comment in red. Charlie Foulkes writes:

<http://www.charliefoulkes.co.uk/truth/pdfs/theTruth.pdf>

[http://www.charliefoulkes.co.uk/truth/pdfs/A-Diary-of%20Police-](http://www.charliefoulkes.co.uk/truth/pdfs/A-Diary-of%20Police-Harassment.pdf)

[Harassment.pdf http://www.charliefoulkes.co.uk/truth/hodgkiss.html](http://www.charliefoulkes.co.uk/truth/hodgkiss.html)

“So, who am I, that I should bother doing this? “Nutter” Charlie Foulkes, a 40 year old, arthritic, single mother. 4 years ago, when my daughter was born, I approached Lewisham Social Services with a view to getting some support with my new baby. It took me 5 months to get any care and much campaigning. Government guidance says that disabled parents should be overseen by the Adults Department. Of course, Social Services insisted on involving the Children’s side. Right from the start, they were doing what they do to every disabled parent who asks for help. Eventually, I asked for my records, under the Freedom of Information Act [not published though]. A Social Worker called Richard Hodgkiss was “regularly” visiting my Facebook page. **More on this below.** The Council later admitted he was also looking at my posts on the Disabled Parents Network web site. Once I became involved in the Truth Movement, they began getting our carer to write weekly reports on me. **No evidence provided.** They have tried every trick in the book to remove my daughter. Since they have *realised they cannot?* they have tried to do it by the backdoor –**granting custody to my abusive former partner.** **No evidence. Where is the evidence the**

father is abusive? 'They' don't grant custody orders anyway, courts do. Why don't you publish the court order? They have also failed at this, thus far That's a contradiction. Is there a court order granting custody to the father or not?. As "nuts" as I may seem to some people, I have full mental capacity and care for my daughter very well.

When I found out about Hodgkiss and his spying, I wrote a stiff email to him, copying in about 500 other Council employees and local Councillors. Evidently he was very embarrassed by the email, where I questioned why taxpayer's money should be used to spy on parents. I told him that this was "surveillance" not care and what would happen next – would I find him rifling through my wheelie bin? Well, what came next was worse than that. My daughter and I are now under police surveillance [evidence?] and my neighbours have been told my daughter is at risk At risk from who or what? Who told them that? What have your neighbours got to do with anything? Provide some evidence please. Social Services work closely with Police Child Protection Units, and they are as corrupt as Social Services are that is a sweeping statement, unproven by you. During my time volunteering at Disabled Parents Network, I did not hear one positive account of Social Services involvement. We were always told to use the complaints procedure. What a waste of time

Without looking at this story too deeply, straight away I can see it is all hearsay and very vague. Charlie contradicts herself and cannot validate any of her claims.

The following is Charlie's own story in more detail:

<http://www.chariefoulkes.co.uk/truth/pdfs/A-Diary-of%20Police-Harassment.pdf>

"A Diary of Police Harassment & Costs Event Costs

February 2012

Attempted "befriending" by Collita (playgroup leader) at playgroup running at Sydenham Green Health Centre. Collita pretended to have read a book by Ian Josephs on Forced Adoption and to be interested in "Child Stealing by the State" campaigner, Brian Gerrish. When later questioned about the Ian Josephs book, she had obviously not read a word – I asked what she thought of the Amanda Platell article (near the front) and she knew nothing about it. This is a lady who I had previously

asked what she would do if a disabled parent at the playgroup appeared not to be coping – her answer was “report them to Social Services”.

March 2012

1 March. First indication of police involvement. Rang Royal Mail to complain that a letter had arrived opened, complaint ref: 1-2099371462. Witnessed by postman Mr Ferris. **How is it police involvement? Masonic maybe. What was Royal Mail's response?**

14 March. Indications that my neighbour Nigel Martindale is being used as an informant. Making strange comments regarding my “belief in things that do not exist”. I have discussed my research into megaliths with him, but there is actually PROOF of what I have discovered. He said that is not what he meant but refused to discuss any further. When I have asked him if he is reporting on me to Social Services or the police he will not answer. **Suspicion only. Nothing concrete.**

17 March. Problems with computers had begun. My diary of this date refers to inviting A&E Computers round to fix the problem. Laptop infected with Rootkit viruses but also trouble sending attachments by email, plus a great many seemingly legitimate software updates filling up the hard drive. I later learn that **this is how the police install their surveillance software, disguised as Windows updates.** **I don't know whether that's true or not, but it isn't the police necessarily who are spying on you, if you are a threat, it is the freemasons; and they keep an eye on you in various ways – not by 'getting into' your computer. If you are worried about that you can get around the problem by using a computer in your local library. I relied on my library for computer use for over a year when my PC had packed in and I was saving for another, and I didn't have the luxury of having time on my hands, as I had to juggle work and family ...** In an article about the deployment of this software in Germany “Bundestrojaner” or Trojan Surveillance Software is installed this way. <http://www.rjgallagher.co.uk/2012/04/police-meetings-and-trojan-surveillance.html> A&E Computers visited 3 times and were unable to rectify the problem. On one visit the technician left my laptops infected with viruses **which I was then able to remove later with software he had installed** **[if there was anything untoward going on you would not have been able to get rid of the problem yourself]** (but evidently not properly used). Eventually I was able to get a refund for the £200 spent on this.

22 March. Tried to speak to neighbour Nicolette Wilson about Social Services and whether she is being asked to report on us. She would not answer the door. Saw her later and ended up arguing. **She might have had good reason for the way she behaved. We only have your side of this story.** She would not answer, in much the same manner as Nigel, as to whether she was reporting on us. Simply no answer. **Perhaps she does think you're a nutcase and wants nothing more to do with you; for legitimate reasons. You've proved nothing.** This is a neighbour who I previously had a good relationship with, to the extent of trusting her with keys. In a previous discussion where I was telling her about the difficulties with my former partner and Social Services, she suggested I call The Samaritans, who are known to advise suicidal people. **She probably meant well. What are you hinting at by connecting The Samaritans with suicide? It is obvious that desperate people [desperate enough to consider ending it all] phone them. What is your point? This is all your one-sided version; we haven't heard Nicolette's.** Since I was not overly emotional I can only imagine that someone else has given her the impression that I am not a stable person and may be desperate! **Assumptions carry no weight.**

