

Why Shills say 'sue me'.

The cocky 'sue me' invitation is the most popular of all shill tactics and is used by all shills and fake 'victims' to convince the unsuspecting public that they're speaking the truth.

Shills do speak a lot of truth, but they also speak a lot of untruths; and they *are* guilty of libel. But they don't get sued because of the influence of freemasonry. If a shill is sued it is because high level masons have given consent. NOTHING happens within the judicial system without the Masonic hierarchy knowing about it.

Shills can be fake 'victims', as in the case of super shill Chris Spivey, who pretends to be the victim of 'illegal' arrests.

A fake 'victim' is created and controlled by high level freemasons. The fake 'victim' is the main character in a Masonic scripted drama. The key players will be 'in' on the act. Other 'important' characters in the play act will be, in the main, 'in the know'. They will be prominent people in society or will hold a senior position – lawyers, judges, police officers, senior social workers, medical staff ... All of them will be controlled by the Masonic puppet master. Others involved will be, in the main, genuine, not beholden to masonry and will be totally oblivious as to what is really going on. They will be the lower level workers or the *genuine* victims.

Other fake 'victims' I've studied are:- Nigel Cooper, Norman Scarth, Maurice Kirk, Caul Grant, Vicky Haigh, Maggie Tuttle, Phil Thompson, Maureen Spalek.

Other fake 'freedom fighters' are Yolande Lindridge and Charlie Foulkes. Both these two claim to be victims of the Establishment, bravely battling bent officialdom - Charlie in Lewisham and Yolande in Kent. Charlie's story is absolutely NOT true. Yolande is almost certainly another fake 'victim' too. I have never seen any official documentation to support her story; I just trusted that what she said was true. One day I might take some time to do the research on her. Right now there are far more important fish to fry. One thing is for sure, there are loads more fake 'victims' in twooferland; but I haven't had time to investigate them either.

In the Hollie Greig *story*, Hollie is not a fake 'victim', she is a genuine victim – of the shills who promote her fictitious story. The shills are guilty of libel. The fake 'victims' are Robert Green and Rusty.

The people being defamed are usually prominent people in society who say that they don't 'dignify' false allegations spread by 'conspiracy nuts' with a response as it would give them more oxygen. But there's no smoke without fire. Royals, politicians and celebrities come into this category. For example Sir Cliff Richard.

Examples of prominent politicians who didn't sue are: Michael Portillo, Peter Lilley, Derek laud. The late Lord McAlpine did sue; but only when the Savile story broke. He didn't sue any shills. See

http://sharonkilby.co.uk/site/McAlpine_sues_-_but_not_any_shills.php

And he only sued because he was allowed/required to do so by the

Masonic fat controllers. He was used as part of a **highly sophisticated damage limitation psy-op, which was engineered and executed by nonce protectors within the upper echelons of freemasonry.**

Others don't sue because they are 'in' on a fake 'victim' storyline and are not allowed to. Good examples of this are in the Nigel Cooper and Caul Grant stories. The judges and lawyers libelled there, for example, don't sue because they too are beholden to freemasonry and therefore have to toe the line. They daren't step out of line or they'd be committing career suicide. They'd lose their lucrative pensions and a lot more besides.

Take a look at Caul Grant's story

http://sharonkilby.co.uk/site/media/Caul_Grant.pdf Towards the end I make ref to 'Butlincat' putting the boot into Bindmans solicitors, making highly defamatory statements – calling them a criminal cartel and even inferring that Bindmans are implicated in the cover up of murder. It makes me wonder what the Masonic authorities have on Bindmans that they stay silent. Who in their right mind would allow their good name to be so utterly defiled in this manner? There is not a shred of evidence to support these highly defamatory claims. Why don't Bindmans sue Butlincat and fellow agents? **Because they're not allowed to.**

Freemasonry controls them. If they dared rock the boat they would be completely and utterly destroyed.

Likewise what do the Masonic mafia have on, amongst others slandered by Nigel Cooper, the judges Hedley, Moylan, Hudson and Thorpe, that they allow their reputations to be so sullied, without so much of a squeak of protest? You'd think they'd be grateful that someone like me is trying to help them, by exposing the fake Cooper.

