

NIGEL BECKWITH written by SHARON ZAKI

Another individual I don't trust is one **Nigel Beckwith**. His approach was similar to Butlincat's who tried to befriend me, pretended to be on my side to gain my confidence in an effort to confuse me, question my own rationale, get me off the scent and ultimately get me to shut the F.U. and go away. This is how these scoundrels work. You get used to spotting the clues when they write the killer line: "One thing that happens is when two well meaning people get set up to fall out and one ends up calling the other a shill and so on "on line". The truth is that we **should be able to air views without trying to destroy each other so to speak. The powers that be love this.**" This is typical troll speak; these government agents are paid to manipulate us *genuine* fighters against the NWO so that we start distrusting each other, fighting each other, become isolated and finally give up - typical divide and conquer techniques. More clues in shill speak is when they write such bollocks as that contained in his email [sent 13/9/13]:

Hi Sharon,

Just looking at your site.

I agree with a lot of what you say and see connections with these so called truthers and see that the movement is almost entirely toxic. Even the well meaning ones often lack "intuition" or nous and tend to go off at tangents or start to see enemies everywhere. Sadly we can all descend in to paranoia and struggle to get back. Sometimes people are well meaning but ignorant. Some are still learning as they make their basic mistakes. Some are controlled opposition, and may not even know it (according to certain researchers that I have spoken to.

The entire thing is a mine field and not at all easy to decipher. Of course one thing that happens is when two well meaning people get set up to fall out and one ends up calling the other a shill and so on "on line". The truth is that we should be able to air views without trying to destroy each other so to speak. The powers that be love this.

I will take another look through your stuff. Some of the shills you have down I don't agree are deliberate shills (I could be wrong though) some are clearly shills or unhinged and very destructive. I may say more about that if we ever get any dialogue.

For now I have to say that David Noakes has no connection with Brian Gerrish and he keeps publicly quiet about it, but regards Gerrish as a liar and small time crook. I am not sure he would want to be openly quoted on it as he has had some run ins with Gerrish and his "team", and seems a touch dare I say scared of having open conflict with him. Noakes apparently started and funded the UK Column. he invested something in the region of £40 000 of his money to begin this anti europe campaign. Gerrish or elements of his team took over and even found a way to change bank accounts and effectively steal Noakes money.

I cannot confirm the money bit but I can say that he was ousted from the Column as editor on chief. The ways and means are not clear to me. Noakes might be prepared to speak at some point.

Gerrish has threatened many people that have revealed things about him. For this reason I am wary of revealing openly what I know about him in public. Hos threats to me do not scare me, it is his threats to use destructive lies that is what has put me off, at this time, doing open battle with the shill.

There are many more I know. I have had dealings with quite a few. Just wanted to say a few words to the good for Mr Noakes as, if it is true, it shows Gerrish is utterly conscienceless and perhaps is protected and shows why he so confidently threatens people. Its all nasty stuff.

Sorry of this is an intrusion.

Henry.

I replied:

Hello Henry or is it Nigel?

Thank you for your thoughts. You say:-

*"Gerrish has threatened many people that have revealed things about him. For this reason I am wary of revealing openly what I know about him in public. Hos threats to me do not scare me, it is his threats to use destructive lies that is what has put me off, at this time, doing open battle with the shill.*

*There are many more I know. I have had dealings with quite a few."*

Do you have a website/blog? I don't see the point in you telling *me* this stuff; if you have information you need to go public with it, say what you know and name names. If you're not prepared to do this then you're just another time-waster [or worse].

Best wishes,

Sharon.

He responded:

I cannot speak on behalf of those people. I am stuck myself. The Noakes issue was my point and if we have not time to concern ourselves with truth without being attacked as time wasters or shills. Yes you are right it is maybe a waste of time.

Whoever sourced the information about Noakes may wish to research him again and make contact via his newspaper, If truth is important.

My old friend Norman Scarth used this tactic on me, saying "I love you Dear Sharon & will be forever grateful for the **super-human work** you have done on my behalf - **over something like ten year or even more! BUT** - I am seriously worried that you have been doing far too much, & that it is having an effect on the rationality of your thinking. It appears that **EVERYBODY** [*apart from Sharon*] is a 'Shill'."

Genuine speakers of truth do not waste the time of other genuine truth-tellers; they get on with doing their own research, gathering **proof** and making public their findings or they publish **verifiable evidence** of corruption and cover-up that they are aware of and they name and shame the shills and other evildoers.