23 March. A Friday. Landlord insisted on sending a British Gas engineer round on the same afternoon that I was supposed to have my cat put down. The engineer behaved very strangely and this was the day I **suspect** that our boiler was fitted with a surveillance device, commonly know as a bug. This engineer took the boiler to pieces, beyond what is normally required for a service. As it was getting nearer to the time to take the cat to the vets he suggested that I leave him in the house whilst we went out, and he would shut the door behind him when he left. Reluctantly, I re-arranged the vet appointment for the next morning and stayed. The boiler was then (strangely) put back together rather hastily and he left. When I began to notice small coincidences which suggested we were bugged, I got my EMF meter out (wireless bugs emit radio frequencies) and much to my amazement the levels of electromagnetic emissions were extremely high. Gas boilers, of course, are not supposed to transmit anything. According to the manual for this meter, 0-20 nT is ideal for living in, over 200 nT is very high indeed. I also got high readings from our Sky TV box and from an ionizer. I noticed the power supply to the ionizer had been broken and have had to repair it with insulation tape. This seems to have been bugged, too, as the photo of the unplugged power supply on the next page shows! **Methinks you've been watching too many 006 films.**

31 March. Saturday. Went shopping to get wireless internet dongle as web connection had been totally cut and was not able to upload anything. Returned to find flat smelling strange, like it had had other people in it, then found a CD which had been broken in 2 hidden behind a cushion on the sofa. Given my arthritis and my then 3-year old's weak hands, neither of us could have done this. **This is all your say-so only.**

30 March. The Thursday before the long holiday. Health Visitor left message on answering machine that she would like to visit on Tuesday. Obviously this is a **tactic ???** as I cannot ring to refuse as there is not time now. £25 + £15 pcm **So call her first thing Tuesday morning! [Or leave a message on her answer machine.] This is just nonsense. Charlie, you're making yourself look like an idiot writing all this stuff.**

April 2012

1 April. Took daughter to the park and a dark man who I had never seen there before tried to "befriend" me. He asked some impertinent questions. It was **completely obvious** he was a plain clothes policeman. **You're dreaming again. He was probably just flirting with you.** The next time I saw him at the park (6 April), I pretended to be taking a photograph of my daughter, but he would have been in the picture. He covered his face with his hand. **We only have your word for this. Why didn't you actually take a photo, so that you'd have some hard evidence? People who are genuinely being targeted get the evidence, such as photos/secret recordings ... On that occasion, he **seemed** to have asked his children to put my daughter in **dangerous situations** to see how I would respond. For example, they were rocking her about dangerously on the see-saw (before I stopped them). Then they tried to get her to ride a bike much too big for her. **You have no proof that, if this actually happened [as we only have your word for that too] this strange man was maliciously instructing his kids in the way you describe. Kids generally do test other kids. Some might call it bullying. Kids can be very cruel. In the end I told my daughter not to play with them. I'm surprised you let her *start* playing with them as she is very young and they must be a lot older than her if they have a bike which is much too big for her.****

1 April. My upstairs neighbour Nicolette Wilson was away for the weekend, but I could hear someone moving around in her flat. Whoever it was stayed for over a week. Whenever I heard them trying to leave, I got my camera ready, but they obviously heard me approach the door – I could hear whoever it was go back up the stairs. I **suspect** it was Social

Worker Richard Hodgkiss up there because, having looked up what details are available to me on 192.com, a woman who was waiting outside in a small silver car one day (to collect him?) was **most likely his wife judging by her age and ethnicity**. This woman came and waited up to 45 minutes, but eventually left as I was watching. She returned later, waited, then left again. I could hear my neighbour becoming agitated when he had not left and was obviously irritated at how long he stayed in her flat. Oh lordy. You are sounding barmier by the minute. No wonder Nicolette stopped being your friend. She probably got fed up with your paranoia and delusions of grandeur, and told her friend [who might have just been innocently keeping an eye on her flat in her absence] to 'mess with you' to teach you a lesson. What right do you have snooping on your neighbour's friends or associates? All of this is your version of something that **may or may not have** happened and not worth diarising.

4 April. **Police were stopping me** from logging into various message boards on the internet and getting my posts deleted. No they weren't, you liar. If you couldn't access any boards that would be because the site controller didn't want you on there. At this point I had to start using internet cafes for certain activities. What activities? Charlie, I don't know what planet you're on, but you need to come back down to earth, or you will end up being sectioned and your daughter will be taken off you. Sounds to me like she'd be better off with her father anyway.

12 April. Not able to phone GP surgery from mobile or land line. Get through on 3rdphone, a mobile **the police did not know about then**. Ooh, the police are so threatened by you, they interfere with all your communications ... Charlie, you're so full of BS, you're a joke. Prove *anything* you say is true.

13 April. Police were getting Burke Niaze solicitors in Catford to make me run around fetching paperwork for them e.g. asking me for Child Benefit letter, then telling me they made a mistake and needed Child Tax Credit letter instead. **Anything to delay and stall me**. Because you're so important. Tee hee. I had more trouble with these solicitors later on, missing really obvious things, like mistakes in letters from the council. Also failing to tell me I would not be able to take my daughter to court with me. I specifically asked if they had crèche or similar facilities. I've never heard of any court providing a baby-sitting service. And I've attended many different courts. Charlie, we've heard enough of your fairy tales; now **back up what you say**.

17 April. Land line disconnected for over a week. Miscellaneous Problems I have not recorded the exact date, but at around the time the computer problems started a small, white, unmarked van turned up and parked outside. Two men who were most unpleasant, laughing and sneering at me, did something to my neighbour's property, then left. Since then, despite having the wireless card in this laptop removed, someone has been remotely accessing it – even when it is not connected to the router by Ethernet cable. My daughter and I see the mouse pointer moving on its own and I have messages when shutting it down that “Other people are logged on” and they may lose data if I continue. □ Desktop Wallpaper on laptop and Android kept changing on its own, plus themes in Google Mail. □ Email messages from / to me not received. Sometimes found in Spam or Bin, where I had not put them. □ Unable to log in to Photobucket. Unable to upload images or videos. □ Variety of “comic” Recycling Tips inserted on Google Mail Advert bar e.g. Advert bar in Gmail set to "Did you know that previously used aluminium foil makes a good hat?". □ Laptop B developed problem with screen (no picture) until removed from house. □ Copy & Paste disabled. £50

New phones & top ups: £100 □ Sound drivers disabled.