Shills sometimes do get sued. This only happens if it benefits the Masonic controllers. They do not allow their shills to get sued unless it suits their purpose. For example, in the HG farce, Robert Green Plant was sued and did bird. It looked good to have Hollie's hero, Green, imprisoned for his gallant efforts; it made him and the story look genuine. Likewise Timothy Troll Rustige was sued and also did porridge. This served as more 'evidence' that the Hollie Greig story *must* be true. The people taking the legal action; in this case former Lord Advocate of Scotland, Dame Elish Angiolini QC and Sheriff Buchanan had pleased the Masonic puppeteer and therefore were never at risk of incurring the wrath of the dirty brigade. Anyone who reaches lofty heights in society does so only with the approval of freemasonry. Freemasonry can just as easily bring someone down if they step out of line. So Angiolini and Buchanan also toed the Masonic line to reach their positions. It is clear that neither of them have any intention of putting their powerful positions at risk now by upsetting the masons, for the sake of **truth and justice**. Hence they play the game. If they were human beings with conscience they would be exposing the Hollie **Hoax** and they'd be rebuking the politicians for continuing the pretence with their silence and especially the MPs who actually support the HG story. They'd be very critical of the **scandalous waste** of the public purse, which will keep on rising whilst the charade continues.

If either of these people could find the moral backbone to speak out, they could single-handedly rip apart the whole Hollie Greig mega-massive Masonic scam, which would reveal the real masterminds behind it and the mega criminals involved.

Maurice Kirk is another high profile so-called 'victim'. He was also sued and spent various periods in prison and in a lunatic asylum. This was also done with the Masonic chiefs in agreement, to convince the public that MK was genuine i.e being punished for his heroic efforts to challenge 'HM Partnership' corruption.

Numerous people are involved in a fake 'victim' story. Many of these will be people in high up positions in society who are 'in the know' but are *not* being libelled. Some of them will be propagating the story. None of them will grass on the shill[s] for the same reason as the people being slandered. See my text at the end of my Maurice Kirk pdf. I ask: [When is any MP, AM, MEP ... or anyone at the 'top', such as Sisslingling, going to reprimand the likes of Kirk and demand to know what the Feck is going on???](#) This lot happily and unblushingly collect their fat pay cheques, courtesy of you and me the dutiful taxpayers, to go along with

this disgusting charade. When is ANYONE who in a position to do so going to develop a conscience and a backbone and rock the bloody boat???

Everyone knows the consequences of going against the grain. If anyone *really* upsets the Masonic gangsters they lose far more than their jobs. Some are permanently silenced. Look what happened to Jill Dando. She stepped out of line and was about to blow the lid on a paedophile ring at the BBC. That was never going to be tolerated by the thugs in suave Masonic suits ... and she was murdered, to silence her and as a warning to others to keep their mouths shut. Her killer will **never** be known. He is protected by the dirty brigade – faceless, nameless, untouchable high up prostitutes in suits.

As for *genuine* victims, who are not beholden to freemasonry, such as Wyn and Sylvia, in the HG saga, they don't sue cos they can't afford to

Remember, masonry is the malignant force that keeps the global populace under control. The only thing that can break its grip is a fully awakened and fearless people. This is what I write at the end of my MK pdf:

And now a swift mention on who might be 'in' on the Maurice Kirk scam.

Obviously I don't know the answer to that, but I can tell you that there will be a hell of a lot more 'in' on the charade than just Kirk's McKenzie baboons. I would hazard a guess that the vast majority of judges and magistrates are in the know; so too will a high percentage of all the senior officials of all the MAPPAs agencies and all the lawyers be playing along. Put simply a lot of them will be high level masons, playing the game. The lower grade employees of all the agencies and within the judicial system will be, in the main, honest and genuine, doing their job and oblivious to what is really going on within the higher stratosphere of society. Now if some of these 'high ups' could develop a conscience and grow a backbone it'd make my job a lot easier.