□ Culminated in having to have Windows reinstalled. £60 I'm really getting bored now with all this drivel.

May 2012

Decided to go away on a short holiday to Anglesey, Wales. Could not get any response to emails trying to book a caravan. Had to book hotels at short notice via internet café which vastly increased the expense.

2 May. Whilst staying at Tre-Ysgawen Hall, I was approached by a plain clothes officer who asked me many questions about what recreational drugs I had taken. As I have relatively little experience it was a short conversation. He then asked me what I was smoking in my hand-rolled cigarette, to which I replied “Golden Virginia”. Tried to get Private Investigator to arrange a professional “Bug Sweep” of our flat. There are only two companies locally that can do this and they seemed to have been warned about me and made excuses. £1,000 More of the same old baloney.

June 2012

13 June. Court appearance with my former partner, seeking visitation of our daughter. Judge Redgrave inexplicably ordered a Section 37 Social

Services report on us, citing my “social isolation” as the reason. Why don’t you publish the court welfare officer’s report and the court order, so that we can see if you’re telling the truth. Obviously you won’t because you would be **exposed as a liar. Actually you’ve already exposed yourself as that** because in your video <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mPAnE4zw2DU#t=392> at 6:40 you say that you didn’t even know that social services were doing an investigation. You contradict yourself again further on [19:40] by saying that you were assessed for “unintentional neglect”. Woops. In any case SS don’t just do these assessments off their own bat, they get their orders from the courts. Charlie, you effortlessly lie and contradict yourself, and you say things that you can’t substantiate. I’d love to have Rosie’s father’s input. Given that without exception **everyone I come into contact with is contacted by police**, I have become isolated. **More bullshit.** This is intentional. How can a person continue a friendship with someone who is **spying on them**? Charlie, **you** are the spy. You are ideal for the job –you are a very intelligent, highly educated academic who **lies for a living. You are an expert** bullshitting, time-wasting, piss-taking, patronising, game-playing soulless traitor of the highest order. In the not-too-distant future you really will find yourself isolated - abandoned by everyone, including your daughter when they know the truth about you. Harassment online and elsewhere continues as previously described.

July 2012

25 July. Travelled to **Malta to stay on holiday for a month.** My, you live a comfortable life. Disability benefits must pay well! Had to book at internet café as usual and whilst there was unable to access the booking.com web site. We seem to be **“known”** at most of the internet cafés now. **Oh FFS, is anyone buying this shite?** I did, however, manage to book the holiday though I am sure we did **not get the best deal financially again.** **Oh diddums.** We were followed to Malta by a plain clothes policeman who “befriended” us. **I think you have a fetish for these plain clothed policemen, Charlie.** He called himself “Steve”. Extremely obvious. During our stay we booked a taxi to take us to visit a few dolmen. The taxi driver pretended not to be able to find any despite being given maps. He insisted on calling at a police station in Naxxar to get directions. The map he was given clearly showed the dolmen. Hethen failed again to find any, or to follow the directions I was giving him (I am good at reading maps **[Good at bullshitting too]**). When I figured out what had happened, I went back to Star Travel Agency to get my money back. I tried filming it, but **they threatened to break my camera and call the police.** **Yawn!** We booked with another travel

agency and the driver (Joe Camillieri) found the dolmen straight away. He witnessed my experiments with the dolmen and the proof that the orthostats become "live" with electricity when stimulated by sound.

£500€50

€50 For the first week or so of the holiday we were treated normally, after a while it seemed our reputation was being destroyed exactly as it has been here. People began to avoid eye contact. The young women who ran the children's club **seemed** to have been instructed to follow me about / watch me with my daughter. On one occasion, I called in and my daughter was playing with a dangerous-looking metal comb, the type for curly hair which has long spiky prongs. Of course I was not happy to leave my daughter there after this. **Blah blah blah ...**

August 2012

Harassment continued much as before.

September 2012

Realise that daughter's "Red Book" is missing (**presumed taken by police**) **Charlie, you're making yourself sound like a right Charlie** as I do not lose important documents. This is in addition to financial documents already taken. Luckily I was able to get print outs from GP surgery to show Social Worker doing Section 37 Report that she is vaccinated. At various times during the progress of this court case, the printer and scanner drivers on my computers have been deleted, causing difficulty and delay. Dishwasher mysteriously fails. £300 November 2012 Internet access on PC fails, Windows reinstalled. Report from Social Services is favourable and recommends daughter stay with me. **Why don't you publish it? Anyway, you said your ex wanted visitation rights, not custody.** However, this is most likely a result of having secretly filmed the interviews, of which there were 3. **Why don't you show us these secretly filmed interviews, in an effort to convince us that what you say is true, as thus far you have shown yourself to be nothing but a contemptible compulsive liar.** Police have access to my bank account **[no they don't]** so they knew I had bought the hidden camera. **If the masons at your bank were keeping an eye on you [which they're not, cos you're on their side] they would only know the amount you had spent and where you had spent it, not what you'd purchased.** Social Worker Sherry Tagoe refused to allow me to film the interviews, but it is UK law that filming anything in your own dwelling place is perfectly legal. Even though she could not see the camera on successive visits, she kept mentioning that she did not wish to be filmed. **If you were genuine you**

would not be announcing to anyone that you are recording them as you would not want them to be guarded. If they mentioned it, you would deny it. **More evidence** [that's a good one, I'm laughing my socks off now] of phone hacking and mail interception. Discharged from Guy's Hospital (I have arthritis) for failing to attend appointment. **You can't be that disabled then.** I do not get reminder texts for appointments as my mobile phone is hacked. **Someone who is allegedly being interfered with by the spooks as you claim would not be relying on phone reminders.** Neither did I receive a text from a Health Visitor requesting an appointment to see daughter. She just turned up on my doorstep when daughter was at preschool. **So? That happens.** Mail from Guy's hospital has failed to arrive, including copies of medical correspondence which it is their policy to send. **Nothing to back this up though, of course.** Attempts made to set me up with **undercover policeman** [another one!!! **Charlie, seriously, you're suffering from a Narcissistic Personality Disorder. Go and get yourself some treatment**] disguised as Truth activist. I was given the name "Gary". Once again, extremely obvious. USB ports on PC stop working. Cannot backup work, use scanner, download photographs or videos from camera. Discover that "recording studio" computer is broken and will not boot up. **£30£3,000 Go and get yourself some therapy before someone gives you a boot up your rear end, to shut your filthy lying mouth up.**

What a disgusting lying deluded individual this Charlie Foulkes is.

December 2012

7 December. Meeting with Phil Jenkins, Practice Manager of Sydenham Green Health Centre and Dr Greene. I asked Dr Greene to apologise for making a record of an appointment where he **wrote that he felt "threatened"** by me. **Publish this documentation.** He agreed that I had not raised my voice, had remained seated, had not used coarse language and had in fact asked him to do something perfectly normal in making a note that I had asked him for a blood test to prove that I do not smoke cannabis. He admitted to amending the notes after the appointment to include that he felt "threatened". He said that he felt threatened in a "professional sense". I said that an educated man such as himself ought to have a good vocabulary and should have chosen an alternative phrase which did not imply that I was about to pull a flick knife on him. **Prove your assertions Charlie Foulkes.** Sydenham Green Health Centre have **deliberately written notes which do not put me in a good light** as they know that Social Workers have access to these records. As I can no longer access my medical records online as

everyone else can through the EMIS system, I cannot prove, but do know, that medical records are being falsified, as printed records differ from what I have previously seen online. I told Phil Jenkins that our home is being entered and items broken, and so not to assist the police where possible. You are so full of shit. You cannot substantiate anything you say. You should be sued for libel, but you never will be because you are serving your psychopathic Masonic paymasters well and whilst that remains the case you remain protected by the Masonic mafia that you pretend to expose.

8 December. Attended Jim Dowd MP's surgery, where he was most unpleasant to myself and my daughter. He refused to help despite my having evidence that this sort of bullying campaign is being carried out against a number of people in the UK. All skills refer to 'others' who are victims of the establishment. Charlie does not have evidence, she just has a list of people who she says are victims, even though she knows that most aren't. Most of the real victims are too crushed or do not have the wherewithal to fight back.

17 December. Refusal of Metropolitan Police to investigate harassment of myself by family member. That's because you are not being harassed. You're making all this up. Who is this family member that you are now accusing?. Where is the letter from the police telling you that there is no harassment of yourself for them to investigate? I have already had a solicitor write a "Cease & Desist" letter [who is this solicitor and what is the firm? Publish this letter] to this *anonymous* communication which *may be from this person*, [my italics, to highlight the absurdity of your claims] but police refuse to investigate, which is yet another matter to take up with the IPCC. No it isn't, cos you're a pathological LIAR, who just keeps making things up. Why don't you publish the reply from the IPCC regarding your other complaint? Of course it is not in their favour for me to prove that my family is abusive I wish you'd prove *something*. Charlie. FFS do us all a favour and go back to performing on the comedy circuit. Perhaps what you call abuse from your family is actually HONESTY!, as they wish to frame me as someone who has a "persecution complex". They'd be right. You also have NPD. But your family will not be aware that you are encouraged to behave despicably [for which you are handsomely rewarded] by your Masonic handlers. What price Charlie - for selling your soul? May you rot in hell. N.b. The company through whom the communication was made can only pass the details to the police due to the Data Protection Act. Police visited me to warn me that I will be arrested for harassment if I continue speaking to my neighbour Nicolette Wilson. Knowing the

disgusting person that you are, it would not surprise me if Nicolette has been forced to go to the police to seek protection from you. She's made it clear she wants nothing to do with you; and who can blame her. I had asked her quietly and politely, how much money she was being paid to spy on me. Why do you keep harassing her? She thinks you're a nutcase; she's right. She doesn't want to talk to you and respond to your nonsense. They were keen to remind me that there would be nobody to look after my daughter should I be arrested [if you care about your daughter you will start to behave yourself] (i.e. she would be placed with Social Services). Arrest for "harassment" on trumped-up [reading between the lines [since you only give us your warped version and you refuse to show us the official documentation] it seems very plausible that you **deserve** to be arrested for harassment; but don't worry, I hardly think that will happen cos you're far too valuable to your evil Masonic puppet masters. Your neighbour and your family members will be totally oblivious to what is really going on and they will be shocked to discover – eventually - who you actually work for. In the meantime they will be wondering how the hell you get away with your criminal activities] charges seems to be a key feature of police bullying campaigns. Purchased CCTV system to prevent police entering property, which arrived missing a power supply and with one camera broken. Remote control for TV was broken during this period, as a way of preventing me using the TV to playback footage. Eventually had to pay for an engineer to install it properly. Submitted complaints to IPCC (Independent Police Complaints Commission) and IPT (Investigatory Powers Tribunal). **Lies, lies and more lies from Charlie Foulkes; evil subversive.**

31 December. Letter arrived from Child Support Agency to say that my former partner is pursuing an appeal against me and I must appear at a tribunal hearing in Sutton, miles away. **Good. That man should not be paying you a penny in child support. He should have custody of Rosie, as she is at risk whilst living with you. And you should be paying HIM child support. .£235**General Notes Things bought online which arrived broken. □ Android notebook, arrived with broken keys. □ MP3 player, broken, no instructions. □ CCTV system. No power supply, broken camera (bought November). Items broken: □ Blank CD. □ DVD player in TV.

□ Power supply to ionizer cracked. □ Casing to Laptop B cracked.
□ Two pairs of trousers, torn after posting a message on Facebook about working hard **You? Working hard? Don't make me laugh.** to repair them. □ Power supply for Android.

□ “Recording Studio” Computer. □ Dishwasher (pipes pushed down, motor broke on Beko trying to pump out). □ TV remote control (needed to work USB devices plugged in).

Items removed:

□ Daughter’s “Red Book” containing evidence of vaccinations / Health Visitor visits □ Financial documents. □ Wooden Crucifix (later returned).