I believe all the MPs are in on it. Alun Cairns MP writes to David Sissling, Chief Executive of Abertawe Bro Morgannwg University Local Health Board asking him to assist Kirk re his 'withheld medical records'. Well it's no surprise to see a shameless MP earning his crust from the Maurice Kirk sham; just like the skunk MPs who support the Hollie Greig farce. Here's another with his snout in the trough - Walter Sweeny; well he used to be one, he's now a solicitor [just as bad]; Sweeny has been trying to obtain Kirk's medical records to establish authenticity and what

immediate intervention is required AMs are no different. Jane Hutt AM contacts Edwina Hart AM on Kirk's behalf. Hart also writes to Sissling ... and he, being the chief exec, is almost certainly in on the act too.

Kirk's MP John Smith apparently supported him. According to Kirk he followed Kirk's crusade with diligence, but was 'nobbled', so as "not to pursue demands to know why the South Wales Police have spent a king's ransom to try and get me prosecuted". In other words John Smith developed a bit of a conscience when he realised what a thoroughly unpleasant lying slimeball Kirk is. He should've gone one further and exposed the fact that Kirk is a high calibre dis-info agent.

Here's an extract from my analysis of the HG story:

In my humble opinion however all the high ups in the Scottish establishment i.e the Masonic hierarchy are almost certainly in on the Hollie story, but not in the sense of a cover up of a paedophile ring and sexual crimes against HG as the HDJ mega disinfo merchants are trying to fool the public with. There is overwhelming evidence that the HG story is an elaborate LIE. Anyone with an ounce of moral fibre and especially those politicians who are supposed to be the people's voice i.e the MPs [excuse me while I just throw up again] would be exposing the Hollie Hoax for what it is and they would reprimand all those people in the alternative media who support and promote it. NO MP has exposed this scam. They are all spineless, dishonest, pathetic vermin. All of them willing to continue the pretence, happy in sweet servitude to their masonic rulers. Actors, all of them, and getting paid big bucks from our hard earned taxes for dutifully toeing the line. Cowardly scum. All of them guilty of misappropriating public funds.

People reading this will know that the real reason nothing is done is because the Hollie Greig story is all one mega-massive masonic scam. People are *slowly* waking up to that fact. Now, if the likes of Sheriff Bowen and all the other professional people involved in this, no matter what rank they are - all the police officers, social workers, fire officers, court staff, Craiginches prison staff ... if they could just take their professional hats off for a minute and become human beings with conscience, they could help us quicken this full awakening by helping us expose the full truth surrounding the HG story and the real criminals involved.

We can actually thank the skills for one thing, they are doing a brilliant job awakening the sheople to some degree. Now all we have to do is get their listeners to listen to us - the 100% truth tellers. I myself was stuck in half-

truthful land for years; it was Hollie Greig and the drama surrounding her that snapped me out of that! We have much to thank Hollie Greig for.

Here's a snippet from the NC story:

As for everyone else the only person who replied was solicitor Stephani Adams, but she says "regrettably" she "cannot assist" me. She couldn't even confirm if I had correct email addresses for the others. I'm pretty sure that I do, I just wanted to see if she would confirm that. Anyway this is not about assisting me. This is much bigger than any one person, this is about exposing some very unscrupulous high ups. But when you are talking *dangerous* truth in high places you meet with a wall of silence. "Professional codes of conduct" would be fine if we had no such thing as freemasonry or other shadow authority in control. When there is endemic corruption within the judicial system and all bodies of authority it is incumbent upon everyone, no matter their "professional rules" to speak out for the sake of truth and justice. How can anyone stay silent when they're being slandered? Stephani Adams and everyone else involved in this story including Karen Wheller, spokesperson at the Royal Courts of Justice should be shocked and outraged and as keen as me to get to the bottom of it and expose the full truth. As in the Hollie Greig saga, the Nigel Cooper story will one day be proven to be one mega-massive masonic scam. The public worldwide are *slowly* waking up to what's really going on within the realms of power. This full awakening would quicken exponentially if people like Stephani could take off their professional hats, listen to conscience and put striving for and speaking whole truth before "codes of conduct" and fear. If people spoke the whole truth, frankly and boldly, the mega criminals would soon be exposed.