□ Daughter’s favourite programs deleted from Sky box. Items had to purchase:

□ Hidden “key fob” camera □ Vivitar camera □ MP3 players x 3

□ USB sticks to make backups / transfer data □ Android Notepad

□ CCTV System + Engineer □ Phones x 3 □ Printers x 2 □ New

Dishwasher + Plumber □ Blanks DVDs, paper, printer cartridges, printing to campaign against injustice [the only people facing injustice in your case is your neighbour[s] and family, including Rosie and her father] □

Storage space rented, but unable to move house Human Rights Abuses You are not suffering Human Rights Abuses; you inflict such suffering on others. In any case you cannot call yourself a human, you belong in the sewer with all your other fellow subversive scum. □ Loss of privacy [you haven’t lost your privacy. You live comfortably at everyone else’s expense] as per EU Human Rights Charter which entitles a person to a private family life. □ Loss of “Freedom of Expression” [you have demonstrated you haven’t lost that; more’s the pity for your long suffering victim]s when being prevented from uploading videos of self talking about the situation [you’ve also demonstrated that you lie about that too!] It wouldn’t do for me to look like the sane, rational person which I AM. Now I’m really howling with laughter. You are the total opposite of sane and rational. You are a compete nut job - very dangerous and should be locked up for life. But don’t worry; that’ll never happen; not whilst you’re such a loyal servant for Satan. Your type never face justice; you’ll remain free to continue working for the dark side, protecting upper society paedophile filth, targeting real victims and suppressing truth. I know a lot of despicable, cowardly people like you. But eventually you and they will self-destruct. It is a certainty. And when that happens, you will find yourself suffering - alone – with no-one to love you, no-one to care about you. □ Loss of medical help (being discharged from hospital). £120 £50 £60 £30 £80 £235 £100 £150 £300 £200 £600 £ £ £ £ £ £ BEYOND MEASURE Emotional & Social Effects

□ My daughter and I have become increasingly isolated due to libel and slander from the police which has severely affected my reputation. Your daughter needs to be rescued from you – now. You’ve destroyed your own reputation with your lies about the police, social services ... You are the one doing the slandering.

- We have both been placed under stress which worsens my health (arthritis and psoriasis).
- We quite literally have nobody to help us. You put yourself and everyone who comes into contact with you under stress. Nobody will help you because they can't stand you.
- Local people who like us are afraid to associate with us, for fear of harassment.£ £ £ £ £ £” They're not afraid to associate, they simply don't want to have anything to do with you - for good reason.

Listen to what Charlie says here

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mPAnE4zw2DU#t=392> She's obviously speaking from a Masonic script - she is not recounting her own experiences - everything she says is a lie - what she is describing is just not happening. She says she has done everything to get redress; she's gone through the complaints procedure, she's complained to the Ombudsman ... **Why doesn't she publish their replies?** She then has the brass neck to declare that her experiences tell her that “social services are a law unto themselves”, that “they can do whatever they like”. In any case she should have said that the **masons are a law unto themselves**. She goes on to say [22:00 mins] that even if the Ombudsman finds in your favour, SS can ignore that and continue to do whatever they like. She also says don't bother suing SS, cos you can't do that either, because even if it is found in your favour, “you don't have any damages, according to what a judge will think, so there's no point doing it”. She says, “And believe me, I've asked about this.” This steaming pile of bull by Charlie the paedophile-excusing shill knows no bounds. In any case you can't sue a government agency, you have to sue a *person*. Further on [24:54] she says, “If it came to a situation in court and the *judge writes to the police and asks if [Charlie] has been put under surveillance* by the child protection unit, they're *going to have to admit it or they'll be in contempt of court.*” O.M.G I'm pulling my hair out now. I hope and pray no-one is taking this woman seriously. If Charlie was a genuine victim, and was genuinely under surveillance, the people doing the spying would be the masons or Masonic controlled stooges. So Charlie's talking through her arse [as she always does] to suggest that the police would be forced to admit anything or that they'd face 'contempt' proceedings. The police, child protection services, courts etc are under the same Masonic puppeteer and therefore singing from the same hymn sheet. Charlie knows this too. She's on their side.

Most jaw-dropping of all is hearing her say, towards the end of her video, that she is happy to publish anyone's story, but that she **needs to see the paperwork first – communications with the council or whatever, to satisfy herself that she is dealing with a genuine victim.** The brass of this woman is truly breathtaking. Charlie Foulkes is seriously dangerous to truth and to anyone genuinely striving for truth and justice. Her paymaster puppet masters must be very pleased with their prodigy.

I have been all over Charlie's website in search of some documentation which might prove *anything* she says is true. I could only find: <http://www.charliefoulkes.co.uk/truth/images/helen-hay-1-edit-1.jpg> <http://www.charliefoulkes.co.uk/truth/images/hodgkiss-facebook.jpg> On top of the fact that these 2 documents are only **part** documents, the 'findings' and 'detailed notes' contained within are also **heavily redacted** so, rather than these documents supporting her claims, they only serve to raise questions over her credibility and motives. What is she hiding? Why doesn't she publish those documents in full? Why doesn't she publish other official documentation to support her story? She publishes [in full] her complaint to the IPCC <http://www.charliefoulkes.co.uk/truth/pdfs/IPCC.pdf> but **not their response!** In that complaint she says about social worker Richard Hodgkiss: "Mr Hodgkiss must have been very angry and embarrassed by the email, because he sought revenge by involving the Police Child Protection Unit and actually putting me under police surveillance. The pretext for doing this would have been **"mental health issues"**." This is all supposition of course, but, as said, 'mental health' is something shills/fake 'victims' frequently say is an excuse for social workers to snatch your kids. More on this in my PDF re Sheva Burton http://sharonkilby.co.uk/site/SHEVA_BURTON.php The rest of Charlie's complaint to the IPCC is just her **say-so**.

She says <http://www.charliefoulkes.co.uk/truth/hodgkiss.html> "Our GP surgery has been told I have serious mental health issues and seem only too willing to **fiddle my notes** when the police ask them to." Well it is true that she has serious mental health issues; but there is no evidence that anyone is fiddling Charlie's notes.

On the same page, in her letter to Mr Hodgkiss, she says, **"Even though it has been a while since we have met in a**

professional sense, I feel sure you will remember me, as my notes state that you were REGULARLY visiting my Facebook page.” Charlie reveals herself to be stretching the truth here. Her notes may say that, but the letter from Helen Hay of Lewisham Council’s ‘Referral and Assessment’ states her facebook page was seen on one occasion only. Charlie has not proven otherwise. She goes on to say, “As you are not one of my friends, you would have had to have made a special effort to “SEE ME ON THERE OFTEN” (quote).” What does she mean by that? You don’t need to be one of her friends [I’m not] to view her FB. Also, “It is about time this country woke up to the fact that the biggest abusers of women and children are Social Services. Fortunately Brian Gerrish and other campaigners are doing their best to expose this. Perhaps you can find some time in your heavy work schedule to listen to what is being said about you and your ilk.” She is making **wild unsubstantiated claims about Social Services** and she insults the genuine campaigners for truth and justice by referring to Gerrish as someone worthy of respect. She obviously feels that way cos she was able to publicise her ‘case’ on his UK Column. That in itself tells you whose side she’s really on. Brian Gerrish does not give publicity to the people whose stories are actually true. Not that any genuine victims should have anything to do with him.

Charlie is playing a very devious ‘game’. Whilst acknowledging that the well-known names in ‘trutherland’ are actually half-truthers [i.e. shills], she’s saying they’re still worth listening to because, “**a lot of what they say is valid!**” She mentions some of the Big Guns here <http://www.charlifoulkes.co.uk/truth/index.html> and here <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mPAnE4zw2DU#t=392> whereby she’s giving Ian Josephs the thumbs up. She tells her readers that she has ‘issues’ with some of these people, but that we needn’t worry as we can just disregard the bullshit that they spew. Spoken like a real trouper Charlie Fake-Foulkes.

In this account of her visit to her MP Jim Dowd . <http://www.charlifoulkes.co.uk/truth/jim-dowd-mp.html> she says, “If he was prepared to do some research (or even have me do it for him [God forbid]) he could ask questions in parliament, or even press for an inquiry into policing in this country.” Someone of Charlie’s intelligence

and knowledge would know that it is a waste of time asking an MP to do anything; just as it is a waste of time pressing for any enquiry into policing. She would know that all politicians and all police forces are controlled by the same Masonic bigwigs. That's something she fails to mention though. Sure contact your MP, but only so that you can expose the fact that politicians are nothing but well paid ACTORS. The solution is simple; it doesn't need middle men or spokespersons; groups or movements; **all it needs is honest and brave individuals to publicly blow the whistle on the evildoers [including the cointelpro] using facts which can be verified.**

Charlie tries to give herself credibility by referring to 'The Others'; however as I've pointed out to her, a lot of people that she promotes are proven FAKES. Without looking into the other people that she publishes on behalf of, I am the only person under her list of 'Others' who I know is genuine! That's not to say that the others aren't, I just haven't been able to verify their stories.

Finally let's now examine this page from her site.

<http://www.chariefoulkes.co.uk/truth/safeguarding.html> As usual, Charlie alleges many things but doesn't substantiate anything she says. In my experience, the kind of things she talks about are true *only for the people who are being targeted by the Masonic mafia*. I have seen for myself and have heard it from many people that 'children's services' often don't get involved with the families that need their help. For more on this, see my Kellie Cottam page. My comments below are in red. Charlie says:

"Just over a year ago, a lady I know who runs a local takeaway, took me to one side and told me in hushed tones to "be careful" if my daughter was at nursery. She had heard that pre-school children were being told that "if mummy or daddy hit you, you must call the police" and was telling all the parents she knew about it. It was no surprise to me, given the trouble we have had with Social Services. This kind of tactic is just one of many that the "SS" are using to in their insane drive to get take as many of our children as they can. This is scaremongering. There is no evidence that this is happening.

Following the Baby P scandal, Social Services have had the green light to increase their paranoid monitoring activities - on children who are perfectly well looked after by parents who love them. That claim, just like everything Charlie says, is not proven by her. She just parrots what the MSM and her fellow shills are spreading. There may be some

element of truth in it. What has actually happened as a result of the baby P scandal is that more than half the councils in England now have problems retaining their social workers. The ruling elite, through their Masonic bigwigs within the government, newspaper industry, social services, police and the NHS engineered that outcome as it serves their NWO plan to eventually crash present structures, including social services.

We need good, decent social workers and I think it is true to say that in the main, most of them are just that. The problem, as always, is at the much higher levels of the pyramid, where you have the hidden and unaccountable Masonic hand. It is clear that the Masonic criminal elites in the Baby P case conspired to scapegoat Sharon Shoemith and the social workers involved and also doctor Al-Zayyat.

See <http://www.sheffieldforum.co.uk/showthread.php?t=1353535> for a summary of the Panorama programme entitled Baby P – The Untold Story. The following comment by Mister M is spot on: “Ofsted covered up a positive inspection of Haringey, the Met police were up to their old tricks of briefing journalists on the quiet, and Great Ormond Street had tried to cover up concerns raised by some of their doctors who had voiced concerns. Politicians at the time also stirred the furore raging in the tabloids, particularly The Sun, which published incorrect information regarding the case.”

I've copy/pasted below key points from the programme:

The staffing was low at St. Ann's and they were under increasing pressure to deliver a service to their patients that they just could not give due to their being little or no staff available. The doctors at Great Ormond Street had tried to get management to listen to their concerns regarding staffing and nobody would listen to them. Then two doctors at St. Ann's decided to leave worsening the staffing problem further leaving just two doctors to see and treat a whole surgery of patients so all four doctors put all their concerns down in writing to the managers at St. Ann's and Great Ormond Street two of the GP's one being Dr. Kim Holt and another Dr. Sethu Wariya who left in October 2006, Dr. Kim Holt went on stress related sick leave in February 2007. By the time Peter was examined in August 2007 only one permanent doctor remained and a locum Dr. Al Zayyat. Dr. Kim Holt said: "I was absolutely terrified that something was gonna go wrong and the service shouldn't have stayed open". She went on to say: **"It was just not safe it was not safe"**.

Maria Ward the social worker looking after the Connolly family was responsible for 18 children just on her case load alone **50% more than there should have been by the recommended limit.**

In a Parliamentary review it was revealed that information is only held for three months after a report is published and then the information is destroyed. Somebody else asked: **"what is the evidence trail if records are destroyed after three months"**?. This was put across by Barry Shearman MP who said he had **never heard of Ofsted records being destroyed after three months.**

Tim Donovan a political editor for the BBC London points out that the police in all this made a statement on the steps of The Old Bailey but **got away with saying very little about what had gone off.** Tim Donovan also managed to get an unpublished copy of the police case review this was the police's own assessment of their performance. It was printed in black and white a **catalogue of errors made by the Metropolitan Police** such as them neglecting to photograph Peter's injuries, they did not visit the child's home jointly with a social worker and **lost the case in the system** for over two months. **They also ignored a lead given to them by another professional and by Tracey Connolly herself.** Steven Barker was mentioned in the content of the case review listed as Steve a friend of Tracey Connolly's. After Maria's unannounced visit to the Connolly family in June the **Police took a week to photograph the injuries and did not question Tracey or her older children about who might have been living at their address. Or more importantly if there was a man in their mother's life.** Tim goes on to state that the police **did not take this case seriously and did not investigate the case as they should have.** At this point Sharon Shoemith had been sacked, followed by Maria Ward and other social workers in a few months time and Al Zayyat faced the prospect of being struck off the medical register.