And a paragraph or two from the CG story:

And what about the various correspondents within the police, IPCC or elsewhere, who might be 'in' on the Balogun/Grant scam. Well, that remains to be seen. Certainly the higher ranking officers will be in the know and 'playing the game' and will probably be high level masons. None of them would dare rock the boat and upset the masons by exposing these fakes; the price is too high.

Let's look at all the judges he accuses of being 'bent'.

He names: Lord Justice Thomas, Lord Justice Toulson, Lord Justice Kennedy, Lord Justice Ward, Lord Justice Moore-Bick, Lord Justice Elias, Judge Pratt, Judge Inman, Lord Justice Laws, Lord Justice Judge

– The Lord Chief Judge, Lord Irvine. He has no evidence that any of these judges are corrupt, yet he gets away with it. Ask yourself why. Why do the likes of Caul Grant, Maurice Kirk, Norman Scarth and all the other shills not get sued for libel? It's not because they're telling the truth, because they're not, they are guilty of libel. It is because they are State agents and, quite often, the people that they are libelling, such as judges and lawyers are 'in' on the 'game' i.e. beholden to freemasonry. They daren't step out of line. It's curtains for anyone who tries.

This all makes me shocked and amazed at how pathetically cowed and subservient a populace we've become. I have noticed in my own little world, I cannot even talk about freemasonry to the people who are 'white collar' workers and the 'higher classes'. It is simply a taboo subject. This makes my blood boil as the same rule applies re the professionals that I'm in regular contact with regarding J & M, as a result of their eating disorders. In order that people know the full history as regards my younger children's early years, so that they might be able to help, I have told them all about my site and asked them to read my story. That is the people in the 'CAMHS', 'NWAS' and 'KITE' teams - everyone within the psychiatric field, all the doctors, paediatricians, counsellors, family therapists, nurses, dieticians, social workers ... But no-one has commented. They are totally uninterested. When I bring it up, they swiftly change the subject. There is only one person who I was able to get a very good conversation with about the site and freemasonry and that was Laurence, J's ex CAMHS counsellor. And that was only because I spent a few hours in his company as he gave me a lift on a couple of occasions to the hospital in Stafford where J was sectioned, which is more than 2 hours drive away. To sum up Laurence's position, he told me he isn't a mason, he said he knows about freemasonry, says that there will always be 'bad' freemasons - just as you get bad apples in every organisation, he disagreed that they are a maligning corrupting force within high places and he believes the NWO is a conspiracy theory.

During my 6 years of hell being dragged through the family courts, I used to bang on about corruption, especially to the social workers Pat and Elaine who were heavily involved in my case. I used to say to them that if their daughter was being victimised they would be fighting like fury for the truth to come out. They agreed. In all fairness they did do their best, **as far as they were allowed**. I am grateful that I did have decent social workers assigned to my case. The problem was they were answerable to a higher power – their Masonic or Masonic controlled managers and the Masonic controlled legal department. They told me

that **both their first 2 reports were edited by the legal dept as some of their wording was too explicit.** They said that **they have to be careful that they are not accused of bias and they were worried about writing something that the barristers might be able to “drag them over the coals” when giving evidence.** See my story for the detail.

It would appear that the decent people who work within the ‘child protection’ agencies do not get promoted to influential positions. The higher up the ‘child care’ career ladder you go, the more corrupted you become.

Many people who hold positions within the higher echelons of society know about freemasonry and its sinister influence. But they turn a blind eye. Their attitude is that it isn’t their job; not their business. Well they would not be taking that attitude if their loved ones were victims of the Masonic mafia. This couldn’t-care-less attitude infuriates me; especially when it comes from people who work within the ‘protecting’ agencies. Then again if more people took a stand, especially those people whose job it is to protect children or the elderly or vulnerable, society wouldn’t be in the mess that it is.

How can anyone recover from a mental illness, such as anorexia, when they can’t get justice?

‘1984’ is here. People are so pathetically dumbed down and docile these days. The masses dutifully toe the line without question. We’ve been conditioned to not make waves. Anyone who stands alone and speaks out is labelled “mental” or, worse, is disposed of one way or the other.

No wonder mental illness and suicide is on the increase.

God help us.