Sharon Shoemith read the emergency report of her department again and again and she claims it was **wrong factually in so many places.** Even though the report was incorrect Sharon knew her voice would never be heard regarding the issues within the report. Sharon Shoemith believed because the findings in the report were inaccurate she and the social workers had been victims of an injustice. She was told by her lawyers that she would be able to challenge the contents of the report so this led to a two year legal battle for Ms. Shoemith to prove that she had been unfairly dismissed.

Tim Donovan wanted to see what had gone off behind the scenes so he dug deeper and found the story of Dr. Kim Holt who worked at St. Ann's hospital. Tim managed to track Kim Holt down and she told him of a meeting she had with her employers after the story of Peter broke. The lawyers at Great Ormond Street said they were concerned about the issues Kim raised. They **wanted her to retract her complaints, sign a confidentiality agreement and take £120,000 and agree that it was best she did not return to St. Ann's hospital. The agreement was she did not discuss St. Ann's, Haringey or Great Ormond Street with anybody.** She goes on to say: "it was not just about a disagreement with my employer, it was about Great Ormond Street taking on a community Service, **they messed up and they don't want people to know**". Kim informed Tim that **for two years staff warned Management that at that clinic they could not keep children safe.**

Sadly for Sharon Shoesmith this **did not clear her name as Ofsted's dating report remained unchallenged.** There were numerous memos and emails between Ed Balls officials and Ofsted and **seventeen drafts** of his report. These were all **written in three weeks of the media frenzy** of the story emerging. For Sharon Shoesmith this **raised serious questions about Ofsted.** She herself had high regard for Ofsted having been an Ofsted inspector and she knew the standard that should have been worked to. Ms. Shoesmith states: "the Government never interferes with or gets involved with decisions that Ofsted make as she understood it". She then goes on to say: "it was the **sheer manipulation** that went into producing that report was really quite devastating". Each draft was **made more negative than the previous draft.** She states that: "the report was moved out the hands of Ofsted and **moved into the hands of very senior staff**". Sharon Shoesmith goes on to say: "she cannot understand how the senior staff **can write a report about a case that they were never part of?**" and "this is **utterly unheard of in Ofsted practice**" "and the **so called evidence** used to remove Ms. Shoesmith from her post".

An inspector at Ofsted who came forward said **Ofsted were never reprimanded and nobody was held accountable** for their behaviour. They went on to say that Haringey was given a three in the assessment of the borough which overall is good. After the story broke this person went back to check the information of Haringey on the electronic file and the **original documentation had disappeared.** Ofsted suspended the inspection when the emergency review was announced. When the review was finally published nine days after Sharon Shoesmith was sacked the grade went from a three - good to a one - inadequate. The

inspector claimed that the **original documentation that they had seen never reappeared**. The Ofsted inspector who came forward said: "I don't know who's decision it was to remove those files but by doing this **you remove your accountability**". Ofsted said that the grade was changed when the findings of the emergency review became apparent and this was in accordance with published policy. It completely refutes the allegation that it manipulated the process. Even seven years on questions are still being asked about this case and **why people turned on the individuals involved**.

Ed Balls says if there's any suggestion Ofsted's independent investigation wasn't separate and independent of him and his department, he wants to see the evidence. Spoken like a Mason Mr Balls. When the public cleanse the planet of the scourge of the secret societies we will have full transparency and accountability in every sphere of power and every walk of life worldwide. And with that will come truth, justice and FREEDOM FOR ALL.

Back to Charlie Foulkes and her claims: Here are some of the ways in which they are doing it:

Playgroup and Pre-school Staff

The mostly female staff of playgroups and pre-schools are being trained in something called "safe-guarding". Which means to say they are encouraged to look for signs of abuse and neglect. **It is only right that such staff should be aware of signs of abuse and neglect.** One playgroup I attended with my daughter ran out of Sydenham Green Health Centre. There were many A4 printed signs on the walls about *parents* having to "interact" with their children and supervise their activities. **There's nothing wrong with that. Young children should be monitored closely.** Strange, I thought, because my primary interest in bringing my daughter along was to encourage her to play with other children (i.e. socialise)! **Children should be encouraged to socialise, but at the same time they need to be supervised.** Collita, the lady who ran the group, did not have a very good attitude towards disability. I asked her outright what she would do if she had a disabled parent attending who did not appear to be coping. **Without hesitation, she told me she would ring Social Services! [Charlie's bold red comments.] What else could Collita say? She is duty bound to ensure children are not at risk. Charlie has not shown that calling social services is a bad thing. It could lead to the right help being offered to the family. Charlie is demonizing social services on say-so alone.**

Now that playgroup was held in a very small room that was difficult for me to get round with my walking stick. I also encourage my daughter to be independent and do not "helicopter" parent her! If that had been taken as me having no interest in her, or (heaven forbid) "not coping" she would be on the phone to Children's Services!!! **That is an assumption. Staff generally use common sense and would not call in children's services unduly.**

I was later to find out that Collita and her colleagues attend "Safeguarding Meetings" run by Social Services. **Charlie does not elaborate on this or provide any proof. For all we know she could be making this up too. It would not be any great surprise. Doubtless failing to interact or show sufficient interest in your child is viewed as a 'red flag' for abuse or neglect! Not proven.**

My advice to those of your attending playgroups is to;

- Be wary of the leaders, especially if they try to "befriend" you.
- If they ask you how things are at home say "FINE" and leave it at that!
- Always be aware that you are being watched. **Nothing wrong with that advice.**

Health Visitors

Once again, Health Visitors are also being trained in "safeguarding" i.e. spying on parents. They are quite frequently used as a 'foot in the door' for Social Services, especially since they make home visits. If Social Services have concerns they are likely to ring up a Health Visitor and get them to visit on the excuse of giving your child a check-up. They can and DO report back to Social Services. They can also turn up quite unexpectedly on your doorstep. **This is all hearsay. Both Social Services and Health Visitors make home visits. Social services would not, by themselves, have concerns. They would only be interested if there is a referral by a member of the public or member of staff who has something to do with a child. Health Visitors make home visits, on appointment, when a child is due for a general check up. They can also visit, unannounced, if there has been a referral. That has happened to me. There again my situation is unique as I was being targeted on the orders of my ex and his masonic 'friends in high places'. I don't think what I went through is that common; if it was I think there would be a hell of a hoo ha about freemasonry by now.**

My advice to anyone in terms of Health Visitors is to take your child to sessions at your local GP surgery, e.g. the Baby Clinic. Do not strike up

a relationship with one who visits you at home and "befriends" you. Do not treat them like a friend and do NOT under any circumstances moan and whine about the little problems you may have in life. "Little problems" can easily (and deliberately) be turned into "chronic depression" or "instability" should you find yourself under scrutiny.

Nothing wrong with that advice. It is always a good idea to take precautions. I've learnt not to trust anyone and to get everything documented in writing. I also covertly record conversations if I think it's necessary.

A cousin of mine had one of these unexpected visits when her twins were small. She had taken them to A&E a couple of weeks before, when (as toddlers do), they had an accident. A week or so later, a Health Visitor phoned up, asking if everything was OK and whether there were "any problems at home?". My cousin replied no and that the twins were fine. A week after that, the Health Visitor was on her doorstep wanting to come in and see the children! Perhaps there had been a referral. If that was the case the H/V would have said so. Again this is very vague and just hearsay. Charlie does not provide any details or documentation; so we don't know if there was any untoward or unnecessary involvement by the H/V; we don't even know if the H/V did actually visit as a result of an accident.

I have also experienced this after my daughter fell in the park and cut her forehead. We went off to A&E and she was made to explain to a nurse in her own words, exactly what had happened. She did this perfectly well, with no prompting or inconsistency! Despite this, we had a phone call two weeks later from a Health Visitor, who also wanted to know if there were any "problems at home". This is the only times I have EVER had to take my daughter to A&E and to be honest, it puts me off taking her again, should anything unfortunate happen. Again - all hearsay – Charlie is known to stretch the truth - and all scaremongering.

School Counselors

One of the ways Social Services become involved with families is through school counseling services. Now you may think that counseling is a private thing, with a therapist talking through any problems and listening to your child. Not so. Should the counselor have any concerns, about emotional or physical abuse or neglect, they are advised to call Social Services. They refer to the school based social worker. At the same time though the parent is notified and invited to a meeting.

Through [Disabled Parents Network](#), I am aware of one parent in this very borough whose child was taken this way. He told a counselor that mummy had smacked him. The same day, his mother was arrested by the police and the 7 year old boy was taken into care. Though I am not saying that smacking is OK, it is not illegal. The mother was already known to Social Services because of her disability. **This is All vague and ALL hearsay. I would like to see evidence that a child was taken into care because of a smack! It doesn't happen.**

Sadly, my advice to those in need of counseling services, is to TALK TO EACH OTHER, not to professionals. There is no such thing as confidentiality in this country anymore. **That is true, but Charlie fails to mention the reason – the illegal shadow authority that is freemasonry, which is running through all these child services she talks of. Any little thing you or your children say will be blown out of all proportion. Charlie has not shown the evidence for this. Yes that *can* happen, but only if the masons have 'got it in for you' for some reason. If you are told your child NEEDS counseling, then either find a private practitioner [even private practitioners are beholden to freemasonry] or wait until your child is old enough to understand how to approach it [that'll be when said child is an adult] (i.e. understand that what s/he says may have serious consequences). As said there will only be serious consequences if the parent is up against the masons in some way. If that happens the masons will ALWAYS target their prey the easiest way - via the most vulnerable members of the family – the children.**

How to Deal With Social Workers

If you should wind up in a situation where you have to deal with a Social Worker, here are my top tips;

- Be friendly, but do not treat them like a friend. They are taught how to establish a "rapport" with people, because this helps them to extract information from you.
- Deny any problems. Your marriage is fine, you are very happy, you love your job and your finances are great!
- Do not allow them to access your medical records unless it is absolutely necessary.
- If they insist on visiting allow them to do so. Make sure you film the whole thing. You are allowed to video anything which occurs in your private dwelling place, that is the law. Plus, Social Workers lie all the

time. **Does she have evidence of this?** If they promise you something, you need a record of that.

- Keep pets outside whilst they visit. They may think your beloved old staffy is going to maul your child, or that your cat is a health hazard.
- Do not smoke or drink whilst they are present. Do not even have empties in your bin.
- Be prepared for them to want to see where your child sleeps.
- NEVER SIGN ANYTHING. If they want you to sign something, tell them you will think about / seek legal advice. Then do just that. Read it properly. I have heard scary stories about parents fooled into signing things which are really an agreement for your child to be taken into care (temporarily). **Does she have evidence?**
- If you are single, do not tell them you are dating, otherwise they will want to interview whoever you are dating. If they do not want to interview them, they can use it to make you look promiscuous. And btw, why isn't Junior your priority? So. You are celibate and Junior is the light of your life.
- Clean your house thoroughly.
- Make sure anyone who babysits is either a registered childminder, or is thoroughly vetted by yourself (take references, visit their house, etc). Do not tell them you leave Junior with drunk Auntie Doris down the road who minds him for a couple of Special Brew.

OK, so I have tried to write the above with a sense of humour, but you know where I am coming from. Be sensible. You love your child, as I love mine.

I do not wish to scare anybody, but sadly we live in an age where there is maximum interference in parenting. Much of it is sold as "helping" you and keeping children "safe". But the number of children being taken into care and forced into adoption is rocketing." **The general advice here is good and sensible. However Charlie enjoys fear mongering, making many allegations that are simply not substantiated.**

Without Charlie proving otherwise, it seems she has been spinning a story that is far removed from the actual truth. She is a **traitor** to the

Truth, a **traitor** to genuine victims and a **traitor** to humanity. Avoid her and all the other dirty disinfos like the plague.